Antiviral treatment in patients with indolent B-cell lymphomas associated with HCV infection: a study of the Fondazione Italiana Linfomi
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Background: Tumor regression after antiviral therapy (AT) is in favor of an etiological role of hepatitis C virus (HCV) in non-Hodgkin’s B-cell lymphomas (NHL).
Patients and methods: We carried out a cohort study of 704 consecutive HIV-negative, HCV-positive patients with indolent NHL diagnosed and treated from 1993 to 2009 in 39 centers of the Fondazione Italiana Linfomi; 134 patients were managed with AT for lymphoma control.

Results: For entire cohort, 5-year overall survival (OS) was 78% [95% confidence interval (CI): 74–82%] and 5-year progression-free survival (PFS) was 48% (95% CI: 44–63%). In multivariate analysis, the use of AT during the patients’ life had positive impact on OS. Forty-four of the 100 patients treated with first-line AT achieved a complete remission (CR) and 33 a partial response (PR). HCV-RNA clearance was achieved in 80 patients and was related to lymphoma response. At a median follow-up of 3.6 years, 5-year PFS was 63% (95% CI: 50–73%). CR + PR rate was 85% with AT as second-line treatment.

Conclusion: AT produces HCV-RNA clearance and consequent tumor regression in most patients with HCV-related indolent NHL. AT used at any time is associated with improved OS. Consequently, AT can be considered an option for patients with indolent lymphomas who do not need immediate cytoreductive treatment.
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Introduction

In addition to liver involvement, hepatitis C virus (HCV) infection has been linked to lymphoproliferative disorders and the role of HCV infection in lymphomagenesis may be related to chronic antigenic stimulation of the virus [1].

Several epidemiological studies have been carried out since the mid-1990s to investigate the link between HCV and non-Hodgkin’s lymphoma (NHL). Systematic concluded that HCV prevalence in patients with B-NHL is higher with respect to the general population [2]. In subtype-specific analyses, HCV prevalence was associated with marginal zone lymphoma (MZL) [odds ratio (OR) = 2.47], diffuse large B-cell lymphoma (DLBCL) (OR = 2.24), and lymphoplasmacytic lymphoma (LPL) (OR = 2.57) [3].

Interestingly, Kawamura et al. [4] demonstrated that sustained virologic response induced by interferon (IFN) therapy protects against the development of malignant lymphoma in HCV-infected patients.

The regression of HCV-associated lymphoma with antiviral treatment (AT) alone is the strongest argument in favor of an etiological link between lymphoma and infection [5, 6].

In relation to the relatively low number of patients treated with AT so far, the impact of this approach on the outcome of indolent lymphomas associated with HCV infection needs to be confirmed. To this task, a survey (“HCV-LNH outcome survey”) was initiated in Italy under the sponsorship of the Fondazione Italiana Linfomi (FIL) in 2010 to better define the outcome and the efficacy of treatments of HCV-positive NHL. Moreover, we analyzed separately the 134 patients managed with AT as antilymphoma strategy.

Methods

Study design

In the nationwide database of FIL (before 2012 named Intergruppo Italiano Linfomi, IIL), we identified 704 HCV-positive (serology and/or HCV-RNA), HIV-negative patients affected by indolent NHL diagnosed between 1993 and 2009 in 39 centers members with available information about treatment. HCV infection is a substantial health problem in Italy and majority of patients with NHL are evaluated for HCV infection. HCV-positive cases were 15% of all registered cases with available HCV serology from participating centers; HCV serology was not available for 19.5% of all diagnosed cases in the examined period. We included also cases of mantle-cell lymphoma with an indolent course.

Since 2000, FIL/IIL centers shared homogeneous procedures for lymphoma diagnosis, staging, response assessment, and follow-up. Before 2000, minimal requirements of homogeneity were adopted. A central pathology review was not formally carried out; however, participating centers are all characterized by high rate of lymphomas diagnosis and local pathologists systematically participate in the activities of updating the diagnostic procedures of the FIL. All lymphoma cases are consecutively included and followed by centers participating to the FIL.

The database of HCV-positive indolent NHL was analyzed to establish HCV infection features, distribution of lymphoma categories, clinical presentation, natural history, therapeutic management, outcome, and prognostic factors.

We also analyzed in detail virological and lymphoma response and outcome of 134 patients who received AT both as first-line (n = 100) and as second-line (n = 34) antilymphoma treatment: all these patients did not need immediate cytoreductive therapy at the start of AT.

Approval for this study, which was based on the use of archival data, was obtained from the Institutional Review Board. The report was prepared in accordance with the STROBE statement [7]. Data management and analysis were carried out in accordance with the ethical guidelines of the FIL and the tenets of the Declaration of Helsinki of 1964, as revised in 2000. All patients gave informed consent.

