

# The EUropean Network of National Schizophrenia Networks Studying Gene–Environment Interactions (EU-GEI): Incidence and First-Episode Case–Control Programme

Charlotte Gayer-Anderson<sup>1</sup> · Hannah E. Jongsma<sup>2,3</sup> · Marta Di Forti<sup>4</sup> · Diego Quattrone<sup>4</sup> · Eva Velthorst<sup>5,6,7</sup> · Lieuwe de Haan<sup>7</sup> · Jean-Paul Selten<sup>8,9</sup> · Andrei Szöke<sup>10,11,12</sup> · Pierre-Michel Llorca<sup>13</sup> · Andrea Tortelli<sup>14</sup> · Celso Arango<sup>15</sup> · Julio Bobes<sup>16</sup> · Miguel Bernardo<sup>17</sup> · Julio Sanjuán<sup>18</sup> · José Luis Santos<sup>19</sup> · Manuel Arrojo<sup>20</sup> · Mara Parellada<sup>15</sup> · Ilaria Tarricone<sup>21</sup> · Domenico Berardi<sup>21</sup> · Mirella Ruggeri<sup>22</sup> · Antonio Lasalvia<sup>22,23</sup> · Laura Ferraro<sup>24</sup> · Caterina La Cascia<sup>24</sup> · Daniele La Barbera<sup>24</sup> · Paulo Rossi Menezes<sup>25</sup> · Cristina Marta Del-Ben<sup>26</sup> · EU-GEI WP2 Group · Bart P. Rutten<sup>9</sup> · Jim van Os<sup>9,27,28</sup> · Peter B. Jones<sup>3,29</sup> · Robin M. Murray<sup>28</sup> · James B. Kirkbride<sup>2</sup> · Craig Morgan<sup>1</sup>

Received: 15 July 2019 / Accepted: 6 January 2020  $\ensuremath{\mathbb{G}}$  The Author(s) 2020

# Abstract

**Purpose** The EUropean Network of National Schizophrenia Networks Studying Gene–Environment Interactions (EU-GEI) study contains an unparalleled wealth of comprehensive data that allows for testing hypotheses about (1) variations in incidence within and between countries, including by urbanicity and minority ethnic groups; and (2) the role of multiple environmental and genetic risk factors, and their interactions, in the development of psychotic disorders.

**Methods** Between 2010 and 2015, we identified 2774 incident cases of psychotic disorders during 12.9 million person-years at risk, across 17 sites in 6 countries (UK, The Netherlands, France, Spain, Italy, and Brazil). Of the 2774 incident cases, 1130 cases were assessed in detail and form the case sample for case–control analyses. Across all sites, 1497 controls were recruited and assessed. We collected data on an extensive range of exposures and outcomes, including demographic, clinical (e.g. premorbid adjustment), social (e.g. childhood and adult adversity, cannabis use, migration, discrimination), cognitive (e.g. IQ, facial affect processing, attributional biases), and biological (DNA via blood sample/cheek swab). We describe the methodology of the study and some descriptive results, including representativeness of the cohort.

**Conclusions** This resource constitutes the largest and most extensive incidence and case–control study of psychosis ever conducted.

The members of the EU-GEI WP2 Group are listed in Acknowledgements.

**Electronic supplementary material** The online version of this article (https://doi.org/10.1007/s00127-020-01831-x) contains supplementary material, which is available to authorized users.

Craig Morgan craig.morgan@kcl.ac.uk

Extended author information available on the last page of the article

# Introduction

The lifetime prevalence of psychotic disorders is around 3% [1]. The associated individual, familial, social, and economic costs are vast. Psychotic disorders cause considerable distress to sufferers and their families and often lead to marked social dysfunction and exclusion. The economic costs are huge: in Europe, an estimated €94 billion per year [2], of which over half is due to the indirect costs of unemployment, lost productivity, and informal care [3]. The World Health Organisation estimated that in Western countries, the treatment and care of patients with a psychotic disorder range from 1.6 to 2.6% of total healthcare expenditures [4]. Further, individuals with a psychotic disorder are far more likely

to have a physical health problem [5] and to die younger, by as much as 20 years on average, compared with the general population [6].

Our knowledge of the distribution and determinants of psychotic disorders has increased in recent years. The incidence varies by area (e.g. higher in some urban versus rural areas) [7, 8] and social group (e.g. higher in some minority ethnic groups) [9, 10] and, in addition to well-established genetic and neurodevelopmental risk factors [11, 12], there is now substantial evidence implicating several environmental risk factors [13], such as childhood adversity [14, 15] and cannabis use [16]. Pooled relative risks for these risk factors range between two and four, and population attributable risk fractions range between 20 and 35% [17, 18]. Further, there is accumulating evidence that these myriad risk factors interact in complex ways to increase risk of psychosis via effects on the dopaminergic system, dysregulation of which may be the biological process that underpins the formation of psychotic experiences.

However, there remain many gaps, inconsistencies, and unanswered questions, and recent work hints at different patterns of risk in different settings. For example, recent evidence has failed to show a universal association between city living and psychosis [19, 20]. To further add to this conundrum, Colodro-Conde et al. [21] found that the high prevalence of psychosis in some urban areas may be due to gene-environment selection, such that individuals with higher genetic loading for psychosis live in more densely populated areas. More generally, this points to a major limitation to our current knowledge of psychotic disorders: we know that environments affect onset and outcomes, but research so far has been conducted-with some important exceptions-in a remarkably small number of settings (i.e. select centres in the US, UK, and Australasia). Combined, these points emphasise the need for research in more diverse contexts to examine more nuanced hypotheses on the complex interplay between biology and environments in the aetiology of psychotic disorders.

Our knowledge of psychotic disorders is limited, in part, because of heterogeneity in methods, which limits our ability to compare findings across populations [22]. For example, differences in study design (i.e. case-register, versus cohort-based designs, versus first-contact studies), the age structures of populations at risk, case-identification procedures, diagnostic criteria, definitions and measurement of environmental factors, and analytic strategies have made cross-country comparisons difficult and likely obscured important clues to aetiology [23]. The only large-scale international comparative studies conducted to date are the World Health Organisation's multi-country projects of the 1970s and 1980s, which compared the incidence and clinical and social characteristics of treated cases of psychoses from twelve diverse settings in ten countries using a standardised procedure for case identification and data collection [24]. However, since this landmark programme, there have been far-reaching economic and social changes (e.g. migration patterns, cannabis availability and use, and distribution of social risks) with conceivable impacts on the social epidemiology and aetiology of the psychoses. Moreover, studies of environment–gene interactions in psychotic disorders are rare and have typically involved small samples, with limited phenotyping and limited assessment of environmental factors [21, 25, 26].

The EU-GEI programme was established to address these gaps and limitations [27]. EU-GEI is a multi-national research collaboration that was funded for 5 years (1 May 2010–30 April 2015). It consisted of 11 Work Packages (see Supplementary Table S1). This paper profiles the incidence and case–control programme of work (Work Package 2), which comprises the largest multi-site study of psychotic disorders ever conducted. In this paper, we describe the objectives and main aspects of the study.

#### **Objectives**

The overall goal of the present work package was to investigate the role of multiple environmental and genetic risk factors, and their interactions, in the development of psychotic disorders. Specifically, our aims were (1) to investigate the impact of hypothesized environmental exposures, measured at individual and area levels, on (a) risk of psychotic disorders, and (b) high rates of disorder in urban areas and in migrant and minority ethnic groups; and (2) to examine hypothesized (a) gene × environment interactions (GxE), and (b) environment × environment interactions (ExE) across the life course.

