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#### Abstract

We consider in the plane the problem of reconstructing a domain from the normal derivative of its Green's function with pole at a fixed point in the domain. By means of the theory of conformal mappings, we obtain existence, uniqueness, (non-spherical) symmetry results, and a formula relating the curvature of the boundary of the domain to the normal derivative of its Green's function.


## 1. Introduction

Overdetermined boundary value problems in partial differential equations have connections to various fields in mathematics; they emerge in the study of isoperimetric inequalities, optimal design and ill-posed and free boundary problems, to name a few. In many such problems one's interest is focused on a specific feature: the shape of the domain considered; mainly, its (spherical) symmetry, as in Serrin's landmark paper [13] and its many offsprings (see [14], [1], [4], [8], [10], and the references therein).

With the present paper, we want to start a more detailed analysis of overdetermined problems in the plane, by exploiting the full power of the theory of analytic functions. As a case study, we shall analyse what appears to be the simplest situation: in a planar bounded simply connected domain $\Omega$ with boundary $\partial \Omega$ of class $C^{1, \alpha}$, we shall consider the problem

$$
\begin{align*}
-\Delta U & =\delta_{\zeta_{c}} & & \text { in } \Omega  \tag{1}\\
U & =0 & & \text { on } \partial \Omega  \tag{2}\\
\frac{\partial U}{\partial \nu} & =\varphi & & \text { on } \partial \Omega . \tag{3}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\nu$ is the interior normal direction to $\partial \Omega, \delta_{\zeta_{c}}$ is the Dirac delta centered at a given point $\zeta_{c} \in \Omega$ and $\varphi: \partial \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a positive given function of arclength, measured counterclockwise from a reference point on $\partial \Omega$.

Problem (1)-(3) can be interpreted as a free-boundary problem: find a domain $\Omega$ whose Green's function $U$ with pole at $\zeta_{c}$ has gradient with values on the boundary that fit those of the given function $\varphi$. This formulation serves as a basis to model, for example, the Hele-Shaw flow, as done in [6] and [12].

By means of the Riemann Mapping Theorem, the solution of (1)-(2) can be explicitly written in terms of a conformal mapping $f$ from the unit disk $D$ to $\Omega$, which is uniquely determined if it satisfies some suitable normalizing conditions. Since it turns out that the normal derivative of $U$ on $\partial \Omega$ is proportional to the modulus of

[^0]the inverse of $f$, then by (3) and classical results on holomorphic functions, we can derive an explicit formula for $f$ in terms of $\varphi$ (see section $\S 2$ for details). With the help of such a formula, we obtain the following results:
(i) existence and uniqueness theorems for a domain $\Omega$ satisfying (1)-(3) (Theorems 2.2 and 2.3);
(ii) symmetry results relating the invariance of $\varphi$ under certain groups of transformations to that of $\Omega$ (Theorems 3.1 and 3.2); of course, when $\varphi$ in constant, we obtain that $\Omega$ is a disk - a well-known result (see [10], [8] [1]);
(iii) a formula relating the interior normal derivative of the Green's function to the curvature of $\partial \Omega$.

## 2. Construction of a forward operator and its inverse

In what follows, $D$ will always be the open unit disk in $\mathbb{C}$ centered at 0 .
Let us recall some basic facts of harmonic and complex analysis. We refer the reader to [5] and [9] for more details. If $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{C}$ is a simply connected domain bounded by a Jordan curve and $\zeta_{c} \in \Omega$, then, by the Riemann Mapping Theorem, $\Omega$ is the image of an analytic function $f: D \rightarrow \Omega$ which induces a homeomorphism between the closures $\bar{D}$ and $\bar{\Omega}$, has non-zero derivative $f^{\prime}$ in $D$ and is such that $f(0)=\zeta_{c}$. Moreover, if $\Omega$ is of class $C^{1, \alpha}, 0<\alpha<1$, that is its boundary $\partial \Omega$ is locally the graph of a function of class $C^{1, \alpha}$, then, by Kellogg's theorem, we can infer that $f \in C^{1, \alpha}(\bar{D})$ (see [5]).

