

Journal Pre-proofs

Brief Report

Use of DPP4 inhibitors in Italy does not correlate with diabetes prevalence among COVID-19 deaths

Rocky Strollo, Ernesto Maddaloni, Marco Dauriz, Claudio Pedone, Raffaella Buzzetti, Paolo Pozzilli

PII: S0168-8227(20)30697-5
DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.diabres.2020.108444>
Reference: DIAB 108444


To appear in: *Diabetes Research and Clinical Practice*

Received Date: 24 July 2020
Revised Date: 22 August 2020
Accepted Date: 4 September 2020

Please cite this article as: R. Strollo, E. Maddaloni, M. Dauriz, C. Pedone, R. Buzzetti, P. Pozzilli, Use of DPP4 inhibitors in Italy does not correlate with diabetes prevalence among COVID-19 deaths, *Diabetes Research and Clinical Practice* (2020), doi: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.diabres.2020.108444>

This is a PDF file of an article that has undergone enhancements after acceptance, such as the addition of a cover page and metadata, and formatting for readability, but it is not yet the definitive version of record. This version will undergo additional copyediting, typesetting and review before it is published in its final form, but we are providing this version to give early visibility of the article. Please note that, during the production process, errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

© 2020 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.



**Use of DPP4 inhibitors in Italy does not correlate with diabetes prevalence
among COVID-19 deaths**

Running title: DPP4i and COVID-19

Authors:

*Rocky Strollo¹, *Ernesto Maddaloni², Marco Dauriz³, Claudio Pedone⁴, Raffaella Buzzetti²,
Paolo Pozzilli¹,

RS and EM equally contributed to this work

Affiliations

¹ Department of Medicine, Unit of Endocrinology & Diabetes, Campus Bio-Medico University
of Rome, Italy

² Department of Experimental Medicine, Sapienza University of Rome, Italy

³ Department of Internal Medicine, Section of Endocrinology and Diabetes, South-Tyrolean
Healthcare System, Bolzano General Hospital, Bolzano, Italy

⁴ Department of Medicine, Unit of Geriatrics, Campus Bio-Medico University of Rome, Italy

Word count: Summary 50 words; Manuscript 998 words + Suppl. Methods: 385 words

Figure count: 1; Table count: 0

Corresponding author: Professor Paolo Pozzilli; Department of Medicine, Unit of
Endocrinology & Diabetes, Università Campus Bio-Medico di Roma, Via Alvaro del Portillo
21, 00128; Rome, Italy; E-mail: p.pozzilli@unicampus.it; Phone: +3906225419160

Summary:

In a nationwide study of 3,818 charts from patients with fatal COVID-19, we found that geographical differences in Dipeptidyl peptidase 4 (DPP4) inhibitors use did not correlate with diabetes prevalence among COVID-19 deaths, thus not supporting the hypothesis of a clinically relevant involvement of DPP4 in COVID-19 development and progression.

Journal Pre-proofs

1. Introduction

Dipeptidyl peptidase 4 (DPP4) is a serine exopeptidase which regulates immune responses by acting as a co-stimulatory molecule on T-cells [1]. It is a pharmacological target of DPP4 inhibitors (DPP4i), a class of drugs widely used for the management of type 2 diabetes (T2D). It has been proposed that DPP4 might sterically interact with the S1 domain of the SARS-CoV-2 spike glycoprotein [2]. Moreover, DPP4i modulate inflammation by suppressing Th17 activity and proinflammatory cytokines [3-5], two features of severe COVID-19 [6, 7]. In an experimental model of Acute Respiratory Distress Syndrome (ARDS), a main cause of COVID-19 death, DPP4 inhibition alleviated histological findings of lung injury by inhibiting proinflammatory cytokines IL-1 β , TNF α , and IL-6 [8]. Therefore, DPP4 inhibition may have a role in limiting two steps of COVID-19 immunopathogenesis: 1) by altering a potential SARS-CoV-2/DPP4 interaction; 2) by halting disease progression towards hyperinflammation. Under the hypothesis that treatment with DPP4i could prevent COVID-19 progression in subjects with diabetes, the aim of this analysis is to examine the association between DPP4i use among those with diabetes and COVID-19 deaths across regions of Italy during the pandemic.