# Methods

#### **Study design**

The data resource comprises a multi-site population-based incidence and case–control sample of cases with a first episode of psychosis [International Classification of Diseases (ICD)-10 diagnoses F20–29 and F30–33] and controls drawn from tightly defined catchment areas in 17 sites in 6 countries (England, The Netherlands, France, Spain, Italy, and Brazil; see Fig. 1). The sites were purposefully selected to include a mix of urban and rural areas, with varying proportions from minority ethnic groups (see Table 1).

#### Sample

Recruitment and data collection were conducted over a 5-year period between 2010 and 2015 (Table 1). We also



Fig. 1 Map of EU-GEI settings for the incidence and case-control Work Package

added data from the Veneto region, Italy, collected as part of an earlier study [the Psychosis Incident Cohort Outcome Study (PICOS); 2005–2007], but with sufficiently similar methods to be pooled with that collected for this study. The incidence sample comprised 2774 individuals with a first episode of psychosis. Of these, 1519 were approached, and 1130 were consented and assessed (41% of the total incidence sample). Reasons for non-participation among cases who were approached were refusal to participate, language barriers, and exclusion after consenting as they did not meet the age inclusion criteria. In addition, 1497 controls were recruited and assessed.

#### Statistical power

Our sample of 1130 cases and 1497 controls has high statistical power to test our primary study hypotheses, even after accounting for missing data and for the current necessity of restricting genetic analyses to individuals of non-African ancestry. For example, in a restricted sample of cases 1031 and 1438 controls, we have greater than 80% power to detect an interaction odds ratio of 1.2 at  $p \le 0.05$ , assuming an odds ratio of 2.0 for an environmental exposure and of 1.2 for each unit increase in polygenic score [assuming *N* (0.1) distribution].

Table 1 Recruitment period and duration, and number of incidence and consented cases and controls, per site

Setting	Incidence cases <i>n</i>	Consented cases <i>n</i>	%	Controls <i>n</i>	Recruitment start date	Recruitment end date	Recruitment duration in months
England							
Southeast London <sup>a</sup>	262 <sup>b</sup>	201	n/a	230	01/05/2010	01/05/2013	36
Cambridgeshire	266	45	16.9	106	01/10/2010	30/09/2013	36
The Netherlands							
Amsterdam <sup>a</sup>	292	96	32.9	101	01/10/2010	01/10/2013	36
Gouda and Voorhout	167	100	59.9	109	01/12/2010	01/12/2013	36
Spain							
Madrid <sup>a</sup>	89	39	43.8	38	23/02/2011	31/12/2012	22
Barcelona <sup>a</sup>	108	31	28.7	37	20/12/2010	31/12/2012	25
Valencia <sup>a</sup>	58	49	84.5	32	22/12/2010	31/12/2012	24
Oviedo	82	39	47.6	39	13/12/2010	31/12/2012	25
Santiago	36	28	77.8	38	13/12/2010	31/12/2012	25
Cuenca	27	18	66.7	38	08/02/2011	31/12/2012	23
France							
Paris <sup>a</sup>	120	36	30.0	0	01/06/2012	01/06/2014	24
Val-de-Marne <sup>a</sup>	212	54	25.5	100	01/06/2010	01/06/2014	48
Puy-de-Dôme	42	15	35.7	47	01/09/2010	31/08/2012	24
Italy							
Bologna <sup>a</sup>	165	70	42.4	65	01/01/2011	31/12/2014	48
Veneto	104	59	56.7	115	02/01/2005	31/12/2007	36
Palermo <sup>a</sup>	179	58	32.4	100	02/10/2010	31/05/2014	44
Brazil							
Ribeirão Preto	565	192	34.0	302	01/04/2012	01/04/2015	36
Total	2774	1130	40.7	1497			

<sup>a</sup>Urban site of comparison

<sup>b</sup>Number of incidence cases recruited in London pertains only to the first 12 months of the total recruitment dates for London

# **Case ascertainment and recruitment**

All cases presenting to one of the 17 participating centres in 6 countries with a suspected first episode of psychosis were potentially eligible for inclusion in the study. The inclusion criteria for cases were (a) presence of at least one positive psychotic symptom for at least 1 day duration or two negative psychotic symptoms (for at least 6 months duration) within the timeframe of the study; (b) aged between 18 and 64 years (inclusive); and (c) resident within a clearly defined catchment area at the time of their first presentation. Residence was defined as a minimum of a one night stay at a residential address within the catchment areas. Exclusion criteria were (a) previous contact with specialist mental health services for psychotic symptoms outside of the study period at each site; (b) evidence of psychotic symptoms precipitated by an organic cause (ICD-10: F09); (c) transient psychotic symptoms resulting from acute intoxication (F1X.5); (d) severe learning disabilities, defined by an IQ less than 50 or diagnosis of intellectual disability (F70-F79); and, for the case–control part only, (e) insufficient fluency of the primary language at each site to complete assessments.

Case identification procedures involved teams of researchers regularly screening both general adult and specialist mental health services (both in- and out-patients). The screening process involved researchers regularly liaising with clinical staff and checking clinical records to identity potential cases. The researchers only included those individuals who they could be sure met the criteria based on the symptoms reported in the clinical notes. Potential cases were then approached when considered appropriate by clinical staff and informed consent sought.

# **Control recruitment**

Inclusion criteria for controls were (a) aged between 18 and 64 years; (b) resident within a clearly defined catchment area at the time of consent into the study; (c) sufficient command of the primary language at each site to complete assessments; and (d) no current or past psychotic disorder. To select a population-based sample of controls broadly representative of local populations in relation to age, gender, and ethnicity, a mixture of random and quota sampling was used. Quotas for control recruitment were based on the most accurate local demographic data available. Quotas were then filled using a variety of recruitment methods, including (1) random sampling from lists of all postal addresses (e.g. in London); (2) stratified random sampling via GP lists (e.g. in London and Cambridge) from randomly selected surgeries; and (3) ad hoc approaches (e.g. internet and newspaper adverts, leaflets at local stations, shops, and job centers). In some sites (e.g. London), some groups (e.g. black African and black Caribbean) were oversampled to enable subsequent sub-group analyses. To deal with this in subsequent analyses, weights were generated, based on the most accurate local demographic data available, to minimize any resulting bias in estimating the prevalence of exposures among controls.

Individuals who agreed to take part were screened for a history of psychosis. Those who reported previous or current treatment for psychosis were excluded. Those who responded positively to any question in the screening instrument, indicating a possible psychotic experience, were interviewed further with standardised interviews to assess symptoms and to establish the presence or otherwise of a psychotic disorder. On this basis, no potential controls were found to have a past or current psychotic disorder.

#### Data contents

We collected data on an extensive range of exposures and outcomes across multiple domains using previously validated questionnaires, tasks, and procedures: demographic, clinical, social, psychological, cognitive, and biological (Table 2). All environmental exposures and cognitive and psychological tests were measured using previously validated questionnaires and tasks.

Genetic risk was assessed both indirectly, using a familial liability score for psychosis [28], and directly, using DNA extracted from two 9 ml non-fasting venous blood samples and/or via saliva samples (Oragene). Samples were genotyped using custom Illumina HumanCoreExome-24 BeadChip genotyping arrays containing probes for 570,038 genetic variants (Illumina Inc., San Diego, CA, USA). Genotype data were called using the GenomeStudio package, transferred into PLINK format for further analysis, and underwent quality control based on genotype variants and samples.

