

Comment on Medication-Related Osteonecrosis of the Jaw: MASCC/ISOO/ASCO Clinical Practice Guideline Summary

To the Editor:

The article entitled “Medication-Related Osteonecrosis of the Jaw: MASCC/ISOO/ASCO Clinical Practice Guideline” was recently published by Yarom et al¹ and was summarized by Shapiro et al.² The Multinational Association of Supportive Care in Cancer (MASCC), the International Society of Oral Oncology (ISOO), and ASCO are to be commended for their effort to establish a multidisciplinary expert panel and formulate shared guidelines, with the aim of ensuring best practices for prevention and management of medication-related osteonecrosis of the jaw (MRONJ) in patients who receive bone-modifying agents (BMAs) for oncologic indications.

A systematic review was conducted to select founding articles and assign level of evidence and grade recommendations. The authors’ statement that “formal quality assessment of included studies was not conducted” makes it difficult for the reader to understand the process of article selection and increases the risk of biases. Despite the insufficient evidence, their effort to summarize and coordinate the roles of different health care professionals (oncologist/hematologist, dental practitioners, and dental specialists) involved with patients who have metastatic cancer before, during, and after treatment with BMAs is sound. But some conclusions seem controversial and are highly debated in the literature.

First, the MASCC/ISOO/ASCO panel decided to adopt the running definition of disease proposed in the latest version of the American Association of Oral and Maxillofacial Surgeons (AAOMS) position paper on MRONJ,³ which is based on the presence of probing bone fistulas or frank bone exposure in the maxillofacial region persisting for longer than 8 weeks. Several observational studies,⁴⁻¹⁰ reviews, and expert opinions¹¹⁻¹⁹ increased attention on the relatively common observation of patients who are receiving BMAs and who present with clinical signs other than probing bone fistula or frank bone exposure (ie, dentally unexplained pain or gum swelling, tooth mobility/loss, numbness of the lips or chin, mandible fracture). These possible nonexposed MRONJ patients are still excluded from the definition of an MRONJ patient, and they contribute to the perceived underestimation of the disease burden.^{18,19}

In several recently published randomized controlled trials comparing the efficacy of denosumab and zoledronic acid in the cancer setting, a consistent number

of patients receiving BMAs developed signs and symptoms that are compatible with exposed or nonexposed MRONJ during the study (ie, “potential” MRONJ patients),²⁰⁻²⁴ of whom only a few were confirmed by the adjudication committees, based on the AAOMS 2009 and 2014 definitions³ (Table 1). Unfortunately, neither clinical nor radiologic data for patients who were not adjudicated have been reported so far. Thus, there is an urgent need to expand the definition of an MRONJ patient to encompass the other manifestations of nonexposed MRONJ.

Likewise the restricted and purely clinical definition of an MRONJ patient that was adopted and the recommended clinical staging system¹⁻³ are questionable, because they confine patients with nonexposed MRONJ symptoms into a kind of preclinical disease stage (stage 0) that might delay diagnosis and the start of appropriate treatments.

The Expert Panel on MRONJ of the Italian Societies of Maxillofacial Surgery and Oral Pathology and Medicine have proposed and implemented the inclusion of imaging findings in the diagnostic work-up and staging of disease,^{14,25-27} on the assumption that MRONJ is definitely a bone disease. Despite the emerging role of computed tomography (CT) in the early recognition of MRONJ and definition of the extent of bone disease,^{6-10,28-32} the MASCC/ISOO/ASCO panel does not formally recommend the use of imaging because it “may lead to an overestimate of true disease frequency.” In contrast, we encourage the addition of imaging to exclude dental and bone diseases with similar clinical manifestations (ie, dental and periodontal disease, osteomyelitis, jawbone malignancies), because it would likely reduce the number of false positives (ie, patients who have nonspecific clinical signs of MRONJ but who do not have the disease) and favor diagnosis of patients who have nonexposed symptoms but who show bone involvement at CT scan. The adoption of a broader definition of MRONJ that is based on the coexistence of clinical (including the nonexposed variant) and radiologic signs is clearly needed.^{14,19}

Originally, overt bone exposure was the only clinical determinant of MRONJ, and the prerequisite of 8-week observation to confirm the initial suspicion was suitable.¹⁻³ Because probing bone fistulas have also become a major sign of MRONJ, 8-week observation might be pointless, because it is known that untreated mucosal fistula arising from dental foci may persist much longer if left untreated. Instead, the use of x-rays and CT scans would add to the diagnostic process and accelerate the final diagnosis.