2. Materials and methods

A COVID-19 surveillance system was launched by the Italian National Institute of Health (Istituto Superiore di Sanità [ISS]) in line with the the Ministry of Health circular published in February 2020. Medical charts of people who died from COVID-19 in Italy were randomly sampled from the 21 Italian sanitary districts proportional to the total number of COVID-19 deaths which occurred in each district (the higher the number of deaths in one district, the higher was the number of medical charts reviewed in that district). As of the time of this analysis (June 17th, 2020) no medical charts were available from Valle D'Aosta, therefore, relevant data from only 20 Sanitary districts were provided by the ISS. Demographics of the population living in each district and diabetes prevalence in each region were obtained from the 2019 Italian statistical yearbook by the ISTAT [9]. Drug use was estimated for each sanitary

district using data provided by IQVIA[®]. An additional primary source (Osservatorio per la Salute, Autonomous Province of Bolzano) that had access to all cases of COVID-19 deaths registered to April 27th, 2020 (n=269), was used to validate data about DPP4i use in the general population and among patients with COVID-19 (**Supplementary Material**). As DPP4i are only available through specialist in a monitored process these data are likely more accurate than other drug use reports. Exposure in the population is expressed as the proportion of daily DPP4i units sold per 100 patients per year assuming 100% compliance. Spearman's rank correlation test was used to evaluate linear relationships between continuous variables. The analysis conducted on the 20 sanitary districts provided 80% power to detect an effect size of 0.55. Type I error threshold was set at two-tailed $p < 0.05$. Stata/IC 12.1 and Prism 8.0d were used for analysis and figures.

3. Results

A sample of 3,818 medical charts from individuals who died of COVID-19 had been reviewed by the ISS by the time of this analysis; 467 were excluded due to unknown diabetes status resulting 87.8% (n=3351) being used in the analysis. As the number of COVID-19 deaths varied by region, the ISS sampling algorithm dictates the number of medical charts reviewed from each sanitary district: from Lombardy n=1,813 were sampled (54.1% of deaths), Emilia Romagna (n=507; 15.21%) and Veneto (n=200; 6.0%). The median [IQR] number of medical charts reviewed in each region was 41 [8-108].

Of the charts reviewed of individuals who died from COVID-19, 1,089 (32.5%) patients had diabetes. Diabetes prevalence among COVID-19 deaths varied substantially by the districts: the highest was in Trento (54.8%), then Molise (50.0%), Sardinia (40.0%), while the lowest was in Calabria (16.7%) and Abruzzo (12.5%). No cases of diabetes were registered among the seven medical charts of patients died in Umbria. Deaths from COVID-19 were not associated with diabetes prevalence across the individual districts used in this analysis. ($\rho = 0.153$, $p = 0.52$).

The total number of medical charts reviewed in each district did not correlate with the prevalence of diabetes among COVID-19 deaths ($\rho=0.002$, $p=0.99$).

The median percentage use of DPP4i among diabetic people in the sanitary districts was 11.4% [10.2-12.5]; Sicily was the lowest (5.7%), while Bolzano and Sardinia showed the highest percentages (16.9% and 16.8%, respectively) (**Table S1**). The percentage use of DPP4i in each district was unrelated to diabetes prevalence among those who died from COVID-19 (-0.247 , $p=0.29$; **Figure 1**). No significant differences in the use of DPP4i were found between alive and deceased T2D patients with COVID-19 in the Autonomous Province of Bolzano according to the data from “Osservatorio per la Salute” (25/149 [16.8%] vs 5/44 [11.4%], respectively, $p=0.48$; **Table S2**).