## **Quality assurance and control**

Prior to and during data collection, annual multi-site meetings were arranged to bring together principal investigators and core researchers to ensure that standardised procedures were being implemented, to provide training, to discuss issues with data collection, and to conduct inter-rater reliability exercises. The study was designed to ensure comparable procedures and methods across settings, with some local adaptation to allow for variations in healthcare provision and health service contact points. The primary deviation from protocol was in the Veneto region, Italy, where data were derived from a previous study which used comparable methods [29], but had a lower upper-age limit of 54.

Training of researchers who were responsible for administrating the assessments was performed at the outset and throughout the study. This was organised by a technical working committee of the overall EU-GEI study (Work Package 11). An online resource was made available with taped interviews, samples of recordings, and written summaries for staff training purposes. Inter-rater reliability was assessed annually. Researchers were required to attain and maintain a minimum threshold of correct ratings before being allowed to administer the core assessments. Sufficient levels of inter-rater reliability for the core measurements, ranging from 0.70 to 0.91, were achieved, and are shown in Table 3.

#### Data management

Data were collected on paper and, for some cognitive tasks (e.g. the White Noise Task), on laptops and securely stored at each of the participating centres, and was entered locally using an encrypted web-based system, using commercial software (4D) that was adapted specifically for EU-GEI purposes. Data were entered once with field codes restricted to logical values where possible, to minimise data entry errors. Blood or saliva samples were taken at approved clinical research facilities by an experienced researcher and were fully anonymized and identified by bar code, and sent to the Institute of Psychological Medicine and Clinical Neurology at Cardiff University for genotyping. The data resource has undergone a rigorous period of validation checks and cleaning by a small number of experienced researchers. This has involved checks of missing data and corroboration of these against the paper files at each of the 17 sites.

#### **Ethical approval**

All participants who agreed to take part in the study provided informed, written consent following full explanation of the study. Ethical approval for the study was provided by relevant research ethics committees in each of the study sites [30].

# Table 2 EU-GEI study battery summary for the case–control study

	Instruments	Variables/purpose		
Clinical and biological	OPCRIT 4 <sup>a [1, 2]</sup>	Research diagnosis <sup>c</sup>		
	Nottingham Onset Scale <sup>a [3]</sup>	Onset of psychotic symptoms Date of first contact with services Medicated treatment start date for psychosis Duration of untreated psychosis Record of clinical diagnosis		
	Schedule for deficit syndrome <sup>a [4]</sup>	Presence of any deficit syndrome		
	Community assessment of psychic experiences <sup>b [5]</sup>	Assessment of psychopathology in control participants		
	Structured interview for schizotypy-revised <sup>b [6, 7]</sup>	Assessment of schizotypy in control participants Severity of symptoms Impairment of function		
	Global assessment of functioning scales <sup>a,b [8]</sup>			
	Family interview for genetic studies <sup>a, b [9]</sup>	Family history of psychosis or other mental illness in first degree relatives of the proband		
	Medication list <sup>a, b</sup>	Past and present medication use		
	Premorbid Adjustment Scale—shortened <sup>a,b [10, 11]</sup>	Child and adolescent social adjustment Child and adolescent academic adjustment Adolescent sexual adjustment		
	Blood sample and cheek swabs <sup>a,b</sup>	DNA		
Socio-demographic	MRC socio-demographic schedule—modified <sup>a,b [12]</sup>	Age, gender, and ethnicity Place of birth (participant and parents) Age of migration Social class (participant and parents) Past and present addresses Household and living circumstances (past and present) Educational attainment Employment status (past and present) Relationship status (past and present) Income and poverty status (past and present) Religion		
Environmental exposures	Childhood experiences of care and abuse <sup>a,b [13, 14]</sup>	Number of household arrangements Separation from or death of parents Other adverse events (taken into care, excluded from school, run away from home, physical neglect) Absence of peer or adult supports Perceived loneliness Household discord Childhood abuse (physical, sexual, emotional)		
	Amended Bullying Questionnaire <sup>a,b [15, 16]</sup>	Victim of childhood bullying		
	Childhood Trauma Questionnaire <sup>a,b [17]</sup>	Abuse (physical, sexual, emotional) Neglect (physical, emotional)		
	List of threatening events <sup>a, b [18, 19]</sup>	Stressful events and difficulties in the year prior to onset (cases), prior to interview (controls)		
	Social environment assessment tool <sup>a,b [20]</sup>	Subjective rating of participant's neighbourhood (e.g. trus and cooperation)		
	Major Experiences of Discrimination Scale <sup>a,b [21, 22]</sup>	Lifetime exposure to discrimination		
	Cannabis Experience Questionnaire—modified <sup>a,b [23]</sup>	Detailed use of cannabis (past and present) and other recreational drugs		
	CIDI-tobacco and alcohol list <sup>a,b [24]</sup>	Present alcohol and tobacco use		
	Bologna migration history <sup>a,b [25]</sup>	Migration history		
	Devaluation of Consumers Scale <sup>a,b [26]</sup>	Perception of stigma		

#### Table 2 (continued)

	Instruments	Variables/purpose		
Cognitive and psychological	Brief Core Schema Scale <sup>a,b [27]</sup>	Attributional bias		
	Brief Impact of Event Scale <sup>a,b [28, 29]</sup>	Post-traumatic impact of stressful events		
	Jumping to conclusions beads task <sup>a,b [30]</sup>	Probabilistic reasoning bias		
	White noise task <sup>a, b [31]</sup>	Attributional bias to random events		
	Degraded faces <sup>a,b [32]</sup>	Deficits in facial affect processing		
	Benton facial recognition <sup>a,b [33]</sup>	Deficits in unfamiliar face recognition		
	WAIS—shortened <sup>a,b [34]</sup>	IQ		

Data collected from

<sup>a</sup>Cases

<sup>b</sup>Controls

<sup>c</sup>OPCRIT assessment was based on a semi-structured clinical interview, or review of case notes and other relevant information. OPCRIT has been shown to have high inter-rater reliability generally [35, 36], and in our study following training ( $\kappa$ =0.7)

<sup>[1-34]</sup>See Supplementary Appendix A1 for reference list of relevant assessments

Table 3 Inter-rater reliability scores of 115 core researchers

	Reliability ĸ
SIS-R overall	0.79
Positive symptom scale	0.79
Negative symptom scale	0.80
GAF	0.83
OPCRIT	0.70
List of threatening events	0.71
Childhood experiences of care and abuse	0.82
Bullying	0.91
Social class	0.81

# Results

#### Sample representativeness and characteristics

There were similar proportions from minority ethnic groups among consented and non-consented cases (43% vs. 40%). However, the proportion of men and the proportions in younger age groups were higher among consented, compared with non-consented, cases (men: 62% vs. 57%; aged 18–34 years: 69% vs. 60%) (Table 4a). Compared with the general population, controls were more likely to belong to a minority ethnic group (controls: 28%, population at-risk: 23%) and were younger (aged between 18 and 34 years, controls: 56%, population at-risk: 38%) (Table 4b). The greater proportion of controls who were from minority ethnic groups reflects oversampling in some sites (e.g. London) to enable subsequent sub-group analyses.

Cases were younger than controls {median age of cases was 29 years [interquartile range (IQR) 22–37], and controls 33 years old [IQR 26–47]}. Compared with controls, a greater proportion of the cases were men (62% vs. 49%), migrants (28% vs. 22%), and left school without any qualifications (16% versus 6%); a smaller proportion was of white ethnicity (63% versus 73%) (see Supplementary Table S2).