In the following elementary lemma, which will be useful in the sequel, we relate $f^{\prime}$ to the so called outer function (see [2]).

Lemma 2.1. Let $\Omega$ be a bounded simply connected domain in $\mathbb{C}$ and $f: D \rightarrow \Omega$ be one-to-one and analytic with $f \in C^{1}(\bar{D})$. Then there exists $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
f^{\prime}(z)=e^{i \gamma} \exp \left\{\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} \frac{e^{i t}+z}{e^{i t}-z} \log \left|f^{\prime}\left(e^{i t}\right)\right| d t\right\} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

for every $z \in D$.
Proof. The function

$$
f^{\prime}(z) \exp \left\{-\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} \frac{e^{i t}+z}{e^{i t}-z} \log \left|f^{\prime}\left(e^{i t}\right)\right| d t\right\}, \quad z \in D
$$

is analytic, never zero in $D$ and has unitary modulus on $\partial D$; hence it equals the number $e^{i \gamma}$ for some $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}$.

With these premises, given two distinct numbers $\zeta_{c}$ and $\zeta_{b} \in \mathbb{C}$, we consider the set $\mathscr{O}$ of all $C^{1, \alpha}, 0<\alpha<1$, simply connected bounded domains such that $\zeta_{c} \in \Omega$ and $\zeta_{b} \in \partial \Omega$.
We can put $\mathscr{O}$ in one-to-one correspondence with
the class $\mathscr{F}$ of all one-to-one analytic mappings $f \in C^{1, \alpha}(\bar{D})$ such that $f(0)=\zeta_{c}$ and $f(1)=\zeta_{b}$.
In fact, the arbitrary parameter $\gamma$ in (4) can be determined by observing that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\zeta_{b}-\zeta_{c}=\int_{0}^{1} f^{\prime}(t) d t \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

We now construct our forward operator $\mathcal{T}$ as the one that associates to each $\Omega$ in $\mathscr{O}$ the interior normal derivative $\frac{\partial U}{\partial \nu}$ - as function of the arclength, measured
counterclockwise on $\partial \Omega$, starting from $\zeta_{b}$ — of the solution of (1)-(2). With our identification of $\mathscr{O}$ with $\mathscr{F}$ in mind, for $f \in \mathscr{F}, \mathcal{T}(f)$ is a function of arclength $s \in[0,|\partial \Omega|]$ and it is defined by the following remarks.

First, notice that, by Gauss-Green's formula, if $U$ satisfies (1)-(2), then

$$
v\left(\zeta_{c}\right)=\int_{\partial \Omega} v(\zeta) \frac{\partial U}{\partial \nu}(\zeta) d s(\zeta)
$$

for every function $v \in C^{1}(\bar{\Omega}) \cap C^{2}(\Omega)$ which is harmonic in $\Omega$.
Secondly, recall that any such function $v$ satisfies the well-known Poisson integral formula

$$
v(\zeta)=\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{\partial \Omega} v\left(\zeta^{\prime}\right) \frac{1-\left|f^{-1}(\zeta)\right|^{2}}{\left|f^{-1}(\zeta)-f^{-1}\left(\zeta^{\prime}\right)\right|} \frac{d s\left(\zeta^{\prime}\right)}{\left|f^{\prime}\left(f^{-1}\left(\zeta^{\prime}\right)\right)\right|}, \quad \zeta \in \Omega
$$

if $\partial \Omega$ is rectifiable (see [9]). By comparing the last two formulas (with $\zeta=\zeta_{c}=$ $f(0)$ ), we obtain that

$$
\frac{\partial U}{\partial \nu}(\zeta)=\frac{1}{2 \pi\left|f^{\prime}\left(f^{-1}(\zeta)\right)\right|}, \quad \zeta \in \partial \Omega
$$