4. Discussion

In this study, over one third of individuals who died of COVID-19 had diabetes. Geographical differences in DPP4i use did not correlate with diabetes prevalence among COVID-19 deaths. Our data extend findings from two recent reports that evaluated the association between DPP4i treatment and COVID-19 fatality. In an Italian case-control study involving 85 T2D individuals hospitalized for COVID-19, previous DPP4i treatment (nine patients) was unrelated to COVID-19 death [10]. In the CORONADO study, a larger, nationwide observational study of 1,317 diabetic people hospitalized for COVID-19, DPP4i treatment before admission (285 subjects) did not improve the primary outcome (invasive respiratory support or death within seven days from admission) [11]. However, the small sample size stands out as major limitation in the former, while the latter study was not specifically designed to assess the relationship between DPP4i and COVID-19. Main strengths of our study include the large number of cases assessed nationwide and the use of hard endpoint which can be measured unambiguously. Due to its ecologic nature, our study is limited to the biases inherent these types of studies, and by the lack of data on glucose control and other comorbidities.

In conclusion, our findings suggest that pharmacological inhibition of DPP4 may not have a role in preventing SARS-CoV-2 infection and/or COVID-19 progression.

Acknowledgments: We thank Dr. Lorenza Nisticò and other members of the Italian National Institute of Health COVID-19 Mortality Group for providing data on diabetes prevalence from the COVID-19 folders. Members of the Italian National Institute of Health COVID-19 Mortality Group are: Luigi Palmieri, Luigi Bertinato, Gianfranco Brambilla, Giovanni Calcagnini, Marco Canevelli, Federica Censi, Elisa Colaizzo, Chiara Donfrancesco, Francesco Facchiano, Marco Florida, Tiziana Grisetti, Yllka Kodra, Martin Langer, Ilaria Lega, Cinzia Lo Noce, Fiorella Malchiodi Albedi, Valerio Manno, Eugenio Mattei, Paola Meli, Giada Minelli, Manuela Nebuloni, Lorenza Nisticò, Marino Nonis, Graziano Onder, Lucia Palmisano, Nicola Petrosillo, Flavia Pricci, Ornella Punzo, Valeria Raparelli, Paolo Salerno, Manuela Tamburo De Bella, Domenica Taruscio, Dorina Tiple, Brigid Unim, Luana Vaianella, Nicola Vanacore, Monica Vichi, Emanuele Rocco Villani, Amerigo Zona. The superb support of the clinical and administrative personnel of the South-Tyrolean (ASDAA-SABES) and Trentino (APSS) Healthcare Systems is gratefully acknowledged (Autonomous Province of Bolzano - Osservatorio per la Salute: Carla Melani, Eva Papa, Mirko Bonetti; Bolzano General Hospital: Bruno Fattor. Autonomous Province of Trento – APSS Technology Department: Ettore Turra; Pharmaceutical Service: Riccardo Roni, Marina Ferri; Osservatorio Epidemiologico: Maria Adalgisa Gentilini).

Author contribution: PP conceived the study, contributed to data collection, interpretation of the data and edited the manuscript. RS contributed to study conception, wrote the first draft of the manuscript and contribute to data interpretation. EM analyzed the data, wrote the first draft of the manuscript and contributed to data interpretation. RB contribute to study conception, data interpretation and edited the manuscript. MD contribute to data interpretation, data collection

and edited the manuscript. CP contributed to data analyses and data interpretation. All authors critically revised the manuscript for intellectual content. All authors have seen and approved the final draft.

Funding: None

Conflict of interests

RS, MD and CP have no conflict of interest to declare for the preparation of this manuscript.

EM has received speaker fees from Astra-Zeneca and Lilly and research grants from scientific societies with the unrestricted support from AstraZeneca.