End points and variables

The primary outcome measure was overall survival (OS); secondary end points were progression-free survival (PFS), complete remission (CR), and partial remission (PR) rates. OS was taken from diagnosis until death from any cause; patients who had not died were censored at the date of their last follow-up visit. PFS was defined as the time from start of treatment until lymphoma progression or initiation of new treatment, or death [8]. Several variables were included in the analysis (Table 1; supplementary Table S1, available at Annals of Oncology online), including the use of AT at any time during a patient’s life.

For patients analyzed for anti-lymphoma activity of AT, CR was defined by the complete disappearance of all detectable sites and symptoms; PR was defined as a more than 50% reduction. Responses different from CR/PR were defined as stable disease (SD); progressive disease was considered an increase in the size of more than 25% of previously documented disease or the appearance of new lesions. Specific examinations have been carried out for response assessment in peculiar clinical presentations (i.e. paraprotein level when present before AT, endoscopy for gastric MALT lymphoma). For these patients, OS and PFS were calculated from the start of therapy.
Table 1. Comparison of clinical features of patients who underwent antiviral therapy as anti-lymphoma treatment with respect to those who did not receive a treatment.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>n</th>
<th>%</th>
<th>Antiviral therapy as anti-lymphoma treatment</th>
<th>Test and P-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Yes (134)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>n</td>
<td>%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male/female</td>
<td>289</td>
<td>41/59</td>
<td>57/77</td>
<td>43/57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age &gt;60 years</td>
<td>483</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Histotypes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Follicular lymphoma</td>
<td>134</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MALT MZL</td>
<td>157</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary nodal MZL</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Splenic MZL</td>
<td>137</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Small lymphocytic lymphoma</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lymphoplasmytic lymphoma</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indolent mantle-cell lymphoma</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indolent B-cell NHL NOS</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ann Arbor stage III + IV</td>
<td>550</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>116</td>
<td>87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Extraneural disease</td>
<td>271</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liver involvement</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ECOG 2–3</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LDH &gt; UNL</td>
<td>164</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Albumin &lt; 3.5 mg/dl</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Counts (n) and percentage frequencies (%) with the Pearson’s χ² test and the significance level (P-value) are reported.

MZR, marginal zone lymphoma; MALT, mucosa-associated lymphoid tissue; NOS, not otherwise specified; LDH, lactate dehydrogenase; UNL, upper normal limit.

**statistical analysis**

Association between categorical variables was tested by using the Pearson χ² test or the Fisher’s exact test. Difference in the mean values of quantitative variables between two independent groups was tested by using the t-test for unpaired data. The Kaplan–Meier product-limit method was used to estimate OS and PFS.

The adjusted association of AT with OS and PFS was estimated by means of backward stepwise multivariate Cox’s regression models, after checking for the applicability assumptions. The significance value for exclusion was set at 0.10, but both models were ‘forced’ to keep AT and diagnosis. AT was analyzed as a time-dependent covariate. Unadjusted and adjusted hazard ratios (HR) with 95% confidence interval (95% CI) are provided. The limit of significance for all analyses was defined as a P-value of ≤0.05. All computations were carried out using Stata 12.1 (2007).

**results**

**clinical and virological features**

Clinical features of 704 patients with indolent lymphoma associated with HCV infection are summarized in Table 1. There was a prevalence of females and the median age was 66 years; MZL was the most common subtype. Virological features are summarized in supplementary Table S1, available at Annals of Oncology online.

**outcome**

Alkylators was the first-line treatment in 148 patients (21%), rituximab + alkylators in 49 (7%), CHOP in 106 (15%), R-CHOP in 77 (11%), other chemotherapy regimens in 56 (8%), AT in 100 (14%), radiotherapy 21 (3%), surgery in 21 (3%), antibiotics in 13 (2%), and watch and wait in 113 (16%).

Three hundred and twenty-four patients experienced lymphoma relapse or progression at a median follow-up of 3.5 years (range 0.5–17). Five hundred and thirty-nine patients are alive at 169 without evidence of disease), with a 5- and 10-year OS of 78% (95% CI: 74%–82%) and 62% (95% CI: 55%–67%), respectively (Figure 1); 5- and 10-year PFS of 48% (95% CI: 43%–53%) and 30% (95% CI: 25%–36%), respectively (Figure 2). Causes of death were: lymphoma 65%, cardiovascular events 12%, liver disease 9%, infection 6%, other neoplasia 6%, and other causes 2%.

