World Journal of *Cardiology*

World J Cardiol 2018 February 26; 10(2): 6-14





Published by Baishideng Publishing Group Inc

World Journal of Cardiology

Contents

Monthly Volume 10 Number 2 February 26, 2018

MINIREVIEWS

6

Red blood cell distribution width in heart failure: A narrative review Lippi G, Turcato G, Cervellin G, Sanchis-Gomar F



Contents	<i>World Journal of Cardiology</i> Volume 10 Number 2 February 26, 2018			
ABOUT COVER	Editorial Board Member of <i>World Journal of Cardiology</i> , Gang Hu, MD, PhD, Adjunct Professor, Assistant Professor, Chronic Disease Epidemiology Laboratory, Pennington Biomedical Research Center, Baton Rouge, LA 70808, United States			
AIM AND SCOPE	World Journal of Cardiology (World J Cardiol, WJC, online ISSN 1949-8462, DOI: 10.4330) is a peer-reviewed open access journal that aims to guide clinical practice and improve diagnostic and therapeutic skills of clinicians. WJC covers topics concerning arrhythmia, heart failure, vascular disease, stroke, hypertension, prevention and epidemiology, dyslipidemia and metabolic disorders, cardiac imaging, pediatrics, nursing, and health promotion. Priority publication will be given to articles concerning diagnosis and treatment of cardiology diseases. The following aspects are covered: Clinical diagnosis, laboratory diagnosis, differential diagnosis, imaging tests, pathological diagnosis, functional diagnostics, and physical diagnosis; and comprehensive therapy, drug therapy, surgical therapy, interventional treatment, minimally invasive therapy, and robot-assisted therapy. We encourage authors to submit their manuscripts to WJC. We will give priority to manuscripts that are supported by major national and international foundations and those that are of great basic and clinical significance.			
INDEXING/ABSTRACTING	<i>World Journal of Cardiology</i> is now indexed in Science), PubMed, and PubMed Central.	Emerging Sources Citation Index (Web of		
EDITORS FOR THIS ISSUE Response Proofing	sible Assistant Editor: Xiang Li Respon sible Electronic Editor: Jin-Li Yan Proofin g Editor-in-Chief: Lian-Sheng Ma	sible Science Editor: Cui-Li Jun g Editorial Office Director: Xiu-Xia Sang		
NAME OF JOURNAL World Journal of Cardiology ISSN ISSN 1949-8462 (online) LAUNCH DATE December 31, 2009 FREQUENCY Monthly EDITORIAL BOARD MEMBERS All editorial board members resources online at http:// www.wignet.com/1949-8462/editorialboard.htm EDITORIAL OFFICE Xiu-Xia Song, Director World Journal of Cardiology	 7901 Stoneridge Drive, Suite 501, Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA Telephone: +1-925-2238242 Fax: +1-925-2238243 E-mail: editorialoffice@wignet.com Help Desk: http://www.f6publishing.com/helpdesk http://www.wignet.com PUBLISHER Baishideng Publishing Group Inc 7901 Stoneridge Drive, Suite 501, Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA Telephone: +1-925-2238242 Fax: +1-925-2238243 E-mail: bpgoffice@wignet.com Help Desk: http://www.f6publishing.com/helpdesk http://www.wignet.com PUBLICATION DATE	 COPYRIGHT © 2018 Baishideng Publishing Group Inc. Articles published by this Open-Access journal are distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-commercial License, which permits use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited, the use is non commercial and is otherwise in compliance with the license. SPECIAL STATEMENT All articles published in journals owned by the Baishideng Publishing Group (BPG) represent the views and opinions of their authors, and not the views, opinions or policies of the BPG, except where otherwise explicitly indicated. INSTRUCTIONS TO AUTHORS http://www.wignet.com/bpg/gerinfo/204 ONLINE SUBMISSION 		





Submit a Manuscript: http://www.f6publishing.com

World J Cardiol 2018 February 26; 10(2): 6-14

DOI: 10.4330/wjc.v10.i2.6

ISSN 1949-8462 (online)

MINIREVIEWS

Red blood cell distribution width in heart failure: A narrative review

Giuseppe Lippi, Gianni Turcato, Gianfranco Cervellin, Fabian Sanchis-Gomar

Giuseppe Lippi, Section of Clinical Biochemistry, University of Verona, Verona 37134, Italy

Gianni Turcato, Department of Emergency, Girolamo Fracastoro Hospital, San Bonifacio 37047, Italy

Gianfranco Cervellin, Department of Emergency, University Hospital of Parma, Parma 43126, Italy

Fabian Sanchis-Gomar, Leon H. Charney Division of Cardiology, New York University School of Medicine, New York, NY 10016, United States

Fabian Sanchis-Gomar, Department of Physiology, Faculty of Medicine, University of Valencia and INCLIVA Biomedical Research Institute, Valencia 46010, Spain

Author contributions: Lippi G and Sanchis-Gomar F generated the tables and figures, and wrote the manuscript; Turcato G and Cervellin G contributed to editing, reviewing, and final approval of the article.