PP has received speaker fees from AstraZeneca, MSD and Lilly

RB has received speaker fees from AstraZeneca, MSD and Lilly


References

- [1] Klemann C, Wagner L, Stephan M, von Horsten S. Cut to the chase: a review of CD26/dipeptidyl peptidase-4's (DPP4) entanglement in the immune system. *Clin Exp Immunol*. 2016;185:1-21.
- [2] Vankadari N, Wilce JA. Emerging WuHan (COVID-19) coronavirus: glycan shield and structure prediction of spike glycoprotein and its interaction with human CD26. *Emerg Microbes Infect*. 2020;9:601-4.
- [3] Pinheiro MM, Stoppa CL, Valduga CJ, Okuyama CE, Gorjao R, Pereira RM, et al. Sitagliptin inhibit human lymphocytes proliferation and Th1/Th17 differentiation in vitro. *Eur J Pharm Sci*. 2017;100:17-24.
- [4] Atkin SL, Katsiki N, Banach M, Mikhailidis DP, Pirro M, Sahebkar A. Effect of dipeptidyl peptidase-4 inhibitors on circulating tumor necrosis factor-alpha concentrations: A systematic review and meta-analysis of controlled trials. *J Diabetes Complications*. 2017;31:1458-64.
- [5] Steinbrecher A, Reinhold D, Quigley L, Gado A, Tresser N, Izikson L, et al. Targeting dipeptidyl peptidase IV (CD26) suppresses autoimmune encephalomyelitis and up-regulates TGF-beta 1 secretion in vivo. *J Immunol*. 2001;166:2041-8.
- [6] Chen G, Wu D, Guo W, Cao Y, Huang D, Wang H, et al. Clinical and immunological features of severe and moderate coronavirus disease 2019. *J Clin Invest*. 2020;130:2620-9.
- [7] Xu Z, Shi L, Wang Y, Zhang J, Huang L, Zhang C, et al. Pathological findings of COVID-19 associated with acute respiratory distress syndrome. *Lancet Respir Med*. 2020;8:420-2.
- [8] Kawasaki T, Chen W, Htwe YM, Tatsumi K, Dudek SM. DPP4 inhibition by sitagliptin attenuates LPS-induced lung injury in mice. *Am J Physiol Lung Cell Mol Physiol*. 2018;315:L834-L45.
- [9] ISTAT. *Annuario Statistico Italiano 2019*. Rome: Istituto Nazionale Di Statistica; 2019.
- [10] Fadini GP, Morieri ML, Longato E, Bonora BM, Pinelli S, Selmin E, et al. Exposure to dipeptidyl-peptidase-4 inhibitors and COVID-19 among people with type 2 diabetes: A case-control study. *Diabetes Obes Metab*. 2020.

[11] Cariou B, Haqjaq S, Wargny M, Pichelin M, Al-Salameh A, Allix I, et al. Phenotypic characteristics and prognosis of inpatients with COVID-19 and diabetes: the CORONADO study. *Diabetologia*. 2020.

Journal Pre-proofs

Figure 1 – Relationship between estimated overall DPP4i prevalence use in individuals with diabetes and proportion of patients with comorbid diabetes among COVID-19 deaths.



SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

Table S1 – Prevalence of diabetes in the general population (presented in ascending order, as estimated by ISTAT) and among individuals died of COVID-19 (retrieved from medical chart review by ISS) and estimated percentage use of DPP4i among individuals with diabetes in each Italian region and Autonomous Province*.

Region	Diabetes Prevalence (%)		DPP4i use (%)
	Overall (ISTAT 2019)	Among COVID-19 deaths (ISS)	
A. P. Bolzano	2.8	30.0	16.9
A. P. Trento	4.4	54.8	9.00
Veneto	4.5	39.5	12.0
Lombardy	4.6	32.0	12.5
Piedmont	4.6	29.7	12.4
Sardinia	4.7	40.0	16.8
Marche	4.9	23.1	14.5
Tuscany	5.1	29.7	11.8
Emilia Romagna	5.3	32.0	10.8
Friuli Venezia Giulia	5.3	31.7	9.8
Liguria	5.6	36.2	8.8
Lazio	5.8	36.2	12.2
Umbria	6.4	0.00	11.5
Campania	6.5	35.7	11.2
Sicily	6.5	36.4	5.7
Molise	6.9	50.0	10.5
Basilicata	7.0	33.3	10.7
Puglia	7.1	38.5	11.3
Abruzzo	7.5	12.5	8.2
Calabria	8.2	16.7	12.9

Table Legend: ISTAT, National Institute of Statistics, ISS, Istituto Superiore di Sanità. *Data from Valle D'Aosta regions were not available at the time the present analysis was performed.