Thirdly, since the arclength on $\partial \Omega$ is related to $f$ by the formula

$$
\begin{equation*}
s(\theta)=\int_{0}^{\theta}\left|f^{\prime}\left(e^{i t}\right)\right| d t, \quad \theta \in[0,2 \pi] \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

the values $\mathcal{T}(f)(s), s \in[0,|\partial \Omega|]$, can be defined parametrically by

$$
\begin{equation*}
s=\int_{0}^{\theta}\left|f^{\prime}\left(e^{i t}\right)\right| d t, \quad \mathcal{T}(f)=\frac{1}{2 \pi\left|f^{\prime}\left(e^{i \theta}\right)\right|}, \quad \theta \in[0,2 \pi] \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is clear that $\mathcal{T}(f) \in C^{0, \alpha}([0,|\partial \Omega|])$ and also that

$$
\int_{0}^{|\partial \Omega|} \mathcal{T}(f)(s) d s=1, \quad \mathcal{T}(f)>0 \text { on }[0,|\partial \Omega|]
$$

for all $f \in \mathscr{F}$.
We shall now prove that $\mathcal{T}$ is injective by showing that each $\varphi$ in the range of $\mathcal{T}$ determines only one $f \in \mathscr{F}$. In fact, for $\varphi \in C^{0, \alpha}([0,|\partial \Omega|])$ in the range of $\mathcal{T}$, by formulas (7) it turns out that

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 \pi \varphi(s(\theta)) s^{\prime}(\theta)=1, \quad \theta \in[0,2 \pi] \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

This last formula, once integrated between 0 and $\theta$, gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
s(\theta)=\Phi^{-1}(\theta), \quad \theta \in[0,2 \pi] \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\Phi^{-1}$ is the inverse of $\Phi:[0,|\partial \Omega|] \rightarrow[0,2 \pi]$ defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi(s)=2 \pi \int_{0}^{s} \varphi(\sigma) d \sigma, \quad s \in[0,|\partial \Omega|] \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

By the same formulas (7), we then obtain that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|f^{\prime}\left(e^{i \theta}\right)\right|=\frac{1}{2 \pi \varphi\left(\Phi^{-1}(\theta)\right)}, \quad \theta \in[0,2 \pi] \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

and hence (4) gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
f^{\prime}(z)=e^{i \gamma} \exp \left\{\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} \frac{e^{i t}+z}{e^{i t}-z} \log \frac{1}{2 \pi \varphi\left(\Phi^{-1}(t)\right)} d t\right\}, \quad z \in D \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\gamma$ is determined by (5). Therefore, for any $\varphi$ in the range of $\mathcal{T}$, a unique $f \in \mathscr{F}$ such that $\mathcal{T}(f)=\varphi$ is determined by

$$
f(z)=\zeta_{c}+\int_{0}^{1} f^{\prime}(t z) z d t, \quad z \in D
$$

with $f^{\prime}$ given by (12).
We collect these remarks in the following theorem.
Theorem 2.2. Given $\Omega \in \mathscr{O}$, let $\zeta_{b}$ be a reference point on $\partial \Omega$ from which the arclength on $\partial \Omega$ is measured counterclockwise.

Let $\varphi$ be in the range of $\mathcal{T}$, that is $\varphi$ is the interior normal derivative of the Green's function on $\partial \Omega$ (as function of the arclength).

Then a function $f \in \mathscr{F}$ is uniquely determined such that $\mathcal{T}(f)=\varphi$ and its derivative is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
f^{\prime}(z)=e^{i \gamma} \exp \left\{\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} \frac{e^{i t}+z}{e^{i t}-z} \log \frac{1}{2 \pi \varphi(s(t))} d t\right\}, \quad z \in D \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $s$ and $\Phi$ are defined by (9) and (10), respectively.
Moreover, the constant $\gamma$ is determined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
e^{i \gamma} \int_{0}^{1} \exp \left\{\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} \frac{e^{i \tau}+t}{e^{i \tau}-t} \log \frac{1}{2 \pi \varphi(s(\tau))} d \tau\right\} d t=\zeta_{b}-\zeta_{c} \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Theorem 2.2 tells us that the operator $\mathcal{T}$ is injective. A discussion about its surjectivity is beyond the aims of this paper. Far from being complete, we want here to suggest the following criterion.

