

“Doctor, Is My Child Going to Survive?” Does a New Score to Predict Mortality Following Pediatric In-Hospital Cardiac Arrest “GO-FAR” Enough?*

I was not predicting the future, I was trying to prevent it –Ray Bradbury

Paolo Biban, MD

Pediatric Intensive Care Unit
Division of Pediatric Critical and Emergency Care
Verona University Hospital
Verona, Italy

Survival outcomes following pediatric in-hospital cardiac arrest have markedly improved in the last 2 decades, specifically due to improved early recognition of impending arrest, quality of cardiopulmonary resuscitation (CPR) by caregivers, and postresuscitation care (1, 2).

However, there is high variability in survival rates across different centers, partly attributable to variable hospital prevention strategies, resuscitation preparedness, performance, and quality of care (3, 4). Nevertheless, approximately half of the children who have return of a sustained circulation following in-hospital cardiac arrest still die before discharge, and neurologic sequelae are observed in a substantial number of survivors (5).

Thus, it is critically important for healthcare providers to have specific tools in the early postarrest phase capable to reliably predict patients with the best chance to survive to hospital discharge and those where continued aggressive care is likely to be futile.

In fact, predictors of survival and favorable outcome have been investigated by several authors in adult patients suffering an episode of cardiac arrest, both in out-of-hospital and in-hospital settings (6–10). In addition, several scoring systems have been proposed to identify patients at the highest risk of mortality in case of in-hospital cardiac arrest despite CPR (11). In general, the aim of these scoring systems is to assist clinicians as well as patients and families in the decision-making regarding resuscitation and do-not-attempt-resuscitation (DNAR) orders.

To this end, Ebell et al (6) developed and validated the “Good Outcome Following Attempted Resuscitation (GO-FAR) Score” to predict good neurologic survival after in-hospital cardiac arrest in adults. Such scoring system can be used upon admission of patients to hospital, providing useful

information when counselling patients and family members about DNAR orders and end-of-life decisions. Recently, the GO-FAR score has been successfully validated also in Sweden, showing accurate prediction of probability of survival with good neurologic outcome (7).

Currently, data on validated models for predicting survival to hospital discharge following sustained return of circulation following pediatric in-hospital cardiac arrest are scant.

In this issue of *Pediatric Critical Care Medicine*, Holmberg et al (12) present a new score to predict mortality in children following return of a sustained circulation after in-hospital cardiac arrest. Similar to the GO-FAR score validation in adults, the authors derived and validated the predicting score using data from the largest registry of in-hospital cardiac arrest, the American Heart Association’s Get with the Guidelines Resuscitation Registry (12). The authors investigated an appropriate and well-defined category of patients, namely those showing a sustained return of spontaneous circulation (ROSC) after an index (i.e., first) episode of in-hospital cardiac arrest.

The study was large, including more than 5,000 pediatric patients: 3,893 for the derivation cohort and 1,297 for the validation cohort. Seventeen key variables were independently associated with mortality, and each of them was assigned a weighted coefficient, to allow the score calculation. Of note, the score performed well, with a consistent stepwise increase in mortality as the score increased, both in the derivation and the validation cohorts. There was good discrimination and calibration. In addition, the authors evaluated the robustness of the model and the applicability of the score by performing several post hoc sensitivity analyses, most importantly analyses including only events in a contemporary cohort (within the past 5 yr), only patients with a loss of pulse, only patients without a loss of pulse, and age-stratified analyses. Overall, discrimination remained good and calibration moderate. Finally, the model performed well not only for survival to hospital discharge, but also for short-term neurologic outcome measured at hospital discharge.

The authors should be applauded for providing a new interesting tool, easy to calculate, which may enhance the confidence of clinicians when counselling parents and family members after a dramatic event such as a cardiac arrest. In their conclusions, the authors claim that this prediction score may be useful for several purposes: 1) prognostication following cardiac arrest, 2) stratifying patients for research, and 3) guiding quality improvement initiatives. However, they also clearly state such score should not be used for “individual” withdrawal of

*See also p. 186.

Key Words: cardiac arrest; cardiopulmonary resuscitation; pediatrics; prediction model; return of spontaneous circulation

Dr. Biban received funding from AbbVie Srl and Masimo.

Copyright © 2018 by the Society of Critical Care Medicine and the World Federation of Pediatric Intensive and Critical Care Societies

DOI: 10.1097/PCC.0000000000001433

life-sustaining technology decisions, given its inability to identify mortality with 100% specificity (12).