The authors’ recommendation to “avoid elective denoalveolar surgical procedures (eg, nonmedically

TABLE 1. Patients With Potential or Adjudicated MRONJ in Randomized Trials

| First Author | Disease Type | No. of Patients | Patients With MRONJ | | | |
|-----------------------|--|-----------------|---------------------|-----|------------------|-----|
| | | | Potential | | Adjudicated | |
| | | | No. | % | No. | % |
| Saad ²⁰ | Solid tumors | 5,723 | 287 | 5.0 | 89 | 1.6 |
| Stopeck ²¹ | Breast and prostate cancer (prolonged therapy) | 3,920 | 341 ^a | 8.6 | 140 ^b | 3.5 |
| Raje ²²⁻²⁴ | Myeloma | 1,718 | 158 | 9.2 | 59 | 3.4 |

Abbreviation: MRONJ, medication-related osteonecrosis of the jaw.

^aPatients with breast cancer: 119 receiving denosumab only, 93 receiving zoledronic acid and then denosumab. Patients with prostate cancer: 75 receiving denosumab only, 54 receiving zoledronic acid and then denosumab.

^bPatients with breast cancer: 48 receiving denosumab only, 35 receiving zoledronic acid and then denosumab. Patients with prostate cancer: 36 receiving denosumab only, 21 receiving zoledronic acid and then denosumab.

necessary extractions, alveoloplasties, and implants) during BMA treatment at oncologic doses”^{1,2} and the statement “exceptions may be considered” based on a risk-benefit ratio are generally agreeable. Nevertheless, it would be useful to clarify what “non medically necessary extractions” refers to and to emphasize the role of tooth extraction as an established risk reduction strategy to be implemented not just before but also during active treatment with BMAs at oncologic doses.

Despite the fact that trauma and tooth extraction have long been considered the main trigger of MRONJ, in recent years, the role of local infection in the pathogenesis of osteonecrosis has become more convincing.^{19,33-35} In fact, dental and periodontal infections are likely to propagate to the underlying alveolar bone, which has been altered by BMAs and cannot properly react; thus, osteonecrosis of the jaw might develop well before it is clinically detectable.³⁶ For example, in a recent trial of denosumab and zoledronic acid in patients with myeloma (only patients without pre-existing severe dental problems were included),²² most of the MRONJ adjudicated cases (32 of 49) were observed during a relatively short time after somewhat unexpected dental procedures (unspecified regarding type and reason).²²⁻²⁴ In this scenario, early surgical tooth extraction of compromised teeth with alveoloplasty and flap closure is a reasonable strategy to prevent MRONJ occurrence during BMA treatment.^{19,33,35-37} It should be made clear that delaying the extraction of compromised teeth would be detrimental to patients and expose them to an increased risk of MRONJ.

Finally, we argue against the authors’ recommendation that nonsurgical treatment should be favored in the initial stages of MRONJ and that using aggressive surgical interventions should be limited to more advanced and compromised conditions.^{1,2} The limited evidence and lack of robust data on treatment of MRONJ has led the clinical community to adopt the prudent approach that conservative treatments, being less invasive, should be preferred over surgical therapy. Yet, there is a growing body of knowledge that

surgery performs better than conservative treatments in all stages of disease, in terms of both symptom control and disease resolution.^{19,34-45} Anticipating surgical treatment for the initial stages of MRONJ would reduce the aggressiveness of surgery on patients and increase the chance of cure and long-term control. On the contrary, nonsurgical therapies might be conceivable when surgery is contraindicated or declined and symptomatic improvement is the only purpose of treatment. We hope that these observations will help improve the quality of life for patients with cancer and myeloma who are receiving BMAs.