# Discussion

This study was conducted in a diverse range of settings across Europe and one setting in Brazil, selected to ensure a mix of urban and rural areas with large migrant and minority ethnic populations. This maximises its applicability to and importance for public health initiatives, with potential implications for both prevention and intervention, particularly among minority ethnic groups, and in urban areas, and in relation to cannabis and other substance use and developmental adversity. Our primary hypotheses centre on examining variations in incidence and symptoms, environmental risk factors, and the interplay between environment and genetic factors in the development of psychotic disorders.

# **Incidence and symptoms**

We have already published findings of the overall variations in incidence of psychoses by site [30]. Our findings suggest marked geographical differences in the incidence of psychotic disorders, with around an eightfold variation among study sites after accounting for age, sex, and minority ethnic status. At an area level, initial analyses suggest that some of this variation may be related to the proportion

	Incidence cases		Consented cases		$\chi^2$	p value
	n	%	n	%		
Age <sup>a</sup>						
18–24	808	29.2	415	36.7	35.24	< 0.01
25-34	868	31.4	365	32.3		
35–44	558	20.2	204	18.1		
45–54	382	13.8	104	9.2		
55-64	152	5.5	42	3.7		
Sex <sup>b</sup>						
Male	1578	56.9	697	61.6	7.34	< 0.01
Female	1192	43.1	433	38.4		
Ethnic minority sta	atus <sup>c</sup>					
Majority	1639	60.2	648	57.5	2.24	0.13
Minority	1088	39.8	479	42.5		
	Population at-risk		Controls <sup>f</sup>		$\chi^2$	p value
	n	%	n	%		
Age <sup>d</sup>						
18–24	1,828,075	14.1	322	21.5	210.70	< 0.01
25-34	3,057,640	23.6	512	34.3		
35–44	3,058,837	23.7	232	15.5		
45–54	2,856,614	21.9	254	17.0		
55-64	2,152,499	16.6	175	11.7		
Sex						
Male	6,337,783	49.5	706	47.2	3.29	0.07
Female	6,464,653	50.5	791	52.8		
Ethnic minority sta	atus <sup>e</sup>					
Majority	9,881,660	77.2	1084	72.1	17.54	< 0.01
Minority	2,917,823	22.8	408	27.9		

**Table 4** Representativeness of (a) the consented case sample compared with the incidence sample, and (b) the control sample compared with the population-at-risk

Missing data on

<sup>a</sup>6 incidence cases

<sup>b</sup>4 incidence cases

<sup>c</sup>47 incidence cases (42 of whom were from Puy-de-Dôme), and 3 assessed cases

<sup>d</sup>2 controls

e5 controls

<sup>f</sup>This does not include Paris, as no controls were recruited here

of owner-occupied homes in an area (a tentative proxy for social cohesion or socioeconomic deprivation), i.e. areas with more owner-occupied homes had, on average, lower rates of psychotic disorder. Analyses of variations in incidence by ethnic group are ongoing. Analyses of symptom data on incident cases, collated using the OPCRIT, have examined the validity of a transdiagnostic dimensional structure of psychopathology and, in doing so, have challenged the common binary categorisation of psychoses into non-affective and affective disorders [31]. Our findings suggest that a bifactor model of psychopathology, comprising one general factor and five dimensions (positive, negative, manic, disorganised, and depressive symptoms), best represents the structure of symptoms among those with a psychotic disorder. We further found, compared with majority populations, cases in minority ethnic groups scored higher on the positive psychotic symptom dimension; and, compared with rural areas, cases in urban areas scored higher on the general symptom dimension.

#### **Environmental risk**

The initial focus of analyses of our case-control data resource is the associations and population impact of

putative environmental risk factors, including childhood adversity and abuse, adult adversity, discrimination, and cannabis use. In analyses of cannabis use data, for example, we found that, compared with those who did not use cannabis, the odds of psychosis were (1) around three times higher among those who used cannabis daily; (2) around two times higher among those who spent more than 20 Euros a week on cannabis; and (3) around 50% higher among those who used cannabis high in THC [32]. In addition, we found variations in population attributable fractions for daily cannabis use on psychosis [32], with population attributable fractions (i.e. the proportion of psychosis, assuming causality, attributable to daily use) ranging from 1 (in Puy-de-Dôme, France) to 44% (in Amsterdam). Similar analyses examining childhood and adult adversity are ongoing, focusing on type, severity, and age of exposure (Morgan et al., in preparation).

These analyses will be further extended to examine environment–environment and gene–environment interactions and to more clearly elucidate the pathogenic processes underpinning observed variations in incidence across study sites [30] and high rates of psychotic disorders in urban areas [7, 8], and in migrant and minority ethnic groups [9, 10].

# Strengths and weaknesses

To our knowledge, this is the most extensive multi-site incidence and case-control study of first-episode psychosis ever conducted, with comprehensive data on a variety of environmental, psychological, and genetic risk factors. The primary strength of the EU-GEI study is its potential to provide ground-breaking and important information about the development of psychoses, by investigating the complex interrelationships between candidate environmental, psychological, and biological (genetic) factors and psychotic disorders, including the mechanisms through which they increase risk. In addition, given that our study was carried out in major urban and rural sites with heterogeneous populations suggests that our external validity may extend to other centres with similar population profiles. The combined incidence and case-control methodology allows for precise identification of, and ability to account for, any potential selection biases amongst the recruited and assessed cases. The richness of the exposure information available will allow for more nuanced analyses and a more fine-grained understanding of their impact on psychotic disorder than has been possible to date. Importantly, the inclusion of only cases with a first episode of psychosis (rather than individuals with long-standing disorder) allows inferences to be made about causal connections and processes.

The primary limitation of these data resource relates to case identification. As in all previous studies, we relied on first contact with mental health services as a proxy for first onset. While it is likely most individuals who develop a psychotic disorder do present to services, at least in sites with well-developed public health systems, some who do not present will be missed and this may introduce selection biases. Any rate estimates should, therefore, be considered as treated incidence. Further, variations in referral procedures of patients with psychosis from primary to secondary mental health care settings and in the organization of secondary mental health care services across catchment areas may have influenced the identification of cases, and may explain some of the variation in estimates of incidence across study sites and countries. For example, unlike in other settings, patients in Madrid are not constrained to using mental health services in their residential catchment areas [33]. However, as highlighted by Jongsma et al. [30], the divergences in service provision and cultural context are unlikely to fully explain the eightfold variation in incidence across sites.

There are also several limitations that are inherent to case–control designs. First, while substantial efforts were made at the outset to reduce the potential biases in the identification of cases (e.g. recruitment of participants from a number of sources using a variety of methods, including inpatient wards and community teams) and controls (e.g. use of mixture of random and quota sampling), we were not entirely successful; our cases are not fully representative of the sample identified in the incidence study, and our controls not of the population-at-risk. For example, reliance in some sites on recruitment of controls through ad hoc methods, such as newspaper advertisements, may have biased samples. Interpretations of estimated effects (odds ratios) should be considered with this in mind.

Second, there is the potential for both recall and observer bias. To minimise these, and validate environmental exposures, several steps were taken. For core environmental exposures (e.g. childhood adversity and cannabis use), we used extensive, well-validated measures, that drew on life course methods to anchor memories and improve recall. All researchers administering these assessments went through intensive training, with regular top-ups. Further, where possible, we drew on corroborative sources of information in the assessment of exposure to childhood and adulthood adversity [e.g. clinical records, interviews with siblings of a subsample of cases (n = 272)].

Third, measurement of exposure occurred after onset of disorder, making causal inferences problematic. To establish the temporal ordering of exposure and outcome, we carefully established the date of onset of disorder and, for measures of exposures in childhood and adulthood, ensured that all assessments related to the period pre-onset.