Referring to [3], let us introduce the so called boundary rotation of a function $f$ defined in $D$ :

$$
\rho=\lim _{r \rightarrow 1^{-}} \int_{0}^{2 \pi}\left|\operatorname{Re}\left\{1+\frac{z f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)}\right\}\right| d \theta, \quad z=r e^{i \theta} \in D
$$

We consider the class $\mathscr{V}$ of all normalized functions

$$
f(z)=z+a_{2} z^{2}+a_{3} z^{3}+\ldots
$$

which are analytic, locally univalent and with $\rho<+\infty$. The proof of the surjectivity of $\mathcal{T}$ relies on the problem of finding an analytic and univalent function $f$ from the disk to $f(D)=\Omega$. The following theorem is based on a sufficient condition, due to Paatero, that says that any function in the class $\mathscr{V}$ with $\rho \leq 4 \pi$ is univalent (see [3]).

Theorem 2.3. Let $\varphi \in C^{1}(\mathbb{R})$ be L-periodic, strictly positive and satisfying the compatibility condition $\int_{0}^{L} \varphi(s) d s=1$. If, moreover, $\varphi$ satisfies the condition

$$
\max _{[0, L]}\left|\frac{\varphi^{\prime}(s)}{\varphi^{2}(s)}\right| \leq 2 \pi
$$

then there exists $\Omega \in \mathscr{O}$ with perimeter $L$ and a solution of the overdetermined boundary value problem (1)-(3); thus, $\mathcal{T}$ is surjective.

Proof. By Theorem 2.2, we know that a function $f \in \mathscr{F}$ such that $\mathcal{T}(f)=\varphi$ must satisfy (13). Thus, we have to check Paatero's condition on (13). From that expression we deduce that

$$
\frac{f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)}=\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} \frac{2 e^{i t}}{\left(e^{i t}-z\right)^{2}} \log \frac{1}{2 \pi \varphi(s(t))} d t
$$

being $s$ defined as in (9) and (10). Now, by observing that

$$
\frac{d}{d t}\left(\frac{e^{i t}+z}{e^{i t}-z}\right)=\frac{-2 i z e^{i t}}{\left(e^{i t}-z\right)^{2}}
$$

we can integrate by parts and obtain that

$$
\frac{-i z f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)}=\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} \frac{e^{i t}+z}{e^{i t}-z} \frac{\varphi^{\prime}(s(t)) s^{\prime}(t)}{\varphi(s(t))} d t
$$

By the maximum modulus principle, we can estimate, for $z \in D$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\operatorname{Re} e\left\{1+\frac{z f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)}\right\}\right| & \leq 1+\left|\frac{-i z f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)}\right| \\
& \leq 1+\max _{[0,2 \pi]}\left|\frac{\varphi^{\prime}(s(t)) s^{\prime}(t)}{\varphi(s(t))}\right|
\end{aligned}
$$

and, from (8), we have that $\varphi^{\prime}(s) s^{\prime} / \varphi(s)=\varphi^{\prime}(s) / 2 \pi \varphi^{2}(s)$. Therefore, we can estimate the boundary rotation of $f$ in the following way:

$$
\rho \leq \int_{0}^{2 \pi}\left(1+\max _{[0,2 \pi]}\left|\frac{\varphi^{\prime}(s(t))}{2 \pi \varphi^{2}(s(t))}\right|\right) d \theta=2 \pi\left(1+\max _{[0, L]}\left|\frac{\varphi^{\prime}(s)}{2 \pi \varphi^{2}(s)}\right|\right) .
$$

By our assumptions, it follows that $\rho \leq 4 \pi$ and hence, from Paatero's criterion for univalence, $f$ is a homeomorphism from the disk onto $f(D)$.