Vittorio Fusco, MD

Oncology Unit, Azienda Ospedaliera SS. Antonio e Biagio e Cesare Arrigo, Alessandria, Italy

Daniele Santini, MD

Oncology Department, Campus Biomedico University, Rome, Italy

Giuseppina Campisi, DDS, MS

Sector of Oral Medicine, Dip DICHIRONS, Università degli Studi di Palermo, Palermo, Italy

Francesco Bertoldo, MD

Medicine Department, Università di Verona, Verona, Italy

Gaetano Lanzetta, MD

Oncology Unit, INI, Grottaferrata, Italy

Toni Ibrahim, MSc, MD, PhD

Osteoncology and Rare Tumors Center. Istituto Scientifico Romagnolo per lo Studio e la Cura dei Tumori (IRST) IRCCS, Meldola, Italy

Oscar Bertetto, MD

Rete Oncologica Department, Torino, Italy

Gianmauro Numico, MD

Oncology Unit, Azienda Ospedaliera SS. Antonio e Biagio e Cesare Arrigo, Alessandria, Italy

Alfredo Addeo, MD

Oncology Service, Hopiteaux Universitaires de Geneve, Geneve, Switzerland

Alfredo Berruti, MD

Medical Oncology Unit, University of Brescia, ASST-Spedali Civili, Brescia, Italy

Giordana Bettini, MD; Giorgia Saia, MD; and Alberto Bedogni, MD

Regional Center for Prevention, Diagnosis and Treatment of Medication and Radiation-Related Bone Diseases of the Head and Neck, University of Padua, Padua, Italy

CORRESPONDING AUTHOR

Vittorio Fusco, MD, Oncology Unit, Azienda Ospedaliera di Alessandria, via Venezia 16/18, Alessandria 15121, Italy; e-mail: vfusco@ospedale.al.it.

AUTHORS' DISCLOSURES OF POTENTIAL CONFLICTS OF INTEREST AND DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

Disclosures provided by the authors and data availability statement (if applicable) are available with this article at DOI <https://doi.org/10.1200/JOP.19.00645>.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

We thank Iolanda De Martino and Manuela Alessio for literature research.