Finally, given the large battery of tests and interviews conducted with our participants, data were missing for some assessments, particularly towards the end of the study battery. Where appropriate, a standardised procedure for multiple imputation will be used to minimise the loss of precision or selection biases which may otherwise be introduced in complete case analyses.

## **Data resource access**

The EU-GEI WP2 principal investigators (contact: craig. morgan@kcl.ac.uk) welcome formal requests for access to the data, biological samples, and/or collaborative projects. Researchers will be required to complete an EU-GEI WP2 data interest form to state their intended hypotheses and analysis plan, which will be reviewed by the PIs to determine whether the proposal can be addressed by this data resource, does not duplicate on-going or completed analyses with this dataset, and lies within the scope of current ethical approvals. More information about the study can be found on the study website (https://www.eu-gei.eu/).

Acknowledgements The EU-GEI Study is funded by grant agreement HEALTH-F2-2010-241909 (Project EU-GEI) from the European Community's Seventh Framework Programme, and Grant 2012/0417-0 from the São Paulo Research Foundation.

The European Network of National Schizophrenia Networks Studying Gene-Environment Interactions (EU-GEI) WP2 Group non-author members include Kathryn Hubbard (Department of Health Service and Population Research, Institute of Psychiatry, Psychology and Neuroscience (IoPPN), King's College London, De Crespigny Park, Denmark Hill, London SE5 8AF, UK), Stephanie Beards (Department of Health Service and Population Research, Institute of Psychiatry, Psychology and Neuroscience (IoPPN), King's College London, De Crespigny Park, Denmark Hill, London SE5 8AF, UK), Ulrich Reininghaus (Department of Health Service and Population Research, Institute of Psychiatry, Psychology and Neuroscience (IoPPN), King's College London, De Crespigny Park, Denmark Hill, London SE5 8AF, UK (Rivierduinen Centre for Mental Health, Leiden, Sandifortdreef 19, 2333 ZZ Leiden, The Netherlands), Giada Tripoli (Department of Psychosis Studies, Institute of Psychiatry, Psychology and Neuroscience (IoPPN), King's College London, De Crespigny Park, Denmark Hill, London SE5 8AF, UK, Department of Experimental Biomedicine and Clinical Neuroscience, Section of Psychiatry, University of Palermo, Via G. La Loggia n.1, 90129 Palermo, Italy), Simona A. Stilo (Department of Psychosis Studies, Institute of Psychiatry, Psychology and Neuroscience (IoPPN), King's College London, De Crespigny Park, Denmark Hill, London SE5 8AF, UK), Mara Parellada (Department of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry, Hospital General Universitario Gregorio Marañón, School of Medicine, Universidad Complutense, Investigación Sanitaria del Hospital Gregorio Marañón (IiSGM), Centro de Investigación Biomédica en Red de Salud Mental (CIBERSAM), Madrid, Spain), Laura Roldán (Department of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry, Hospital General Universitario Gregorio Marañón, School of Medicine, Universidad Complutense, Investigación Sanitaria del Hospital Gregorio Marañón (IiSGM), Centro de Investigación Biomédica en Red de Salud Mental (CIBERSAM), Madrid, Spain), Gonzalo López (Department of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry, Hospital General Universitario Gregorio Marañón, School of Medicine, Universidad Complutense, Investigación Sanitaria del Hospital Gregorio Marañón (IiSGM), Centro de Investigación Biomédica en Red de Salud Mental (CIBERSAM), Madrid, Spain), Mario Matteis (Department of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry, Hospital General Universitario Gregorio Marañón, School of Medicine, Universidad Complutense, Investigación Sanitaria del Hospital Gregorio Marañón (IiSGM), Centro de Investigación Biomédica en Red de Salud Mental (CIBERSAM), Madrid, Spain), Marta Rapado (Department of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry, Hospital General Universitario Gregorio Marañón, School of Medicine, Universidad Complutense, Investigación Sanitaria del Hospital Gregorio Marañón (IiSGM), Centro de Investigación Biomédica en Red de Salud Mental (CIBERSAM), Madrid, Spain), Emiliano González (Department of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry, Hospital General Universitario Gregorio Marañón, School of Medicine, Universidad Complutense, Investigación Sanitaria del Hospital Gregorio Marañón (IiSGM), Centro de Investigación Biomédica en Red de Salud Mental (CIBERSAM), Madrid, Spain), Covadonga Martínez (Department of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry, Hospital General Universitario Gregorio Marañón, School of Medicine, Universidad Complutense, Investigación Sanitaria del Hospital Gregorio Marañón (IiSGM), Centro de Investigación Biomédica en Red de Salud Mental (CIBERSAM), Madrid, Spain), Pedro Cuadrado (Villa de Vallecas Mental Health Department, Villa de Vallecas Mental Health Centre, Hospital Universitario Infanta Leonor/Hospital Virgen de la Torre, Madrid, Spain), José Juan Rodríguez Solano (Puente de Vallecas Mental Health Department, Hospital Universitario Infanta Leonor/Hospital Virgen de la Torre, Centro de Salud Mental Puente de Vallecas, C/Peña Gorbea 4, 28018 Madrid, Spain), Angel Carracedo (Fundación Pública Galega de Medicina Xenómica, Hospital Clínico Universitario, Choupana s/n, 15782 Santiago de Compostela, Spain), Javier Costas (Fundación Pública Galega de Medicina Xenómica, Hospital Clínico Universitario, Choupana s/n, 15782 Santiago de Compostela, Spain), Enrique García Bernardo (Department of Psychiatry, Hospital General Universitario Gregorio Marañón, School of Medicine, Universidad Complutense, Investigación Sanitaria del Hospital Gregorio Marañón (IiSGM), Centro de Investigación Biomédica en Red de Salud Mental (CIBERSAM), C/Doctor Esquerdo 46, 28007 Madrid, Spain), Emilio Sánchez (Department of Psychiatry, Hospital General Universitario Gregorio Marañón, School of Medicine, Universidad Complutense, Investigación Sanitaria del Hospital Gregorio Marañón (IiSGM), Centro de Investigación Biomédica en Red de Salud Mental (CIBERSAM), C/Doctor Esquerdo 46, 28007 Madrid, Spain), Ma Soledad Olmeda (Department of Psychiatry, Hospital General Universitario Gregorio Marañón, School of Medicine, Universidad Complutense, Investigación Sanitaria del Hospital Gregorio Marañón (IiSGM), Centro de Investigación Biomédica en Red de Salud Mental (CIBERSAM), C/Doctor Esquerdo 46, 28007 Madrid, Spain), Bibiana Cabrera (Department of Psychiatry, Hospital Clinic, Institut d'Investigacions Biomèdiques August Pi i Sunyer (IDIBAPS), Centro de Investigación Biomédica en Red de Salud Mental (CIBERSAM), Universidad de Barcelona, C/ Villarroel 170, escalera 9, planta 6, 08036 Barcelona, Spain), Esther Lorente-Rovira (Department of Psychiatry, School of Medicine, Universidad de Valencia, Centro de Investigación Biomédica en Red de Salud Mental (CIBERSAM), C/Avda. Blasco Ibáñez 15, 46010 Valencia, Spain), Paz Garcia-Portilla (Department of Medicine, Psychiatry Area, School of Medicine, Universidad de Oviedo, Centro de Investigación Biomédica en Red de Salud Mental (CIBERSAM), C/Julián Clavería s/n, 33006 Oviedo, Spain), Estela Jiménez-López (Department of Psychiatry, Servicio de Psiquiatría Hospital "Virgen de la Luz", C/ Hermandad de Donantes de Sangre, 16002 Cuenca, Spain), Nathalie Franke (Department of Psychiatry, Early Psychosis Section, Academic Medical Centre, University of Amsterdam, Meibergdreef 5, 1105 AZ Amsterdam, The Netherlands), Daniella van Dam (Department of Psychiatry, Early Psychosis Section, Academic Medical Centre, University of Amsterdam, Meibergdreef 5, 1105 AZ Amsterdam, The Netherlands), Fabian Termorshuizen (Department of Psychiatry and Neuropsychology, School for Mental Health and Neuroscience, South Limburg Mental Health Research and Teaching Network, Maastricht University Medical Centre, P.O. Box 616, 6200 MD Maastricht, The Netherlands, Rivierduinen Centre for Mental Health, Leiden, Sandifortdreef 19, 2333 ZZ Leiden, The Netherlands), Elsje van der Ven (Department of Psychiatry and Neuropsychology, School for Mental Health and Neuroscience, South Limburg Mental Health Research and Teaching Network, Maastricht University Medical Centre, P.O.