Conflict-of-interest statement: The authors have no conflicts of interest to declare.

Open-Access: This article is an open-access article which was selected by an in-house editor and fully peer-reviewed by external reviewers. It is distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution Non Commercial (CC BY-NC 4.0) license, which permits others to distribute, remix, adapt, build upon this work non-commercially, and license their derivative works on different terms, provided the original work is properly cited and the use is non-commercial. See: http://creativecommons.org/ licenses/by-nc/4.0/

Manuscript source: Invited manuscript

Correspondence to: Giuseppe Lippi, MD, Professor, Section of Clinical Biochemistry, University Hospital of Verona, Piazzale L.A. Scuro 10, Verona 37134, Italy. giuseppe.lippi@univr.it Telephone: +39-45-8124308

Received: January 7, 2018 Peer-review started: January 8, 2018 First decision: January 23, 2018 Revised: January 23, 2018 Accepted: February 5, 2018 Article in press: February 5, 2018 Published online: February 26, 2018

Abstract

The red blood cell distribution width (RDW) is a simple, rapid, inexpensive and straightforward hematological parameter, reflecting the degree of anisocytosis in vivo. The currently available scientific evidence suggests that RDW assessment not only predicts the risk of adverse outcomes (cardiovascular and all-cause mortality, hospitalization for acute decompensation or worsened left ventricular function) in patients with acute and chronic heart failure (HF), but is also a significant and independent predictor of developing HF in patients free of this condition. Regarding the biological interplay between impaired hematopoiesis and cardiac dysfunction, many of the different conditions associated with increased heterogeneity of erythrocyte volume (i.e., ageing, inflammation, oxidative stress, nutritional deficiencies and impaired renal function), may be concomitantly present in patients with HF, whilst anisocytosis may also directly contribute to the development and worsening of HF. In conclusion, the longitudinal assessment of RDW changes over time may be considered an efficient measure to help predicting the risk of both development and progression of HF.

Key words: Heart failure; Heart disease; Mortality; Erythrocytes; Red blood cell distribution width

© **The Author(s) 2018.** Published by Baishideng Publishing Group Inc. All rights reserved.

Core tip: The red blood cell distribution width is a simple, rapid, inexpensive and straightforward hematological parameter, reliably reflecting the degree of anisocytosis *in vivo*. The current epidemiological and biological



WJC www.wjgnet.com

evidence suggests that longitudinal assessment of red blood cell distribution width over time may be considered an efficient measure to help predicting the risk of both development and progression of heart failure.

Lippi G, Turcato G, Cervellin G, Sanchis-Gomar F. Red blood cell distribution width in heart failure: A narrative review. *World J Cardiol* 2018; 10(2): 6-14 Available from: URL: http://www.wjgnet.com/1949-8462/full/v10/i2/6.htm DOI: http://dx.doi. org/10.4330/wjc.v10.i2.6

INTRODUCTION

Heart failure definition, etiology and epidemiology

As a complex clinical syndrome, heart failure (HF) is characterized by certain symptoms and signs such as dyspnea and fatique, which impair exercise tolerance, fluid retention, and may provoke pulmonary and/or splanchnic congestion, ankle swelling, peripheral edema, elevated jugular venous pressure, and pulmonary crackles^[1,2]. These are principally due to structural and/or functional cardiac abnormalities, which result in an impaired cardiac output and/or elevated intracardiac pressures^[1]. The classification of the different types of HF is based on left ventricle ejection fraction (LVEF) as follows: (1) HF with preserved LVEF (HFpEF), *i.e.*, patients with normal LVEF (\geq 50%); (2) HF with reduced EF (HFrEF), i.e., patients with reduced LVEF (< 40%); (3) HF with midrange EF (HFmrEF), i.e., patients with an LVEF in the range of 40%-49%^[1].

The etiology of HF is varied, including a wide range of pathologies both cardiovascular and non-cardiovascular. Many patients will suffer different diseases at the same time, which ultimately trigger the HF. Nonetheless, a history of ischemic heart disease (IHD) and myocardial infarction or revascularization is very common among patients with HF^[1]. Thus, among the most important causes of death in patients with HF are cardiovascular diseases, mainly sudden death and worsening HF^[3,4]. It seems that HFpEF and HFrEF have different etiological profiles, since patients with HFpEF are more often older, women and have a history of hypertension and atrial fibrillation (AF). However, a history of myocardial infarction is uncommonly found in HFpEF patients^[5].