**univariate and multivariate analysis**

In univariate analysis, AT showed a significant association both with OS and with PFS (supplementary Table S2, available at Annals of Oncology online). Furthermore, age >60 years, B symptoms, ECOG>1, albumin<3.5 g/dl, and increased LDH were also associated with OS and PFS, while Ann Arbor stage III–IV, absence of cryoglobulinemia and of symptomatic cryoglobulinemia, and the presence of cirrhosis were associated only with OS (supplementary Table S2, available at Annals of Oncology online).

Despite the multivariate analysis has shown a significant association of age>60 years, cirrhosis, cryoglobulinemia, and albumin<3.5 g/dl with OS, in multivariate analysis, AT retained a protective effect with OS (Table 2, Figure 3). On the contrary, the protective effect of AT on PFS was not confirmed after adjustment for confounders.
One hundred and thirty-four patients received AT for lymphoma control: 100 patients received AT as the first-line option, while 34 patients received AT as second-line treatment following a previous therapeutic failure. AT consisted of IFN in 47 patients (plus RBV in 36) and peg-IFN in 87 (plus RBV in 82). The median age was 60 years (range 23–80 years) with no difference between patients treated with AT as first or second line (P = 0.07). Histological, virological, and hematological features of the 134 patients are summarized in Table 3.

**first-line antiviral treatment: tolerability and activity.** Among 100 patients treated with AT as first line (33 with IFN and 67 with peg-IFN), 60 were affected by MZL. HCV genotype was 2 in 52 patients and 1 in 37. The median duration of first-line AT was 7 months (range 2–48). Eighty-seven patients completed the planned AT; 6 patients discontinued due to toxicity, while 7 patients interrupted AT early due to lymphoma progression and lack of virological response. HCV-RNA clearance was achieved in 80 patients (80%).

Forty-four (44%) of patients achieved CR and 33 (33%) PR, with an ORR of 77% (95% CI: 69%–85%); 14 (14%) had SD. The median response duration was 33 months.

Lymphoma response was related to achievement of HCV-RNA clearance (P = 0.003) (supplementary Table S3, available at Annals of Oncology online). Lymphoma response was not statistically different between patients with MZL and non-MZL (ORR: 82% versus 70%), whereas it was lower in splenic MZL with respect to other MZL cases (ORR: 65% versus 92%; P = 0.02). ORR was 83% in genotype two carriers and 70% in genotype one carriers (P = 0.3).

At a median follow-up of 3.6 years, 9 patients progressed and 13 experienced lymphoma relapse after initial response to AT, with a 5-year PFS of 63% (95% CI: 50%–73%) (Figure 3B). Five-year PFS for patients not treated with AT as first line was 45%
Eighty-nine patients treated with first-line AT are alive, with a 5-year OS of 92% (95% CI: 83%–96%) (Figure 3A); only two patients died for lymphoma. The other causes of death were: hepatocellular carcinoma (n = 3), infections (n = 2), and cirrhosis, herpetic encephalitis, myelodysplastic syndrome, and suicide (n = 1 for each). Five-year OS for patients not treated with AT as first line was 75% (95% CI: 71%–80%) and was statistically shorter in comparison to treated patients (HR = 0.56, 95% CI: 0.39–0.80, P = 0.001). OS did not differ according to genotype, lymphoma category and serum levels of albumin, β2-microglobulin, and LDH. The impact of type of IFN (adjusted for levels of albumin, β2-microglobulin, and LDH) remained significant also in multivariate analysis (peg-IFN versus IFN, HR = 0.08, 95% CI: 0.01–0.81, P = 0.03).

second-line antiviral treatment. Nineteen (56%) of the 34 patients treated with second-line AT (14 with IFN and 20 with peg-IFN) for relapse after a conventional first-line therapy achieved a CR and 10 (29%) a PR, with an ORR of 85% (95% CI: 73%–97%). HCV clearance was achieved in 22 patients (67%). The median response duration was 26 months. At a median follow-up of 4.1 years, four patients progressed and six experienced lymphoma relapse after initial response to AT, with a 5-year PFS of 63% (95% CI: 50%–73%).

discussion
To the best of our knowledge, this is the largest multicenter study focused on the anti-lymphoma efficacy of AT. Indeed, no reported study included more than 20 cases and related literature consists of around 100 patients treated with this strategy [9].

The present study shows that anti-lymphoma activity of AT is associated with viral load clearance and that AT is an active therapeutic option in these patients. The use of AT at any time during the life of these patients seems to be associated with improved outcome, which enforces the recommendation that AT must be considered as first-line approach for patients with indolent lymphomas who do not need immediate conventional treatment.

Major limitations of the present study are related to retrospective nature of the observation and the possible selection, loss-to-follow-up, referral bias of patients treated with AT; however, despite these aspects, reported cases are consecutively observed in centers and the decision of using AT is uniformly applied in all centers. Although PFS results might have been
biased by the retrospective nature of this study, the significant results observed for OS make clinically relevant the present findings. Considering the particular nature of this subset of lymphoma and the objective difficulty to perform prospective trials in significantly wide cohort of patients, our data may impact the standard of care.