Box 616, 6200 MD Maastricht, The Netherlands, Rivierduinen Centre for Mental Health, Leiden, Sandifortdreef 19, 2333 ZZ Leiden, The Netherlands), Elles Messchaart (Rivierduinen Centre for Mental Health, Leiden, Sandifortdreef 19, 2333 ZZ Leiden, The Netherlands), Marion Leboyer (AP-HP, Groupe Hospitalier "Mondor", Pôle de Psychiatrie, 51 Avenue de Maréchal de Lattre de Tassigny, 94010 Créteil, France, Institut National de la Santé et de la Recherche Médicale (INSERM), U955, Equipe 15, 51 Avenue de Maréchal de Lattre de Tassigny, 94010 Créteil, France, Faculté de Médecine, Université Paris-Est, 51 Avenue de Maréchal de Lattre de Tassigny, 94010 Créteil, France, Fondation Fondamental, 40 Rue de Mesly, 94000 Créteil, France), Franck Schürhoff (AP-HP, Groupe Hospitalier "Mondor", Pôle de Psychiatrie, 51 Avenue de Maréchal de Lattre de Tassigny, 94010 Créteil, France, Institut National de la Santé et de la Recherche Médicale (INSERM), U955, Equipe 15, 51 Avenue de Maréchal de Lattre de Tassigny, 94010 Créteil, France, Faculté de Médecine, Université Paris-Est, 51 Avenue de Maréchal de Lattre de Tassigny, 94010 Créteil, France, Fondation Fondamental, 40 Rue de Mesly, 94000 Créteil, France), Grégoire Baudin (AP-HP, Groupe Hospitalier "Mondor", Pôle de Psychiatrie, 51 Avenue de Maréchal de Lattre de Tassigny, 94010 Créteil, France, Institut National de la Santé et de la Recherche Médicale (INSERM), U955, Equipe 15, 51 Avenue de Maréchal de Lattre de Tassigny, 94010 Créteil, France), Aziz Ferchiou (AP-HP, Groupe Hospitalier "Mondor", Pôle de Psychiatrie, 51 Avenue de Maréchal de Lattre de Tassigny, 94010 Créteil, France, Institut National de la Santé et de la Recherche Médicale (INSERM), U955, Equipe 15, 51 Avenue de Maréchal de Lattre de Tassigny, 94010 Créteil, France), Baptiste Pignon (AP-HP, Groupe Hospitalier "Mondor", Pôle de Psychiatrie, 51 Avenue de Maréchal de Lattre de Tassigny, 94010 Créteil, France, Institut National de la Santé et de la Recherche Médicale (INSERM), U955, Equipe 15, 51 Avenue de Maréchal de Lattre de Tassigny, 94010 Créteil, France, Fondation Fondamental, 40 Rue de Mesly, 94000 Créteil, France), Stéphane Jamain (Institut National de la Santé et de la Recherche Médicale (INSERM), U955, Equipe 15, 51 Avenue de Maréchal de Lattre de Tassigny, 94010 Créteil, France, Faculté de Médecine, Université Paris-Est, 51 Avenue de Maréchal de Lattre de Tassigny, 94010 Créteil, France, Fondation Fondamental, 40 Rue de Mesly, 94000 Créteil, France), Jean-Romain Richard (Institut National de la Santé et de la Recherche Médicale (INSERM), U955, Equipe 15, 51 Avenue de Maréchal de Lattre de Tassigny, 94010 Créteil, France, Fondation Fondamental, 40 Rue de Mesly, 94000 Créteil, France), Thomas Charpeaud, Fondation Fondamental, 40 Rue de Mesly, 94000 Créteil, France, CMP B CHU, BP 69, 63003 Clermont Ferrand, Cedex 1, France, Université Clermont Auvergne, EA 7280, Clermont-Ferrand 63000, France), Anne-Marie Tronche(Fondation Fondamental, 40 Rue de Mesly, 94000 Créteil, France, CMP B CHU, BP 69, 63003 Clermont Ferrand, Cedex 1, France, Université Clermont Auvergne, EA 7280, Clermont-Ferrand 63000, France), Flora Frijda (Etablissement Public de Santé (EPS), Maison Blanche, Paris 75020, France), Lucia Sideli (Department of Experimental Biomedicine and Clinical Neuroscience, Section of Psychiatry, University of Palermo, Via G. La Loggia n.1, 90129 Palermo, Italy), Fabio Seminerio (Department of Experimental Biomedicine and Clinical Neuroscience, Section of Psychiatry, University of Palermo, Via G. La Loggia n.1, 90129 Palermo, Italy), Crocettarachele Sartorio (Department of Experimental Biomedicine and Clinical Neuroscience, Section of Psychiatry, University of Palermo, Via G. La Loggia n.1, 90129 Palermo, Italy, Unit of Psychiatry, "P. Giaccone" General Hospital, Via G. La Loggia n.1, 90129 Palermo, Italy), Giovanna Marrazzo (Unit of Psychiatry, "P. Giaccone" General Hospital, Via G. La Loggia n.1, 90129 Palermo, Italy), Camila Marcelino Loureiro (Departamento de Neurociências e Ciencias do Comportamento, Faculdade de Medicina de Ribeirão Preto, Universidade de São Paulo, Av. Bandeirantes, 3900-Monte Alegre- CEP 14049-900, Ribeirão Preto, SP, Brasil, Núcleo de Pesquina em Saúde Mental Populacional, Universidade de São Paulo, Avenida Doutor Arnaldo 455, CEP 01246-903, SP, Brasil), Rosana Shuhama (Departamento de Neurociências e Ciencias do Comportamento, Faculdade de Medicina de Ribeirão Preto, Universidade de São Paulo, Av. Bandeirantes, 3900-Monte Alegre- CEP 14049-900, Ribeirão Preto, SP, Brasil, Núcleo de Pesquina em Saúde Mental Populacional, Universidade de São Paulo, Avenida Doutor Arnaldo 455, CEP 01246-903, SP, Brasil), Mirella Ruggeri (Section of Psychiatry, Department of Neuroscience, Biomedicine and Movement, University of Verona, Piazzale L.A. Scuro 10, 37134 Verona, Italy), Sarah Tosato (Section of Psychiatry, Department of Neuroscience, Biomedicine and Movement, University of Verona, Piazzale L.A. Scuro 10, 37134 Verona, Italy), Chiara Bonetto (Section of Psychiatry, Department of Neuroscience, Biomedicine and Movement, University of Verona, Italy), Doriana Cristofalo (Section of Psychiatry, Department of Neuroscience, Biomedicine and Movement, University of Verona, Italy), Doriana Cristofalo (Section of Psychiatry, Department of Neuroscience, Biomedicine and Movement, University of Verona, Italy), Doriana Cristofalo (Section of Psychiatry, Department of Neuroscience, Biomedicine and Movement, University of Verona, Italy), Chiara Bonetto (Neuroscience, Biomedicine and Movement, University of Verona, Italy), Doriana Cristofalo (Section of Psychiatry, Department of Neuroscience, Biomedicine and Movement, University of Verona, Piazzale L.A. Scuro 10, 37134 Verona, Italy), Doriana Cristofalo (Section of Psychiatry, Department of Neuroscience, Biomedicine and Movement, University of Verona, Piazzale L.A. Scuro 10, 37134 Verona, Italy).