The prevalence of HF in developed countries is considered to be around 1%-2% of the adult general population^[1]. The incidence increases with age, up to \geq 10% among people > 70 years of age^[6]. For instance, 20% of American population \geq 40 years of age will develop HF^[7] and nearly 5.1 million people in the United States already have clinical signs and symptoms of HF, with a prevalence that seems to be constantly increasing^[8], so that approximately 33% of men and 28% of women \geq 55 years will develop HF worldwide^[9]. Using the conventional definition, the percentage of patients with HFpEF ranges from 22% to 73%^[1]. Likewise, the incidence of HF may be decreasing, more for HFrEF than

for HFpEF^[10,11]. Inequalities in the epidemiology of HF have been also reported. A high risk of developing HF has been reported in black populations^[12], whilst the incidence seems the lowest among white women^[13] and the highest among black men^[14], with a higher 5-year mortality^[15]. Non-Hispanic black males have a higher prevalence (4.5%) than females (3.8%), whilst non-Hispanic white males also have a higher prevalence (2.7%) than females (1.8%)^[8].

Many prognostic biomarkers of death and/or hospitalization in patients with HF have been studied and identified^[1]. Unfortunately, their clinical uses remains limited due to the challenges in stratifying the risk of HF patients. Furthermore, multiple prognostic risk scores have been developed in $HF^{[4,16,17]}$, and may be helpful to predict death in these patients. However, they are less useful to predict HF hospitalizations^[16,17]. In fact, several studies only reported a moderate accuracy of these models to predict mortality, whilst they were basically less accurate for predicting hospitalization^[16,17].

ANISOCYTOSIS

The erythrocytes, also known as red blood cells (RBCs), are non-nuclear corpuscular elements of blood produced in the bone from erythroid colony-forming unit-erythroid (CFU-E) progenitors, which undergo a complex process of maturation (also known as erythropoiesis) into proerythroblasts, erythroblasts, reticulocytes and, finally, into mature erythrocytes^[18]. The ensuing conversion of erythroblasts into reticulocytes and erythrocytes is accompanied by the loss of the nucleus, which makes erythrocytes virtually terminal elements (Figure 1).

The entire process of erythropoiesis is regulated by several transcription factors, chromatin modifiers, cytokines, and hormones, the most important of which is erythropoietin (Epo), which not only stimulates the proliferation and differentiation of hematopoietic precursors but is also essential for survival of newly generated RBCs^[18]. Physiological erythropoiesis mainly occurs in the bone marrow, so that proerythroblasts and erythroblasts (in their different stages of maturation, i.e., basophilic, polychromatophilic and orthochromatic) are normally absent in the bloodstream, whilst the number of reticulocytes is typically < 1% of the total RBC population^[19]. The leading function of RBCs is carrying oxygen throughout the bloodstream, from the lungs to the peripheral tissues, mainly bound to hemoglobin, the most important protein contained within the erythrocytes. The total number of mature RBCs in adult human blood is usually comprised between 4.7 \times 10^{12} /L-6.1 × 10^{12} /L in men and 4.2 × 10^{12} /L-5.4 × 10^{12} /L in women, respectively, with a mean survival time in blood of approximately 100-120 d. A reduction of RBC number below these conventional thresholds is known as "anemia", which is usually diagnosed when the level of hemoglobin in blood falls below 130 g/L in men and 120 g/L in women, respectively^[18].

A typical mature erythrocyte appears as a disc-shaped

Lippi G et al. Red blood cell distribution width in heart failure



Figure 1 Physiological erythropoiesis.



Figure 2 Pathophysiological mechanisms causing anisocytosis. RDW: Red blood cell distribution width.

element with a pale-staining central area, a diameter comprised between 6-8 µm and a total volume (also known as mean corpuscular volume (MCV)] comprised between 80-100 fL. RBC with abnormal volumes, either reduced or increased, are conventionally called microcytic or macrocytic, respectively^[19]. RBCs display a physiological size heterogeneity in adult human blood, which is usually measured in terms of RBC distribution width (RDW). This simple and straightforward parameter can thus be expressed both in absolute value, as the standard deviation (SD) of erythrocyte volumes (RDW-SD), or as the coefficient of variation (RDW-CV) of erythrocyte volumes [i.e., (RDW-SD)/ (MCV)*100]. The normal range of RDW-CV is 11.5-14.5% but often varies according to the technique used for its assessment by the different commercially available hematological analyzers^[20]. Although a decreased RDW value is very

uncommon and has no clinically significance^[21], an increase of this parameter is called anisocytosis and has many important consequences on the future risk of adverse cardiovascular events and mortality in the general population^[22], as well as in patients with HF or in those at risk of developing HF^[23], as more comprehensively discussed in the next sections of this article.