REFERENCES

- Yarom N, Shapiro CL, Peterson DE, et al: Medication-related osteonecrosis of the jaw: MASCC/ISOO/ASCO clinical practice guideline. *J Clin Oncol* 37:2270-2290, 2019
- Shapiro CL, Yarom N, Peterson DE, et al: Medication-related osteonecrosis of the jaw: MASCC/ISOO/ASCO clinical practice guideline summary. *J Oncol Pract* 15:603-606, 2019 [10.1200/JOP.19.00384](https://doi.org/10.1200/JOP.19.00384)
- Ruggiero SL, Dodson TB, Fantasia J, et al: American Association of Oral and Maxillofacial Surgeons position paper on medication-related osteonecrosis of the jaw: 2014 update. *J Oral Maxillofac Surg* 72:1938-1956, 2014
- Junquera L, Gallego L: Nonexposed bisphosphonate-related osteonecrosis of the jaws: Another clinical variant? *J Oral Maxillofac Surg* 66:1516-1517, 2008
- Mawardi H, Treister N, Richardson P, et al: Sinus tracts: An early sign of bisphosphonate-associated osteonecrosis of the jaws? *J Oral Maxillofac Surg* 67:593-601, 2009
- Fedele S, Porter SR, D'Aiuto F, et al: Nonexposed variant of bisphosphonate-associated osteonecrosis of the jaw: A case series. *Am J Med* 123:1060-1064, 2010
- Hutchinson M, O'Ryan F, Chavez V, et al: Radiographic findings in bisphosphonate-treated patients with stage 0 disease in the absence of bone exposure. *J Oral Maxillofac Surg* 68:2232-2240, 2010
- Bedogni A, Fedele S, Bedogni G, et al: Staging of osteonecrosis of the jaw requires computed tomography for accurate definition of the extent of bony disease. *Br J Oral Maxillofac Surg* 52:603-608, 2014
- Schiodt M, Reibel J, Oturai P, et al: Comparison of nonexposed and exposed bisphosphonate-induced osteonecrosis of the jaws: A retrospective analysis from the Copenhagen cohort and a proposal for an updated classification system. *Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol Oral Radiol* 117:204-213, 2014
- Fedele S, Bedogni G, Scoletta M, et al: Up to a quarter of patients with osteonecrosis of the jaw associated with antiresorptive agents remain undiagnosed. *Br J Oral Maxillofac Surg* 53:13-17, 2015
- Colella G, Campisi G, Fusco V: American Association of Oral and Maxillofacial Surgeons position paper: Bisphosphonate-related osteonecrosis of the jaws—2009 update: the need to refine the BRONJ definition. *J Oral Maxillofac Surg* 67:2698-2699, 2009
- Yarom N, Fedele S, Lazarovici TS, et al: Is exposure of the jawbone mandatory for establishing the diagnosis of bisphosphonate-related osteonecrosis of the jaw? *J Oral Maxillofac Surg* 68:705, 2010
- Fusco V, Galassi C, Berruti A, et al: Osteonecrosis of the jaw after zoledronic acid and denosumab treatment. *J Clin Oncol* 29:e521-e522, 2011
- Bedogni A, Fusco V, Agrillo A, et al: Learning from experience: Proposal of a refined definition and staging system for bisphosphonate-related osteonecrosis of the jaw (BRONJ). *Oral Dis* 18:621-623, 2012
- Patel S, Choyee S, Uyanne J, et al: Non-exposed bisphosphonate-related osteonecrosis of the jaw: A critical assessment of current definition, staging, and treatment guidelines. *Oral Dis* 18:625-632, 2012
- Campisi G, Fedele S, Fusco V, et al: Epidemiology, clinical manifestations, risk reduction and treatment strategies of jaw osteonecrosis in cancer patients exposed to antiresorptive agents. *Future Oncol* 10:257-275, 2014
- Otto S, Marx RE, Tröltzsch M, et al: Comments on "diagnosis and management of osteonecrosis of the jaw: A systematic review and international consensus". *J Bone Miner Res* 30:1113-1115, 2015
- Fusco V, Bedogni A, Addeo A, et al: Definition and estimation of osteonecrosis of jaw (ONJ), and optimal duration of antiresorptive treatment in bone metastatic cancer patients: Supplementary data from the denosumab extension study? *Support Care Cancer* 25:345-349, 2017
- Schiodt M, Otto S, Fedele S, et al: Workshop of European Task Force on Medication-Related Osteonecrosis of the Jaw: Current challenges. *Oral Dis* 25:1815-1821, 2019
- Saad F, Brown JE, Van Poznak C, et al: Incidence, risk factors, and outcomes of osteonecrosis of the jaw: Integrated analysis from three blinded active-controlled phase III trials in cancer patients with bone metastases. *Ann Oncol* 23:1341-1347, 2012
- Stopeck AT, Warner DJ: Response to letter to the editors: Safety of long-term denosumab therapy. *Support Care Cancer* 25:353-355, 2017
- Raje N, Terpos E, Willenbacher W, et al: Denosumab versus zoledronic acid in bone disease treatment of newly diagnosed multiple myeloma: An international, double-blind, double-dummy, randomised, controlled, phase 3 study. *Lancet Oncol* 19:370-381, 2018
- Fusco V, Campisi G, de Boissieu P, et al: Osteonecrosis of the jaw in myeloma patients receiving denosumab or zoledronic acid: A commentary of the pivotal trial by Raje et al. published on *Lancet Oncology*. *Dent J (Basel)* 6(3), 2018
- Raje N, Terpos E, Jandial DD: Response to comment: Osteonecrosis of the jaw in myeloma patients receiving denosumab or zoledronic acid—Comment on pivotal trial by Raje et al. published in *Lancet Oncology*. *Dent J (Basel)* 7:54, 2019
- Campisi G, Lo Russo L, Agrillo A, et al: BRONJ expert panel recommendation of the Italian Societies for Maxillofacial Surgery (SICMF) and Oral Pathology and Medicine (SIPMO) on bisphosphonate-related osteonecrosis of the jaws: Risk assessment, preventive strategies and dental management. *Int J Maxillofac Surg* 22:103-124, 2011
- Bedogni A, Campisi G, Fusco B, et al: "Raccomandazioni clinico-terapeutiche sull'osteonecrosi delle ossa mascellari associata a bisfosfonati e sua prevenzione." Società Italiana di Patologia e Medicina Orale 2013 <https://www.sipmo.it/wp-content/uploads/2014/07/RaccomandazioniPrevenzCuraOsteonecrosiMascellari.pdf>
- Associazione Italiana di Oncologia Medica: Linee guida "Trattamento delle metastasi ossee." 2019. <https://www.aiom.it/linee-guida-aiom-trattamento-delle-metastasi-ossee-2019/>
- Stockmann P, Hinkmann FM, Lell MM, et al: Panoramic radiograph, computed tomography or magnetic resonance imaging: Which imaging technique should be preferred in bisphosphonate-associated osteonecrosis of the jaw? A prospective clinical study. *Clin Oral Investig* 14:311-317, 2010
- Hamada H, Matsuo A, Koizumi T, et al: A simple evaluation method for early detection of bisphosphonate-related osteonecrosis of the mandible using computed tomography. *J Craniomaxillofac Surg* 42:924-929, 2014
- Bagan JV, Cibrian RM, Lopez J, et al: Sclerosis in bisphosphonate-related osteonecrosis of the jaws and its correlation with the clinical stages: Study of 43 cases. *Br J Oral Maxillofac Surg* 53:257-262, 2015
- Taniguchi T, Arijii Y, Nozawa M, et al: Computed tomographic assessment of early changes of the mandible in bisphosphonate-treated patients. *Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol Oral Radiol* 122:362-372, 2016
- Soundia A, Hadaya D, Mallya SM, et al: Radiographic predictors of bone exposure in patients with stage 0 medication-related osteonecrosis of the jaws. *Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol Oral Radiol* 126:537-544, 2018
- Nicolatou-Galitis O, Razis E, Galiti D, et al: Periodontal disease preceding osteonecrosis of the jaw (ONJ) in cancer patients receiving antiresorptives alone or combined with targeted therapies: Report of 5 cases and literature review. *Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol Oral Radiol* 120:699-706, 2015