#### **Compliance with ethical standards**

**Conflict of interest** On behalf of all authors, the corresponding author states that there is no conflict of interest.

**Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.

# References

- Perälä J, Suvisaari J, Saarni SI, Kuoppasalmi K, Isometsä E, Pirkola S, Partonen T, Tuulio-Henriksson A, Hintikka J, Kieseppä T et al (2007) Lifetime prevalence of psychotic and bipolar I disorders in a general population. Arch Gen Psychiatry 64(1):19–28
- Olesen J, Gustavsson A, Svensson M, Wittchen HU, Jönsson B, CDBE2010 Study Group, European Brain Council (2012) The economic cost of brain disorders in Europe. Eur J Neurol 19(1):155–162
- Chong HY, Teoh SL, Wu DB-C, Kotirum S, Chiou C-F, Chaiyakunapruk N (2016) Global economic burden of schizophrenia: a systematic review. Neuropsychiatr Dis Treat 12:357
- Barbato A (1998) Nations for mental health: schizophrenia and public health. Geneva: World Health Organization Division of Mental Health and Prevention of Substance Abuse (MSA)
- De Hert M, Cohen D, Bobes J, Cetkovich-Bakmas M, Leucht S, Ndetei DM, Newcomer JW, Uwakwe R, Asai I, Möller HJ et al (2011) Physical illness in patients with severe mental disorders. II. Barriers to care, monitoring and treatment guidelines, plus recommendations at the system and individual level. World Psychiatry 10(2):138–151
- Laursen TM, Munk-Olsen T, Vestergaard M (2012) Life expectancy and cardiovascular mortality in persons with schizophrenia. Curr Opin Psychiatry 25(2):83–88

- Vassos E, Pedersen CB, Murray RM, Collier DA, Lewis CM (2012) Meta-analysis of the association of urbanicity with schizophrenia. Schizophr Bull 38(6):1118–1123
- Kirkbride JB, Keyes KM, Susser E (2018) City living and psychotic disorders—implications of global heterogeneity for theory development. JAMA Psychiatry 75(12):1211–1212
- Kirkbride JB, Hameed Y, Ioannidis K, Ankireddypalli G, Crane CM, Nasir M, Kabacs N, Metastasio A, Jenkins O, Espandian A et al (2017) Ethnic minority status, age-at-immigration and psychosis risk in rural environments: evidence from the SEPEA study. Schizophr Bull 43(6):1251–1261
- Bourque F, van der Ven E, Malla A (2011) A meta-analysis of the risk for psychotic disorders among first-and second-generation immigrants. Psychol Med 41(5):897–910
- Esterberg ML, Trotman HD, Holtzman C, Compton MT, Walker EF (2010) The impact of a family history of psychosis on ageat-onset and positive and negative symptoms of schizophrenia: a meta-analysis. Schizophr Res 120(1–3):121–130
- Schizophrenia Working Group of the Psychiatric Genomics Consortium (2014) Biological insights from 108 schizophreniaassociated genetic loci. Nature 511:421–427
- Belbasis L, Köhler C, Stefanis N, Stubbs B, van Os J, Vieta E, Seeman M, Arango C, Carvalho A, Evangelou E (2018) Risk factors and peripheral biomarkers for schizophrenia spectrum disorders: an umbrella review of meta-analyses. Acta Psychiatr Scand 137(2):88–97
- Varese F, Smeets F, Drukker M, Lieverse R, Lataster T, Viechtbauer W, Read J, van Os J, Bentall RP (2012) Childhood adversities increase the risk of psychosis: a meta-analysis of patientcontrol, prospective-and cross-sectional cohort studies. Schizophr Bull 38(4):661–671
- Morgan C, Gayer-Anderson C (2016) Childhood adversities and psychosis: evidence, challenges, implications. World Psychiatry 15(2):93–102
- Colizzi M, Murray R (2018) Cannabis and psychosis: what do we know and what should we do? Br J Psychiatry 212(4):195–196
- 17. Di Forti M, Marconi A, Carra E, Fraietta S, Trotta A, Bonomo M, Bianconi F, Gardner-Sood P, O'Connor J, Russo M et al (2015) Proportion of patients in south London with first-episode psychosis attributable to use of high potency cannabis: a case–control study. Lancet Psychiatry 2(3):233–238
- Wicks S, Hjern A, Gunnell D, Lewis G, Dalman C (2005) Social adversity in childhood and the risk of developing psychosis: a national cohort study. Am J Psychiatry 162(9):1652–1657
- DeVylder JE, Kelleher I, Lalane M, Oh H, Link BG, Koyanagi A (2018) Association of urbanicity with psychosis in low-and middle-income countries. JAMA Psychiatry 75(7):678–686
- Fett A-K, Lemmers-Jansen I, Krabbendam L (2019) Psychosis and urbanicity—a review of the recent literature from epidemiology to neurourbanism. Curr Opin Psychiatry 32(3):232–241
- Colodro-Conde L, Couvy-Duchesne B, Whitfield JB, Streit F, Gordon S, Kemper KE, Yengo L, Zheng Z, Trzaskowski M, De Zeeuw EL et al (2018) Association between population density and genetic risk for schizophrenia. JAMA Psychiatry 75(9):901–910

- 22. McGrath J, Saha S, Welham J, El Saadi O, MacCauley C, Chant D (2004) A systematic review of the incidence of schizophrenia: the distribution of rates and the influence of sex, urbanicity, migrant status and methodology. BMC Med 2(1):13
- McGrath JJ (2003) Invited commentary: gaining traction on the epidemiologic landscape of schizophrenia. Am J Epidemiol 158(4):301–304
- Jablensky A, Sartorius N, Ernberg G, Anker M, Korten A, Cooper JE, Day R, Bertelsen A (1992) Schizophrenia: manifestations, incidence and course in different cultures. A World Health Organization Ten-Country Study. Psychol Med Suppl 20:1–97
- 25. Hartz SM, Horton AC, Hancock DB, Baker TB, Caporaso NE, Chen L-S, Hokanson JE, Lutz SM, Marazita ML, McNeil DW et al (2018) Genetic correlation between smoking behaviors and schizophrenia. Schizophr Res 194:86–90
- 26. Sariaslan A, Fazel S, D'onofrio B, Långström N, Larsson H, Bergen S, Kuja-Halkola R, Lichtenstein P (2016) Schizophrenia and subsequent neighborhood deprivation: revisiting the social drift hypothesis using population, twin and molecular genetic data. Transl Psychiatry 6(5):e796
- European Network of National Networks studying Gene–Environment Interactions in Schizophrenia (EU-GEI) (2014) Identifying gene–environment interactions in schizophrenia: contemporary challenges for integrated, large-scale investigations. Schizophr Bull 40(4):729–736
- Verdoux H, Van Os J, Sham PC, Jones PB, Gilvarry K, Murray R (1996) Does familiality predispose to both emergence and persistence of psychosis? A follow-up study. Br J Psychiatry 168(5):620–626
- 29. Lasalvia A, Bonetto C, Tosato S, Zanatta G, Cristofalo D, Salazzari D, Lazzarotto L, Bertani M, Bissoli S, De Santi K et al (2014) First-contact incidence of psychosis in north-eastern Italy: influence of age, gender, immigration and socioeconomic deprivation. Br J Psychiatry 205(2):127–134
- Jongsma HE, Gayer-Anderson C, Lasalvia A, Quattrone D, Mulè A, Szöke A, Selten J-P, Turner C, Arango C, Tarricone I et al (2018) Treated incidence of psychotic disorders in the multinational EU-GEI study. JAMA Psychiatry 75(1):36–46
- 31. Quattrone D, Di Forti M, Gayer-Anderson C, Ferraro L, Jongsma HE, Tripoli G, La Cascia C, La Barbera D, Tarricone I, Berardi D et al (2019) Transdiagnostic dimensions of psychopathology at first episode psychosis: findings from the multinational EU-GEI study. Psychol Med 49(8):1378–1391
- 32. Di Forti M, Quattrone D, Freeman TP, Jongsma HE, Tripoli G, Gayer-Anderson C, Quigley H, Rodriguez V, Ferraro L, La Cascia C et al (2019) The contribution of cannabis use to variation in the incidence of psychotic disorder across Europe: the EUGEI case–control study. Lancet Psychiatry 6(5):427–436
- Boletín Oficial del Estado (2009) Law 6/2009, November 16; Freedom of Choice in the Health of the Community of Madrid (in Spanish). https://www.boe.es/buscar/pdf/2010/BOE-A-2010-2187-consolidado.pdf. Accessed Dec 2018