## 3. Symmetries

Remark 1. Theorem 2.2 allows us to rediscover a result already proved in [10] and also in [8] and [1]: if $\varphi$ is constant, then $\Omega$ is a disk. More precisely, given $\Omega \in \mathscr{O}$ with perimeter $L$, let $\varphi$ be constantly equal to $C>0$. From (13), we obtain that

$$
f^{\prime}(z)=e^{i \gamma} \exp \left\{\frac{1}{2 \pi} \log \frac{1}{2 \pi C} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} \frac{e^{i t}+z}{e^{i t}-z} d t\right\}=\frac{e^{i \gamma}}{2 \pi C}
$$

since $\int_{0}^{2 \pi} \frac{e^{i t}+z}{e^{i t}-z} d t=2 \pi$. Therefore, we get that

$$
f(z)=\zeta_{c}+\frac{e^{i \gamma}}{2 \pi C} z, \quad z \in D
$$

that is $\Omega$ is the disk centered at $\zeta_{c}$ with radius $\frac{1}{2 \pi C}$.
Now we want to show how some other symmetry properties of $\Omega$ can be derived from some invariance properties of $\varphi$ and viceversa.

In what follows, for $\Omega \in \mathscr{O}$, let $L=|\partial \Omega|$ and let $\varphi$ denote the values of the interior normal derivative on $\partial \Omega$ (as function of arclength) of the Green's function of $\Omega$.

In the next theorem, we will identify $\varphi$ with its $L$-periodic extension to $\mathbb{R}$ and $\mathcal{R}_{\zeta, \beta}$ will denote the clockwise rotation of an angle $\beta$ around a point $\zeta$.
Theorem 3.1. Let $\Omega \in \mathscr{O}$ and $n \in\{2,3,4, \ldots\}$. Then:

$$
\mathcal{R}_{\zeta_{c}, \frac{2 \pi}{n}}(\Omega)=\Omega \text { if and only if } \varphi \text { is } \frac{L}{n} \text {-periodic. }
$$

Proof. Let us fix $n$ and suppose $\varphi$ measured counterclockwise from $\zeta_{b} \in \partial \Omega$. Let $f \in \mathscr{F}$ be the unique analytic function from $D$ to $\Omega$ such that $f(0)=\zeta_{c}$ and $f(1)=\zeta_{b}$.
(i) If $\Omega$ is invariant by rotations of angle $\frac{2 \pi}{n}$ around $\zeta_{c}$, then $f$ satisfies

$$
f\left(z e^{i \frac{2 \pi}{n}}\right)=\zeta_{c}+\left[f(z)-\zeta_{c}\right] e^{i \frac{2 \pi}{n}}, \quad z \in D
$$

By differentiating this expression, we obtain $f^{\prime}\left(z e^{i \frac{2 \pi}{n}}\right)=f^{\prime}(z)$, from which

$$
s\left(\theta+\frac{2 \pi}{n}\right)=\int_{0}^{\theta+\frac{2 \pi}{n}}\left|f^{\prime}\left(e^{i t}\right)\right| d t=s(\theta)+\int_{\theta}^{\theta+\frac{2 \pi}{n}}\left|f^{\prime}\left(e^{i t}\right)\right| d t
$$

and hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
s\left(\theta+\frac{2 \pi}{n}\right)=s(\theta)+s\left(\frac{2 \pi}{n}\right), \quad \theta \in \mathbb{R} \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since

$$
L=s(2 \pi)=s\left(\frac{n-1}{n} 2 \pi\right)+s\left(\frac{2 \pi}{n}\right)=\ldots=n s\left(\frac{2 \pi}{n}\right)
$$

we have that $s\left(\theta+\frac{2 \pi}{n}\right)=s(\theta)+\frac{L}{n}$. Thus, (15) and (8)-(11) imply that

$$
\varphi\left(s(\theta)+\frac{L}{n}\right)=\varphi\left(s\left(\theta+\frac{2 \pi}{n}\right)\right)=\frac{1}{2 \pi\left|f^{\prime}\left(e^{i\left(\theta+\frac{2 \pi}{n}\right)}\right)\right|}=\frac{1}{2 \pi\left|f^{\prime}\left(e^{i \theta}\right)\right|}=\varphi(s(\theta))
$$

and hence, for every $s \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$
\varphi\left(s+\frac{L}{n}\right)=\varphi(s)
$$