34. Otto S, Pautke C, Van den Wyngaert T, et al: Medication-related osteonecrosis of the jaw: Prevention, diagnosis and management in patients with cancer and bone metastases. *Cancer Treat Rev* 69:177-187, 2018
35. Nicolatou-Galitis O, Kouri M, Papadopoulou E, et al: Osteonecrosis of the jaw related to non-antiresorptive medications: A systematic review. *Support Care Cancer* 27:383-394, 2019
36. Saia G, Blandamura S, Bettini G, et al: Occurrence of bisphosphonate-related osteonecrosis of the jaw after surgical tooth extraction. *J Oral Maxillofac Surg* 68:797-804, 2010
37. Heufelder MJ, Hendricks J, Remmerbach T, et al: Principles of oral surgery for prevention of bisphosphonate-related osteonecrosis of the jaw. *Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol Oral Radiol* 117:e429-e435, 2014
38. Bedogni A, Saia G, Bettini G, et al: Long-term outcomes of surgical resection of the jaws in cancer patients with bisphosphonate-related osteonecrosis. *Oral Oncol* 47:420-424, 2011
39. Fliefel R, Tröltzsch M, Kühnisch J, et al: Treatment strategies and outcomes of bisphosphonate-related osteonecrosis of the jaw (BRONJ) with characterization of patients: A systematic review. *Int J Oral Maxillofac Surg* 44: 568-585, 2015
40. Hoefert S, Yuan A, Munz A, et al: Clinical course and therapeutic outcomes of operatively and non-operatively managed patients with denosumab-related osteonecrosis of the jaw (DRONJ). *J Craniomaxillofac Surg* 45: 570-578, 2017
41. Ristow O, Rückschloß T, Bodem J, et al: Double-layer closure techniques after bone surgery of medication-related osteonecrosis of the jaw: A single center cohort study. *J Craniomaxillofac Surg* 46:815-824, 2018
42. Yamada SI, Kurita H, Kondo E, et al: Treatment outcomes and prognostic factors of medication-related osteonecrosis of the jaw: A case- and literature-based review. *Clin Oral Investig* 23:3203-3211, 2019
43. Ristow O, Rückschloß T, Müller M, et al: Is the conservative non-surgical management of medication-related osteonecrosis of the jaw an appropriate treatment option for early stages? A long-term single-center cohort study. *J Craniomaxillofac Surg* 47:491-499, 2019
44. Hauer L, Jambura J, Hrusak D, et al: Surgical therapy for medication-related osteonecrosis of the jaw in osteoporotic patients treated with antiresorptive agents. *Biomed Pap Med Fac Univ Palacky Olomouc Czech Repub* 10.5507/bp.2018.081 [epub ahead of print on January 10, 2019]
45. Aljohani S, Troeltzsch M, Hafner S, et al: Surgical treatment of medication-related osteonecrosis of the upper jaw: Case series. *Oral Dis* 25:497-507, 2019