Anisocytosis can hence be essentially defined as a DW value exceeding the analyzer-dependent threshold^[24]. More practically, it can be defined as the presence of erythrocytes with a large size heterogeneity in peripheral venous blood, as simplified in Figure 2. The partial or complete derangement of many biological pathways, mainly including aging, inflammation, oxidative stress, nutritional deficiencies, and impaired renal function, has been straightforwardly associated with disrupted erythropoiesis resulting in variable degrees of
 Table 1
 Differential diagnosis of anemia based on mean corpuscular volume and red blood cell distribution width

Conditions	MCV	RDW
Chronic diseases anemia	Ļ	Ν
Heterozygous thalassemia	\downarrow	Ν
Iron deficiency	\downarrow	1
β-thalassemia	\downarrow	↑
Sickle cell trait	\downarrow	Ν
Haemolytic anemia	N/↓	1
Hereditary spherocytosis	N/↓	1
Sickle cell disease	Ν	1
Haemorrhage	Ν	Ν
Blood transfusions	Ν	↑
Chronic liver disease	N/↑	Ť
Aplastic anemia	↑	Ν
Folate deficiency	↑	Ť
Vitamin B12 deficiency	↑	↑
Myelodysplastic syndrome	Ť	↑

MCV: Mean corpuscular volume; RDW: Red blood cell distribution width; N: Normal; \downarrow : Decreased; \uparrow : Increased.

anisocytosis (Table 1)^[25]. Therefore, the aim of this article is to provide an overview of the epidemiological and biological evidence linking anisocytosis and HF.

ANISOCYTOSIS AND HEART FAILURE

Baseline assessment of anisocytosis in HF patients

The very first large prospective study which explored the clinical significance of measuring RDW in patients with HF was published in 2007 by Felker *et al*^[26]. Briefly, the authors measured RDW values at enrollment in 2679 chronic HF patients recruited from the North American Candesartan in HF: Assessment of Reduction in Mortality and Morbidity (CHARM) study (validation cohort), who were followed-up for at least 2 years for collecting data on death or hospitalization for managing worsened HF. The data obtained in this cohort were then validated in a replication dataset consisting of additional 2140 HF patients enrolled from the Duke Databank in 1969, and with follow-up data completely available for more than 96% patients. In the final multivariable analysis, including all significant clinical and laboratory parameters, each 1 SD increase of RDW in the CHARM Cohort was associated with 17% higher risk of cardiovascular death or hospitalization for HF [hazard ratio (HR), 1.17; 95% confidence interval (95%CI), 1.10-1.25] and 12% higher risk (HR = 1.12; 95%CI: 1.03-1.20) of all-cause mortality. In the validation cohort, each 1 SD increase of RDW was also associated as with 29% enhanced risk (HR = 1.29; 95%CI: 1.16-1.43) of all-cause mortality.

The following year, Tonelli *et al*^[27] published the results of another large prospective study, based on 4111 participants of the Cholesterol and Recurrent Events study, free of HF at baseline, who had their RDW value measured at enrollment and were then followed-up for a median period of approximately 60 mo. In a multivariable model adjusted for all significant clinical

and laboratory parameters, each 1% increase in RDW value was associated with 14% increased all-cause mortality (HR = 1.14; 95%CI: 1.05-1.24). Importantly, each 1% increase in RDW value was also associated with 15% higher risk (HR = 1.15; 95%CI: 1.05-1.26) of developing symptomatic HF on follow-up.

These earlier findings were then replicated in a vast number of prospective, retrospective and cross-sectional studies, which were meta-analyzed by Huang *et al*^[28], Shao *et al*^[29] and, more recently, by Hou *et al*^[30] (Table 2).

More specifically, in the meta-analysis by Huang and collaborators^[28], each 1% increase in RDW value was associated with 10% enhanced risk of future mortality events (HR = 1.10; 95%CI: 1.07-1.13) in patients with HF. No substantial difference was observed between retrospective (n = 4; HR, 1.09 and 95%CI: 1.02-1.17) and prospective (n = 5; HR = 1.10 and 95%CI: 1.05-1.15) studies, whilst a greater risk was observed in studies with follow-up >2 years (n = 5; HR = 1.13 and 95%CI: 1.09-1.16) than in those with shorter follow-up (n = 4; HR = 1.04; 95%CI: 1.02-1.06). In the ensuing meta-analysis of Shao et al^[29], each 1% increase in RDW value was associated with 19% enhanced risk of major adverse cardiovascular events (HR = 1.19; 95%CI: 1.08-1.30), with 12% higher risk of death (HR = 1.12; 95%CI: 1.08-1.16), as well as with 9% higher risk of hospitalization (HR = 1.09, 95%CI: 1.03-1.16) in patients with HF. Notably, the association between RDW value and death was found slightly stronger in patients with chronic HF (HR = 1.13, 95%CI: 1.08-1.18) than in those with acute HF (HR = 1.09; 95%CI: 1.04-1.15). More recently, the meta-analysis of Hou et al^[30] showed that each 1% increase in RDW value was associated with 11% higher risk of death (HR = 1.11; 95%CI: 1.04-1.14) in patients with HF, whilst each 1% increase in RDW value was associated with 11% higher risk of HF in patients with preexisting cardiovascular disease (HR = 1.11; 95%CI: 1.05-1.17).