Table S2 – Prevalence use of DPP4i in the general population of patients with type 2 diabetes (T2D) and in those with SARS-CoV-2 infection according to the COVID-19 survival outcome as of April 27th, 2020 in the Autonomous Province of Bolzano.

	T2D (overall)	T2D with COVID-19[†]	Alive T2D with COVID-19	Deceased T2D with COVID-19
<i>N</i> (m/f)	20,830 (11.244/9.586)	193 (106/87)	149 (77/72)	44 (29/15)
Age (m/f), years	72.3±12.8 (70.8±11.9/74.1±13.4)	76.7±11.8 (73.8±11.0/80.3±11.7)	75.3±12.1 (70.6 ±10.2/ 80.4±12.0)	81.6± 9.0 (82.2±8.0/80.3±10.9)
DPP4i treatment[#] , n (%)	3,069 (14.7)	30 (15.5)	25 (16.8)	5 (11.4)
Other glucose-lowering medications[§] , n (%)	12.709 (61.0)	115 (59.6)	83 (55.7)	32 (72.7)
Diet and other TLC , n (%)	5.052 (24.3)	48 (24.9)	41 (27.5)	7 (15.9)

Table Legend: TLC, therapeutic lifestyle changes; T2D, type 2 diabetes; [#]Alone, in fixed combination with metformin, pioglitazone, SGLT2i or in combination with other diabetes medications currently approved for use in Italy; [§] Insulin, non-insulin injectables, oral glucose lowering medications. [†]This figure comprises both dead and alive individuals.

Supplementary Methods*Estimate of DPP4i prevalence use*

Data about DPP4i use were estimated for each sanitary district upon data provided by IQVIA[®]. IQVIA[®] provided data about the number of sitagliptin (alone or in the combination tablet with metformin) packages sold from January 1st, 2019 to December 31st, 2019 in each sanitary district. The sitagliptin market share (percentage of the total DPP4i sales) in each sanitary district was also provided.

Considering that each package covers 28 days of therapy with sitagliptin or sitagliptin+metformin (when prescribed at full dosage), the total number of patients on sitagliptin (which corresponds to the total number of daily therapeutic sitagliptin units: 1 tablet for sitagliptin alone and 2 tablets for sitagliptin + metformin) in each sanitary district (X_{sd}) was estimated as follows:

$$(1) \quad X_{sd} = \frac{N_{sd} \times 28 \text{ days}}{365 \text{ days}}$$

where N is the total number of packages in 2019 and sd is the sanitary district.

The total number of patients on DPP4i in each district (D_{sd}) was then calculated as follows:

$$(2) \quad D_{sd} = X_{sd} \times MS_{sd}$$

where MS is the market share of sitagliptin, as provided by IQVIA[®]

The proportion of patients with diabetes using DPP4i in each sanitary district was then calculated as follows:

$$(3) \quad \frac{D_{sd}}{P_{sd}}$$

where P is the total number of patients with diabetes.

Validation of Bolzano and Trento data from additional primary source

The "on-field" analysis provided by the "Osservatorio per la Salute" of the Autonomous Province of Bolzano resulted from the combination of the following sources of information: (1) The SARS-CoV-2 infection pharyngeal nose swabs provincial database; (2) the medical records of individuals deceased because of COVID-19 as of April 27th, 2020; (3) The South-Tyrol Diabetes Registry, developed according to a redundant data inflow comprising information on diabetes medication use by linkage with the provincial pharmacological service (as of December 31st, 2019), disease-specific exemption code, hospitalization for diabetes or diabetes diagnosis recorded in the electronic hospital discharge coding system, laboratory tests (hemoglobin A1c, fasting plasma glucose and/or oral glucose tolerance test, according to most recent ADA/EASD diagnostic criteria) and/or registered visits and diabetes classifications in the electronic medical records of the adult and pediatric local Diabetes Centers. Treatment with DPP4i was ascertained according to the Anatomical Therapeutic Chemical classification codes. Gestational diabetes, other forms of temporary dysglycemia (i.e. iatrogenic diabetes) and other rare diabetes subtypes were excluded from all analyses.