(ii) If now $\varphi$ is $\frac{L}{n}$-periodic, from (10) we write

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi\left(s+\frac{L}{n}\right)=2 \pi \int_{0}^{s+\frac{L}{n}} \varphi(\sigma) d \sigma=\Phi(s)+\Phi\left(\frac{L}{n}\right) \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since (9) holds, it follows that

$$
2 \pi=\Phi(s(2 \pi))=\Phi(L)=\Phi\left(\frac{n-1}{n} L\right)+\Phi\left(\frac{L}{n}\right)=\ldots=n \Phi\left(\frac{L}{n}\right)
$$

and hence

$$
\Phi\left(\frac{L}{n}\right)=\frac{2 \pi}{n}=\theta+\frac{2 \pi}{n}-\theta=\Phi\left(s\left(\theta+\frac{2 \pi}{n}\right)\right)-\Phi(s(\theta))
$$

From this and (16), we infer that

$$
\Phi\left(s\left(\theta+\frac{2 \pi}{n}\right)\right)=\Phi(s(\theta))+\Phi\left(\frac{L}{n}\right)=\Phi\left(s(\theta)+\frac{L}{n}\right)
$$

and, thanks to the invertibility of $\Phi$, we obtain

$$
s\left(\theta+\frac{2 \pi}{n}\right)=s(\theta)+\frac{L}{n}, \quad \theta \in \mathbb{R}
$$

By this formula, (13) and the periodicity of $\varphi$, it follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
f^{\prime}(z) & =e^{i \gamma} \exp \left\{\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} \frac{e^{i t}+z}{e^{i t}-z} \log \frac{1}{2 \pi \varphi(s(t))} d t\right\} \\
& =e^{i \gamma} \exp \left\{\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} \frac{e^{i t}+z}{e^{i t}-z} \log \frac{1}{2 \pi \varphi\left(s\left(\frac{2 \pi}{n}+t\right)-\frac{L}{n}\right)} d t\right\} \\
& =e^{i \gamma} \exp \left\{\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} \frac{e^{i t}+z}{e^{i t}-z} \log \frac{1}{2 \pi \varphi\left(s\left(\frac{2 \pi}{n}+t\right)\right)} d t\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

By a change of variables, we thus get

$$
\begin{aligned}
f^{\prime}(z) & =e^{i \gamma} \exp \left\{\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{\frac{2 \pi}{n}}^{2 \pi+\frac{2 \pi}{n}} \frac{e^{i\left(t-\frac{2 \pi}{n}\right)}+z}{e^{i\left(t-\frac{2 \pi}{n}\right)}-z} \log \frac{1}{2 \pi \varphi(s(t))} d t\right\} \\
& =e^{i \gamma} \exp \left\{\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} \frac{e^{i t}+z e^{i \frac{2 \pi}{n}}}{e^{i t}-z e^{i \frac{2 \pi}{n}}} \log \frac{1}{2 \pi \varphi(s(t))} d t\right\} \\
& =f^{\prime}\left(z e^{i \frac{2 \pi}{n}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Finally we find

$$
f(z)-\zeta_{c}=\int_{0}^{1} f^{\prime}(t z) z d t=\int_{0}^{1} f^{\prime}\left(t z e^{i \frac{2 \pi}{n}}\right) z d t=\left[f\left(z e^{i \frac{2 \pi}{n}}\right)-\zeta_{c}\right] e^{-i \frac{2 \pi}{n}}
$$

and hence $\mathcal{R}_{\zeta_{c}, \frac{2 \pi}{n}} \Omega=\Omega$.
In what follows, $\mathcal{M}$ will denote mirror-reflection with respect to a given axis.
Theorem 3.2. A domain $\Omega \in \mathscr{O}$ is symmetric with respect to a generic axis passing through $\zeta_{c}$ if and only if $\varphi(s)=\varphi(L-s)$ for all $s \in[0, L]$.

Here $\varphi$ is measured counterclockwise starting from an intersection point of the axis with $\partial \Omega$.

Proof. (i) Suppose $\Omega$ symmetric with respect to a given axis passing through $\zeta_{c}$, that is $\mathcal{M}(\Omega)=\Omega$. Short of rotations and translations, we can assume the symmetry axis to coincide with the real axis, so that $\mathcal{M} z$ is the conjugate $\bar{z}$ of $z$.