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1200/JOP.19.00645>; published at ascopubs.org/journal/op on January 24, 2020.

AUTHORS' DISCLOSURES OF POTENTIAL CONFLICTS OF INTEREST

Comment on Medication-Related Osteonecrosis of the Jaw: MASCC/ISOO/ASCO Clinical Practice Guideline Summary

The following represents disclosure information provided by authors of this manuscript. All relationships are considered compensated unless otherwise noted. Relationships are self-held unless noted. I = Immediate Family Member, Inst = My Institution. Relationships may not relate to the subject matter of this manuscript. For more information about ASCO's conflict of interest policy, please refer to www.asco.org/rwc or ascopubs.org/op/site/ifc/journal-policies.html.

Open Payments is a public database containing information reported by companies about payments made to US-licensed physicians ([Open Payments](#)).

Vittorio Fusco

Travel, Accommodations, Expenses: Kyowa Hakko Kirin, Celgene

Francesco Bertoldo

Speakers' Bureau: Amgen, Union Chimique Belge

Toni Ibrahim

Consulting or Advisory Role: Eisai, Novartis (Inst)

Travel, Accommodations, Expenses: Ipsen, PharmaMar, Novartis

Alfredo Addeo

Honoraria: Bristol-Myers Squibb, Pfizer, Merck Serono, AstraZeneca, Roche

Travel, Accommodations, Expenses: Roche, Takeda Pharmaceuticals

Alfredo Berruti

Consulting or Advisory Role: Janssen-Cilag, Astellas Pharma

Speakers' Bureau: Janssen-Cilag

Research Funding: Astellas Pharma (Inst), Janssen-Cilag (Inst)

Travel, Accommodations, Expenses: Janssen-Cilag, Sanofi

Giorgia Saia

Research Funding: Sintac Srl (I)

Travel, Accommodations, Expenses: Amgen (I)

Alberto Bedogni

Research Funding: Sintac Srl (Inst)

Travel, Accommodations, Expenses: Amgen

No other potential conflicts of interest were reported.