Dynamic changes of anisocytosis in heart failure patients Although the notion that baseline RDW assessment may help to predict both unfavorable outcomes in patients with acute or chronic HF as well as the risk of developing HF in patients without this condition seems now quite straightforward (Table 2), an alternative concept is strongly emerging, indicating that serial assessment of RDW over time may be more clinically meaningful and informative than the admission value (Table 3).

The first study which assessed the significance of longitudinal RDW changes in patients with HF was published by Cauthen *et al*^[31]. The authors retrospectively analyzed data from 6159 ambulatory chronic HF patients, with the aim of exploring the potential association between clinical outcomes and RDW changes over a 1-year follow-up period. Although each 1% increase in baseline RDW value was independently associated with 9% enhanced risk of 1-year all-cause mortality [relative risk (RR) = 1.09; 95%CI: 1.01-1.17], this association



Lippi G et al. Red blood cell distribution width in heart failure

Table 7 Studies e

Table 2 Meta-analyses exploring the association between baseline red blood cell distribution width value and heart failure

association between serial red blood cell distribution width cha

Ref.	Variable	Outcome measure	Baseline RDW
Huang et al ^[28] , 2014	1% increase in RDW value	Risk of future death in patients with HF	HR, 1.10 (95%CI: 1.07-1.13)
		Risk of hospitalization in patients with HF	HR, 1.09 (95% CI: 1.03-1.16)
Shao <i>et al</i> ^[29] , 2015	1% increase in RDW value	Risk of future MACE in patients with HF	HR, 1.19 (95% CI: 1.08-1.30)
		Risk of future death in patients with HF	HR, 1.12 (95%CI: 1.08-1.16)
Hou <i>et al</i> ^[30] , 2017	1% increase in RDW value	General risk of HF	HR, 1.11 (95%CI: 1.05-1.17)
			HR, 1.11 (95%CI: 1.04-1.14)

HF: Heart failure; HR: Hazard ratio; MACE: Major adverse cardiovascular events; RDW: Red blood cell distribution width.

Ref.	Study design	Outcome measure	Variable	Baseline RDW	Longitudinal RDW change
Cauthen et al ^[31] , 2012	Retrospective, 6159 patients	1-year all-cause	1% increase in RDW at	RR, 1.09 (95%CI:	RR, 1.21 (95%CI:
	with chronic HF	mortality	diagnosis or during 1 year of follow-up	1.01-1.17)	1.08-1.34)
Makhoul <i>et al</i> ^[32] , 2013	Prospective, 614 patients with	All-cause	1% increase in RDW value at	HR, 1.15 (95%CI:	HR, 1.23 (95%CI:
	acute decompensated HF	mortality during	admission or during hospital	1.08-1.21)	1.09-1.38)
	followed-up during hospital stay	hospital stay	stay		
Núñez et al ^[33] , 2014	Prospective, 1702 patients with	All-cause	$RDW \ge 15\%$ at admission or	Anemic patients:	Anemic patients:
	HF followed-up for 18 mo	mortality during	during follow-up	HR, 1.04 (95%CI:	HR, 1.08 (95%CI:
		follow-up		1.00-1.07)	1.04-1.13)
				Non-anemic	Non-anemic
				patients: HR, 1.11	patients: HR, 1.31
				(95%CI: 1.05-1.19)	(95%CI: 1.22-1.42)
Ferreira <i>et al</i> ^[35] , 2016	Retrospective, 502 patients with	Hospitalization	RDW \geq 15% at admission and	OR, 1.29 (95%CI:	OR, 2.47 (95%CI:
	acute decompensated HF	for acute	delta RDW > 0 at discharge	0.71-2.33)	1.35-4.51)
		decompensated			
		HF or 180-d			
		cardiovascular death			
Muhlestein et al ^[34] , 2016	Prospective, 6414 patients with	30-d all-cause	1% increase in RDW value at	HR, 1.09 (95%CI:	HR, 1.09 (95%CI:
	HF followed-up during hospital	mortality	admission and during hospital	1.07-1.12)	1.03-1.16)
	stay		stay		
Uemura <i>et al</i> ^[36] , 2016	Prospective, 229 patients with	All-cause	$RDW \ge 14.5\%$ at admission	HR, 1.08 (95%CI:	HR, 1.19 (95%CI:
	acute decompensated HF	mortality during	and positive change of RDW at	0.99-1.19)	1.01-1.41)
1077	followed-up followed for 692 d	follow-up	discharge		
Turcato <i>et al</i> ^[37] , 2017	Retrospective, 588 patients with	30-d all caused	ΔRDW > 0.4% at 48 and 96 h	-	OR, 3.04 (95%CI:
	acute decompensated HF	mortality			1.56-5.94) and 3.65
					(95%CI: 2.02-6.15)

HF: Heart failure; HR: Hazard ratio; OR: Odds ratio; RDW: Red blood cell distribution width; RR: Relative risk.

was found to be much stronger considering longitudinal RDW variations (RR for each 1% increase in RDW during follow-up, 1.12; 95%CI: 1.08-1.34).