# Affiliations

Charlotte Gayer-Anderson<sup>1</sup> · Hannah E. Jongsma<sup>2,3</sup> · Marta Di Forti<sup>4</sup> · Diego Quattrone<sup>4</sup> · Eva Velthorst<sup>5,6,7</sup> · Lieuwe de Haan<sup>7</sup> · Jean-Paul Selten<sup>8,9</sup> · Andrei Szöke<sup>10,11,12</sup> · Pierre-Michel Llorca<sup>13</sup> · Andrea Tortelli<sup>14</sup> · Celso Arango<sup>15</sup> · Julio Bobes<sup>16</sup> · Miguel Bernardo<sup>17</sup> · Julio Sanjuán<sup>18</sup> · José Luis Santos<sup>19</sup> · Manuel Arrojo<sup>20</sup> · Mara Parellada<sup>15</sup> · Ilaria Tarricone<sup>21</sup> · Domenico Berardi<sup>21</sup> · Mirella Ruggeri<sup>22</sup> · Antonio Lasalvia<sup>22,23</sup> · Laura Ferraro<sup>24</sup> · Caterina La Cascia<sup>24</sup> · Daniele La Barbera<sup>24</sup> · Paulo Rossi Menezes<sup>25</sup> · Cristina Marta Del-Ben<sup>26</sup> · EU-GEI WP2 Group · Bart P. Rutten<sup>9</sup> · Jim van Os<sup>9,27,28</sup> · Peter B. Jones<sup>3,29</sup> · Robin M. Murray<sup>28</sup> · James B. Kirkbride<sup>2</sup> · Craig Morgan<sup>1</sup>

- <sup>1</sup> Department of Health Services and Population Research, Institute of Psychiatry, Psychology and Neuroscience, King's College London, 16 De Crespigny Park, London SE5 8AF, England
- <sup>2</sup> PsyLife Group, Division of Psychiatry, University College London, London, England
- <sup>3</sup> Department of Psychiatry, University of Cambridge, Cambridge, England
- <sup>4</sup> Social, Genetic, and Developmental Psychiatry Centre, Institute of Psychiatry, Psychology and Neuroscience, King's College London, London, England
- <sup>5</sup> Department of Psychiatry, Icahn School of Medicine at Mount Sinai, New York, USA
- <sup>6</sup> Seaver Autism Center for Research and Treatment, Icahn School of Medicine at Mount Sinai, New York, USA
- <sup>7</sup> Early Psychosis Section, Department of Psychiatry, Academic Medical Centre, University of Amsterdam, Amsterdam, The Netherlands
- <sup>8</sup> Institute for Mental Health, GGZ Rivierduinen, Leiden, The Netherlands
- <sup>9</sup> Department of Psychiatry and Neuropsychology, School for Mental Health and Neuroscience, Maastricht University Medical Centre, Maastricht, The Netherlands
- <sup>10</sup> Institut National de la Santé et de la Recherche Médicale, U955, Equipe 15 Neuro-Psychiatrie Translationnelle, Créteil, France
- <sup>11</sup> AP-HP, Pôle de Psychiatrie des Hôpitaux Universitaires Henri Mondor, Créteil, France
- <sup>12</sup> Fondation FondaMental, Créteil, France
- <sup>13</sup> EA 7280 Npsydo, Université Clermont Auvergne, Clermont-Ferrand, France
- <sup>14</sup> Establissement Public de Santé, Maison Blanche, Paris, France
- <sup>15</sup> Department of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry, Hospital General Universitario Gregorio Marañón, School of Medicine, Universidad Complutense, ISGM, CIBERSAM, Madrid, Spain
- <sup>16</sup> Department of Medicine, Psychiatry Area, School of Medicine, Universidad de Oviedo, Centro de Investigación Biomédica en Red de Salud Mental, Oviedo, Spain

- <sup>17</sup> Barcelona Clinic Schizophrenia Unit, Hospital Clinic, Department of Medicine, Neuroscience Institute, University of Barcelona, Institut d'Investigacions Biomèdiques, August Pi I Sunyer, Centro de Investigación Biomédica en Red de Salud Mental, Barcelona, Spain
- <sup>18</sup> Department of Psychiatry, School of Medicine, Universidad de Valencia, Centro de Investigación Biomédica en Red de Salud Mental, Valencia, Spain
- <sup>19</sup> Department of Psychiatry, Hospital "Virgen de la Luz", Cuenca, Spain
- <sup>20</sup> Department of Psychiatry, Psychiatric Genetic Group, Instituto de Investigación Sanitaria de Santiago de Compostela, Complejo Hospitalario Universitario de Santiago de Compostela, Santiago, Spain
- <sup>21</sup> Department of Biomedical and NeuroMotor Sciences, Psychiatry Unit, Alma Mater Studiorium Università di Bologna, Bologna, Italy
- <sup>22</sup> Section of Psychiatry, Department of Neuroscience, Biomedicine and Movement, University of Verona, Verona, Italy
- <sup>23</sup> Section of Psychiatry, Azienda Ospedaliera Universitaria Integrata di Verona, Verona, Italy
- <sup>24</sup> Department of Experimental Biomedicine and Clinical Neuroscience, Section of Psychiatry, University of Palermo, Palermo, Italy
- <sup>25</sup> Department of Preventive Medicine, Faculdade de Medicina, Universidade de São Paulo, São Paulo, Brazil
- <sup>26</sup> Division of Psychiatry, Department of Neuroscience and Behaviour, Ribeirão Preto Medical School, Universidade de São Paulo, São Paulo, Brazil
- <sup>27</sup> Department of Psychiatry, Brain Center Rudolf Magnus, Utrecht University Medical Centre, Utrecht, The Netherlands
- <sup>28</sup> Department of Psychosis Studies, Institute of Psychiatry, Psychology and Neuroscience, King's College London, London, England
- <sup>29</sup> CAMEO Early Intervention Service, Cambridgeshire and Peterborough National Health Service Foundation Trust, Cambridge, England