It is possible that there are potential confounding factors that influence the difference in OS between the AT group and those who did not have AT, which we did not have data on (for the multivariate analysis in Table 2). However, the effect was so large (HR = 0.33), that it is unlikely other important confounders could explain this size of effect.

About 10 years ago, some reports attested the efficacy of AT in HCV-related SMZL [5, 10]. A previous study from our group extended this experience to a cohort of 13 patients with indolent lymphoma even different from SMZL [6]. Although that study included a relatively small number of patients, results showed no significant differences within different lymphomas categories and suggested that lymphoma response to AT was related to viral load decrease or disappearance.

ORR in the present group of patients who received upfront AT is similar to that reported in our first study (77% versus 74%) [11], with a slightly lower CR rate (44% versus 58%). Interestingly, this wide study is in line with previous studies [10–12], suggesting that HCV-RNA clearance is a condition sine qua non to attain lymphoma response.

A relevant contribution of the present study regards the demonstration that tumor regression after AT can be obtained in diverse lymphoma categories. In fact, response rate was not different between MZL and non-MZL.

In the present study, few patients were lymphoma-unresponsive to AT, which could be related to HCV-independent phase of disease in which antigenic trigger is no longer necessary for lymphoproliferation, possibly because of additional genomic events. Although a relation between genotype and lymphoma response was not observed, genotype 1 carriers seemed to be less responsive than genotype 2 carriers. For the past decade, only 40%–45% of these patients achieved a sustained virological response when treated with peg-IFN/RBV [12]. Although the direct anti-lymphoma properties of IFN cannot be ruled out [11], it should be underlined the clear association between the lymphoma regression and the clearance of HCV. A possible evolution could be the addition of rituximab to the standard regimen as reported in HCV-associated cryoglobulinemia patients [13]. Since rituximab alone therapy has also been shown to induce lymphoma regression in patients with MZL, the safety and efficacy of combined AT and rituximab in patients with HCV-related MZL should be examined.

Finally, IFN-free regimens with direct antiviral agents only [14] could consent the access to AT also for HCV-positive NHL patients with contraindications to IFN use. In addition, considering the antiproliferative properties of IFN [11], lymphoma response could definitely demonstrated that lymphoma regression is strictly linked to the HCV eradication.

Overall, the present study could be considered as a good historical control group for future prospective trials.

Considering the entire series of 704 patients, AT had a positive prognostic influence on OS. Interestingly, a study has shown that HCV-infected patients who obtained a sustained virologic response following AT had an HR of lymphoma risk significantly lower than patients who did not receive AT [4].

Thus, our data, together with Japanese findings [4], strongly support the efficacy of AT in indolent NHL associated with HCV infection. It remains to clarify the benefit of AT after (immuno)-chemotherapy if AT is not feasible (for instance, in the case of symptoms and/or high tumor burden).

In conclusion, the present study shows anti-lymphoma activity of AT in a large series of HCV-infected patients with indolent NHL. Consequently, this strategy could be considered the first-line option for patients with indolent lymphomas who do not need for immediate conventional treatment. The observation that AT used at any time during the patients’ life is associated with improved OS enforces such a therapeutic opportunity and suggests a relevant advantage of AT in this setting beyond the objective detection of lymphoma regression.
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Background: The purpose of this article was to study the association of human papillomavirus (HPV) with clinical outcomes in patients with recurrent or metastatic squamous cell carcinoma of the head and neck (SCCHN).

Patients and methods: Archival baseline tumor specimens were obtained from patients treated on two clinical trials in recurrent or metastatic SCCHN: E1395, a phase III trial of cisplatin and paclitaxel versus cisplatin and 5-fluorouracil, and E3301, a phase II trial of irinotecan and docetaxel. HPV DNA was detected by in situ hybridization (ISH) with a wide-species panel of probes. p16 status was evaluated by immunohistochemistry. Clinical outcomes of interest were objective response, progression-free survival (PFS) and overall survival (OS).

Results: We analyzed 64 patients for HPV ISH and 65 for p16. Eleven tumors (17%) were HPV+, 12 (18%) were p16+, whereas 52 (80%) were both HPV− and p16−. The objective response rate was 55% for HPV-positive versus 19% for HPV-negative (P = 0.022), and 50% for p16-positive versus 19% for p16-negative (P = 0.057). The median survival was 12.9 versus 6.7 months for HPV-positive versus HPV-negative patients (P = 0.014), and 11.9 versus 6.7 months for p16−