In the study performed in 2013 by Makhoul *et al*⁽³²⁾, the population consisted of a total number of 614 patients with acute decompensation of HF, who had RDW measured at baseline and throughout hospital stay, and who were then followed-up for 1 year. Interestingly, each 1% increase in RDW value measured at baseline was independently associated with a 15% higher risk (HR = 1.15; 95%CI: 1.08-1.21) of all-cause mortality, but this association was even stronger using longitudinal changes of RDW, since each 1% increase in RDW value during hospital stay was associated with 23% higher risk (HR = 1.23; 95%CI: 1.09-1.38) of all-cause mortality.

In 2014, Núñez et al^[33] also studied 1702 patients

discharged after being diagnosed with acute HF, and who had their RDW assessed during a median followup period of 18 mo. The baseline RDW value was found to be independently associated with all-cause mortality both in anemic (HR = 1.04; 95%CI: 1.00-1.07) and non-anemic patients (HR = 1.11; 95%CI: 1.05-1.19), but an even stronger association was found between the last longitudinally updated RDW (*i.e.*, the mean of RDW values measured during follow-up) and death, both in anemic (HR = 1.08; 95%CI: 1.04-1.13) and non-anemic (1.31; 95%CI: 1.22-1.42) patients.

In an ensuing article, Muhlestein *et al*^[34] published the results of a prospective study based on 6414 patients hospitalized for HF, who had RDW measured within 24 h from admission and at least one more time during hospitalization. As predictable, each 1% increase in RDW measured at baseline was independently associated with a 9% higher risk of 30-d all-cause mortality (HR = 1.09; 95%CI: 1.07-1.12), but a similar risk was also observed for each 1% increase in RDW during hospitalization (HR = 1.09; 95%CI: 1.03-1.16). Interestingly, the risk of 30-d all-cause death was considerably magnified (*i.e.*, HR, 2.02) when data of both the baseline value and longitudinal changes of RDW were combined in the predictive model.

Ferreira et al^[35] carried out a retrospective study based on 2 independent cohorts of patients admitted to the emergency department with acute decompensation of HF, the first (*i.e.*, the derivation cohort) consisting of 170 patients and the second (i.e., the validation cohort) consisting of 332 patients. RDW was measured at admission and at hospital discharge, with calculation of the ratio between these two values (*i.e.*, Δ RDW). In the final model, a RDW value >15% at admission was independently associated with a 29% higher risk [odds ratio (OR), 1.29; 95%CI: 0.71-2.33] of composite outcome (hospitalization for acute decompensated HF or 180-d cardiovascular death), whilst such risk was found to be substantially higher for patients with $\Delta RDW > 0$ (OR = 2.47; 95%CI: 1.35-4.51). Even more importantly, the combination of RDW value > 15% at admission and $\Delta RDW > 0$ yielded a substantially higher risk of composite outcome than the two measures alone (OR = 3.40; 95%CI: 1.63-7.08).

Uemura *et al*^[36] studied 229 patients hospitalized for acute decompensated HF, who had their RDW measured at admission and at hospital discharge, and who were then followed-up for a median period of 692 d. Although an increased baseline value of RDW at admission (*i.e.*, \geq 14.5%) was slightly but non-significantly associated with all-cause mortality (HR, 1.08; 95%CI: 0.99-1.19), patients exhibiting a positive change (*i.e.*, an increase) of RDW between admission and discharge had a 19% higher risk of all-cause mortality on follow-up (HR = 1.19; 95%CI: 1.01-1.41).

More recently, Turcato *et al*^[37] carried out a retrospective study including 588 patients hospitalized for acute decompensation of HF. RDW values were measured at admission and also after 48 h and 96 h of hospitalization. Interestingly, a Δ RDW > 0.4% calculated between the value at admission and those obtained after 48 h and 96 h of hospital stay was independently associated with a over 3-fold higher risk of 30-d mortality (OR of 48 h Δ RDW, 3.04; 95%CI: 1.56-5.94 and OR of 96 h Δ RDW, 3.65; 95%CI: 2.02-6.15).