Let $f \in \mathscr{F}$ be the unique mapping from $D$ to $\Omega$ such that $f(0)=\zeta_{c}$ and $f(1)=\zeta_{b}$, where $\zeta_{b}$ is supposed to be one of the intersection point of $\partial \Omega$ with the symmetry axis. We keep in mind that arclength on $\partial \Omega$ is measured counterclockwise from $\zeta_{b}$.

It is clear that $\zeta_{c}-\zeta_{b} \in \mathbb{R}$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\overline{f(z)}=f(\bar{z}) \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

thus,

$$
\overline{f\left(e^{i \theta}\right)}=f\left(e^{i(2 \pi-\theta)}\right), \quad \theta \in[0,2 \pi] .
$$

Differentiating the latter formula with respect to $\theta$ and taking the modulus, yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|f^{\prime}\left(e^{i \theta}\right)\right|=\left|f^{\prime}\left(e^{i(2 \pi-\theta)}\right)\right|, \quad \theta \in[0,2 \pi] ; \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

thus, from (6), we have that

$$
s(2 \pi-\theta)=L-s(\theta), \quad \theta \in \mathbb{R}
$$

From this formula and (11), we obtain:

$$
\varphi(L-s(\theta))=\varphi(s(2 \pi-\theta))=\frac{1}{2 \pi\left|f^{\prime}\left(e^{i(2 \pi-\theta)}\right)\right|}, \quad \theta \in \mathbb{R}
$$

Finally, from (18), it follows that

$$
\varphi(s)=\varphi(L-s), \quad s \in[0, L]
$$

(ii) Suppose now $\varphi(s)=\varphi(L-s)$ for all $s \in \mathbb{R}$. From (10) we write

$$
\Phi(L-s)=2 \pi \int_{0}^{L-s} \varphi(\sigma) d \sigma=2 \pi \int_{s}^{L} \varphi(L-\sigma) d \sigma=2 \pi-\Phi(s), \quad s \in[0, L]
$$

This property of $\Phi$ and (9) imply that

$$
\Phi(s(2 \pi-\theta))=2 \pi-\theta=2 \pi-\Phi(s(\theta))=\Phi(L-s(\theta))
$$

and hence

$$
s(2 \pi-\theta)=L-s(\theta), \quad \theta \in \mathbb{R}
$$

by the invertibility of $\Phi$. Then, by differentiating, we have that

$$
\left|f^{\prime}\left(e^{i(2 \pi-\theta)}\right)\right|=s^{\prime}(2 \pi-\theta)=s^{\prime}(\theta)=\left|f^{\prime}\left(e^{i \theta}\right)\right|
$$

for every $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$. Thus, by a change of variable and by simple properties of the complex conjugate, we can write that, for $z \in D$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{0}^{2 \pi} \frac{e^{i t}+z}{e^{i t}-z} \log \left|f^{\prime}\left(e^{i t}\right)\right| d t & =\int_{0}^{2 \pi} \frac{e^{i t}+z}{e^{i t}-z} \log \left|f^{\prime}\left(e^{i(2 \pi-t)}\right)\right| d t \\
& =\frac{\int_{0}^{2 \pi} \frac{e^{i(2 \pi-t)}+z}{e^{i(2 \pi-t)}-z} \log \left|f^{\prime}\left(e^{i t}\right)\right| d t}{\left(\int_{0}^{2 \pi} \frac{e^{i t}+\bar{z}}{e^{i t}-\bar{z}} \log \left|f^{\prime}\left(e^{i t}\right)\right| d t\right)}
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, modulo a rotation, we have obtained that

$$
f^{\prime}(z)=\overline{f^{\prime}(\bar{z})}, \quad z \in D
$$

and hence

$$
f(z)=\overline{f(\bar{z})}, \quad z \in D
$$

modulo a translation. Thus, $\mathcal{M}(\Omega)=\Omega$ for some reflection $\mathcal{M}$.