Does this pediatric “GO-FAR” tool “GO-FAR” enough? Could this prediction tool be helpful in other important clinical decision-making, in the early phase following the index cardiac arrest?

Let us consider a patient showing ROSC after prolonged CPR, generating a very high score value, for example, at high risk of in-hospital mortality. Could this score prompt clinicians to change their judgment and decision making? Would this lead to a “self-fulfilling” prophecy? Indeed, a very high prediction score may have a double-sided effect, either urging an escalation of care, for example, making extracorporeal membrane oxygenation CPR immediately available in case of a cardiac arrest relapse, or orienting toward a more compassionate care in case of further cardiac arrest episodes, to avoid futility.

Clearly, several other factors should be taken into account in such a complicated decision process, and clinicians should rely upon their clinical judgment, while considering family’s expectations and wishes, as well as possible ethical implications. However, this score and decision tool are a first step and one tool to help clinicians decide whether we have “gone far enough” or whether to pursue “go further” in the future.

REFERENCES

1. Sutton RM, Morgan RW, Kilbaugh TJ, et al: Cardiopulmonary resuscitation in pediatric and cardiac intensive care units. *Pediatr Clin North Am* 2017; 64:961–972
2. Meert KL, Donaldson A, Nadkarni V, et al; Pediatric Emergency Care Applied Research Network: Multicenter cohort study of in-hospital pediatric cardiac arrest. *Pediatr Crit Care Med* 2009; 10:544–553
3. Jayaram N, Spertus JA, Nadkarni V, et al; American Heart Association’s Get with the Guidelines-Resuscitation Investigators: Hospital variation in survival after pediatric in-hospital cardiac arrest. *Circ Cardiovasc Qual Outcomes* 2014; 7:517–523
4. Alten JA, Klugman D, Raymond TT, et al: Epidemiology and outcomes of cardiac arrest in pediatric cardiac ICUs. *Pediatr Crit Care Med* 2017; 18:935–943
5. Berg RA, Nadkarni VM, Clark AE, et al; Eunice Kennedy Shriver National Institute of Child Health and Human Development Collaborative Pediatric Critical Care Research Network: Incidence and outcomes of cardiopulmonary resuscitation in PICUs. *Crit Care Med* 2016; 44:798–808
6. Ebell MH, Jang W, Shen Y, et al; Get With the Guidelines-Resuscitation Investigators: Development and validation of the Good Outcome Following Attempted Resuscitation (GO-FAR) score to predict neurologically intact survival after in-hospital cardiopulmonary resuscitation. *JAMA Intern Med* 2013; 173:1872–1878
7. Ohlsson MA, Kennedy LM, Ebell MH, et al: Validation of the good outcome following attempted resuscitation score on in-hospital cardiac arrest in southern Sweden. *Int J Cardiol* 2016; 221:294–297
8. Ebell MH, Afonso AM, Geocadin RG; American Heart Association’s Get With the Guidelines-Resuscitation (formerly National Registry of Cardiopulmonary Resuscitation) Investigators: Prediction of survival to discharge following cardiopulmonary resuscitation using classification and regression trees. *Crit Care Med* 2013; 41:2688–2697
9. Grunau B, Taylor J, Scheuermeyer FX, et al: External validation of the universal termination of resuscitation rule for out-of-hospital cardiac arrest in British Columbia. *Ann Emerg Med* 2017; 70:374–381.e1
10. Kashiura M, Hamabe Y, Akashi A, et al; SOS-KANTO 2012 Study Group: Applying the termination of resuscitation rules to out-of-hospital cardiac arrests of both cardiac and non-cardiac etiologies: A prospective cohort study. *Crit Care* 2016; 20:49
11. Chan PS, Spertus JA, Krumholz HM, et al; Get With the Guidelines-Resuscitation Registry Investigators: A validated prediction tool for initial survivors of in-hospital cardiac arrest. *Arch Intern Med* 2012; 172:947–953
12. Holmberg MJ, Moskowitz A, Raymond TT, et al; for the American Heart Association’s Get With The Guidelines-Resuscitation Investigators: Derivation and Internal Validation of a Mortality Prediction Tool for Initial Survivors of Pediatric In-Hospital Cardiac Arrest. *Pediatr Crit Care Med* 2018; 19:186–195