Finally, Xanthopoulos *et al*^[38] studied 218 patients who were admitted to the emergency department for acute HF, and who had their RDW measured at admission, at discharge and at 4, 8 and 12 mo afterward. Follow-up for all-cause mortality or rehospitalization was 12 mo. Each 1% increase in RDW value at admission was independently associated with the composite endpoint both in non-diabetic (HR = 1.14; 95%CI: 1.01-1.29) and diabetic (1.35; 95%CI: 1.12-1.62) patients. Notably, the longitudinal changes of RDW showed a significant interaction with diabetes (β coefficient, -0.002; *P* = 0.042), thus highlighting that metabolic imbalances may actually have an impact on longitudinal changes of RDW. According to these findings, anisocytosis may hence be considered not only a bystander but also a potential underlying biological mechanism explaining the adverse long-term effects of diabetes on the risk of hospitalization and mortality in patients with HF^[39].

THE BIOLOGICAL INTERPLAY BETWEEN ANISOCYTOSIS AND HEART FAILURE

Regarding the physiopathological interplay between anisocytosis and HF, many of the different conditions impairing hematopoiesis, and thus potentially leading to a larger size heterogeneity of RBC volumes (Figure 2), may be concomitantly present in patients with HF.

Convincing evidence has accumulated that both celland cytokine-mediated inflammatory pathways actively contribute to development and progression of HF^[40]. An important interplay has also been recognized between inflammation and anisocytosis since inflammation is frequently associated with bone marrow dysfunction and an increase of circulating premature erythrocytes^[41]. As regards oxidative stress, an excess production of reactive oxygen species (ROS) has been associated with both adverse cardiac remodeling^[42] and deranged hematopoiesis, ultimately leading to anisocytosis^[43]. Nutritional deficiencies are commonplace in many forms of anemia characterized by different degrees of anisocytosis^[44], but they are also deeply involved in onset and progression of HF^[45]. The progressive impairment of renal function is one of the leading causes of anemia and anisocytosis, especially in the elderly^[46], but is also an important determinant of adverse outcomes in patients with HF^[47]. Lastly, anisocytosis gradually increases with aging as a result of multiple metabolic dysfunctions^[48], but advanced age is also a strong contributing factor for cardiac dysfunction^[49]. Therefore, the current evidence suggests that anisocytosis and HF may share many pathogenetic mechanisms, which may explain why both conditions may develop and progress in parallel, thus making RDW a reliable marker of cardiac dysfunction.

Nevertheless, anisocytosis may also play a direct role in the onset and progressive worsening of HF. The erythrocyte size heterogeneity mirrors a reduced (often severely impaired) function of this essential corpuscular blood elements. In conditions of high anisocytosis, RBCs are often characterized by lower deformability and decreased oxygen-carrier capacity, thus contributing to reduced oxygenation of many peripheral tissues and cells (including cardiomyocytes), whilst abnormal erythrocytes may also actively participate in the pathogenesis of cardiac fibrosis through promotion or amplification of inflammation, cardiomyocyte stress and apoptosis^[20].

WJC www.wjgnet.com

Lippi G et al. Red blood cell distribution width in heart failure

CONCLUSION

The RDW is a simple, rapid, inexpensive and straightforward hematological parameter, which is now automatically generated by all commercially available hematological analyzers together with the complete blood cells count (CBC). Increased RDW values in venous blood samples truly mirror the degree of anisocytosis *in vivo*, and can hence be used for diagnostic, prognostic and even therapeutic decisions in many acute and chronic pathological conditions^[50].

The currently available scientific evidence convincingly suggests that RDW measurement not only predicts the risk of adverse outcomes (cardiovascular and all-cause mortality, hospitalization for acute decompensation or cardiac dysfunction) in patients with HF but is also a significant and independent predictor of developing HF in patients free of this condition at the time of baseline assessment (Table 2). Nevertheless, the longitudinal assessment of RDW changes over time (i.e., during a hospital stay or shortly afterward) may be an even more effective measure than the baseline value for predicting adverse outcomes in patients with chronic, acute and even acutely decompensated HF (Table 3). The longitudinal assessment of RDW has another important advantage, emerging from its insensitivity to the analyzer used for its measurement. In fact, longitudinal changes either assessed as differences or ratios between the first and the following measurements, may help overcoming the still unresolved issue of poor harmonization of RDW measures^[24], which still hampers the identification of an universally valid diagnostic or predictive threshold. It is also noteworthy in the two studies combining RDW values at admission and their subsequent variations during follow-up^[34,35], the diagnostic efficiency of this combination was found to be much better than either measure alone for predicting adverse outcomes in HF patients.

In conclusion, we suggest that the serial measurement of RDW, and especially the combination of admission value with subsequent changes during in-hospital or home care, may be seen as an affordable and efficient tool to help assessing the prognosis of patients with HF and for reliably predicting the risk of adverse events.