## 4. A formula involving curvature

Recall that the curvature (with sign) $\kappa$ of a planar curve can be defined by the formula

$$
\begin{equation*}
\kappa=\frac{d \psi}{d s} \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\psi$ is the angle between the positive real axis and the tangent (unit) vector.
By using the conformal map $f: D \rightarrow \Omega$ already introduced and the Hilbert transform, we can express the curvature $\kappa$ of $\partial \Omega$ in terms of the interior normal derivative $\varphi$ of the Green's function of $\Omega$.
Theorem 4.1. Let $\Omega \in \mathscr{O}$ and $\varphi$ be defined as usual. Then $\varphi$ and the curvature $\kappa$ of $\partial \Omega$ are related by the formula:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\kappa(s)=2 \pi \varphi(s)\left[1-\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{|\partial \Omega|} \cot \left(\frac{\Phi(s)-\Phi(\sigma)}{2}\right) \frac{d}{d \sigma}(\log \varphi)(\sigma) d \sigma\right], \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $s \in[0,|\partial \Omega|]$, where $\Phi$ is defined as in (10).

Proof. Let $f: D \rightarrow \Omega$ be as usual. Now we compute $\kappa$ in terms of $f$. Define

$$
\omega(\theta)=\arg \left(f^{\prime}\left(e^{i \theta}\right)\right)
$$

for $\theta \in[0,2 \pi]$; the angle $\psi$ in (19) is given by

$$
\psi(\theta)=\arg \left(\frac{d}{d \theta} f\left(e^{i \theta}\right)\right)=\omega(\theta)+\frac{\pi}{2}+\theta
$$

From (19) and (8), we have that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\kappa(s)=\frac{d \psi}{d \theta} \frac{d \theta}{d s}=2 \pi \varphi(s)\left[1+\omega^{\prime}(\theta)\right], \quad s \in[0, \partial \Omega] \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

As is well-known (see [7] and [11]), since $\log \left|f^{\prime}\right|$ and $\arg f^{\prime}$ are the real and the imaginary part of the analytic function $\log f^{\prime}$, we have that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\arg f^{\prime}\left(e^{i \theta}\right)=\mathcal{H}\left(\log s^{\prime}\right)(\theta) \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

being $s^{\prime}(\theta)=\left|f^{\prime}\left(e^{i \theta}\right)\right|$. Here, $\mathcal{H}$ is the Hilbert transformation on the unit circle, namely,

$$
\mathcal{H}\left(\log s^{\prime}\right)(\theta)=\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} \cot \left(\frac{\theta-t}{2}\right) \log \left(s^{\prime}(t)\right) d t
$$

In our notations, (22) can be rewritten as

$$
\omega=\mathcal{H}\left(\log s^{\prime}\right)
$$

thus,

$$
\omega^{\prime}=\mathcal{H}\left(s^{\prime \prime} / s^{\prime}\right)
$$

since $\mathcal{H}$ and $\frac{d}{d \theta}$ commute. From (21), we infer that

$$
\kappa(s(\theta))=2 \pi \varphi\left[1+\mathcal{H}\left(\frac{s^{\prime \prime}}{s^{\prime}}\right)(\theta)\right], \quad \theta \in[0,2 \pi]
$$

and hence

$$
\kappa(s(\theta))=2 \pi \varphi(s(\theta))\left[1-\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} \cot \left(\frac{\theta-t}{2}\right) \frac{\varphi^{\prime}(s(t))}{2 \pi \varphi^{2}(s(t))} d t\right], \quad \theta \in[0,2 \pi],
$$

from (8). Finally, we obtain (20) by operating the change of variable $\sigma=s(t)$ and by using (9).

Remark 2. Let $\mathcal{D} 2 \mathcal{N}$ denote the Dirichlet-to-Neumann operator, that is $\mathcal{D} 2 \mathcal{N}$ maps the values on $\partial \Omega$ of any harmonic function in $\Omega$ to the values of its (interior) normal derivative on $\partial \Omega$. Then, formula (20) can be rewritten as

$$
\kappa=2 \pi \varphi[1+\mathcal{D} 2 \mathcal{N}(\log (\varphi))]
$$
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