REFERENCES

- Ponikowski P, Voors AA, Anker SD, Bueno H, Cleland JGF, Coats AJS, Falk V, González-Juanatey JR, Harjola VP, Jankowska EA, Jessup M, Linde C, Nihoyannopoulos P, Parissis JT, Pieske B, Riley JP, Rosano GMC, Ruilope LM, Ruschitzka F, Rutten FH, van der Meer P; ESC Scientific Document Group . 2016 ESC Guidelines for the diagnosis and treatment of acute and chronic heart failure: The Task Force for the diagnosis and treatment of acute and chronic heart failure of the European Society of Cardiology (ESC)Developed with the special contribution of the Heart Failure Association (HFA) of the ESC. *Eur Heart J* 2016; **37**: 2129-2200 [PMID: 27206819 DOI: 10.1093/eurheartj/ehw128]
- 2 Writing Committee Members, Yancy CW, Jessup M, Bozkurt B, Butler J, Casey DE Jr, Drazner MH, Fonarow GC, Geraci SA, Horwich T, Januzzi JL, Johnson MR, Kasper EK, Levy WC, Masoudi

FA, McBride PE, McMurray JJ, Mitchell JE, Peterson PN, Riegel B, Sam F, Stevenson LW, Tang WH, Tsai EJ, Wilkoff BL; American College of Cardiology Foundation/American Heart Association Task Force on Practice Guidelines. 2013 ACCF/AHA guideline for the management of heart failure: a report of the American College of Cardiology Foundation/American Heart Association Task Force on practice guidelines. *Circulation* 2013; **128**: e240-e327 [PMID: 23741058 DOI: 10.1161/CIR.0b013e31829e8776]

- 3 Maggioni AP, Dahlström U, Filippatos G, Chioncel O, Crespo Leiro M, Drozdz J, Fruhwald F, Gullestad L, Logeart D, Fabbri G, Urso R, Metra M, Parissis J, Persson H, Ponikowski P, Rauchhaus M, Voors AA, Nielsen OW, Zannad F, Tavazzi L; Heart Failure Association of the European Society of Cardiology (HFA). EURObservational Research Programme: regional differences and 1-year follow-up results of the Heart Failure Pilot Survey (ESC-HF Pilot). *Eur J Heart Fail* 2013; 15: 808-817 [PMID: 23537547 DOI: 10.1093/eurjhf/hft050]
- 4 Pocock SJ, Ariti CA, McMurray JJ, Maggioni A, Køber L, Squire IB, Swedberg K, Dobson J, Poppe KK, Whalley GA, Doughty RN; Meta-Analysis Global Group in Chronic Heart Failure. Predicting survival in heart failure: a risk score based on 39 372 patients from 30 studies. *Eur Heart J* 2013; 34: 1404-1413 [PMID: 23095984 DOI: 10.1093/eurheartj/ehs337]
- 5 Meta-analysis Global Group in Chronic Heart Failure (MAGGIC). The survival of patients with heart failure with preserved or reduced left ventricular ejection fraction: an individual patient data meta-analysis. *Eur Heart J* 2012; 33: 1750-1757 [PMID: 21821849 DOI: 10.1093/eurheartj/ehr254]
- 6 Mosterd A, Hoes AW. Clinical epidemiology of heart failure. *Heart* 2007; 93: 1137-1146 [PMID: 17699180 DOI: 10.1136/ hrt.2003.025270]
- 7 Djoussé L, Driver JA, Gaziano JM. Relation between modifiable lifestyle factors and lifetime risk of heart failure. *JAMA* 2009; **302**: 394-400 [PMID: 19622818 DOI: 10.1001/jama.2009.1062]
- 8 Go AS, Mozaffarian D, Roger VL, Benjamin EJ, Berry JD, Borden WB, Bravata DM, Dai S, Ford ES, Fox CS, Franco S, Fullerton HJ, Gillespie C, Hailpern SM, Heit JA, Howard VJ, Huffman MD, Kissela BM, Kittner SJ, Lackland DT, Lichtman JH, Lisabeth LD, Magid D, Marcus GM, Marelli A, Matchar DB, McGuire DK, Mohler ER, Moy CS, Mussolino ME, Nichol G, Paynter NP, Schreiner PJ, Sorlie PD, Stein J, Turan TN, Virani SS, Wong ND, Woo D, Turner MB; American Heart Association Statistics Committee and Stroke Statistics Subcommittee. Heart disease and stroke statistics-2013 update: a report from the American Heart Association. *Circulation* 2013; **127**: e6-e245 [PMID: 23239837 DOI: 10.1161/CIR.0b013e31828124ad]
- 9 Bleumink GS, Knetsch AM, Sturkenboom MC, Straus SM, Hofman A, Deckers JW, Witteman JC, Stricker BH. Quantifying the heart failure epidemic: prevalence, incidence rate, lifetime risk and prognosis of heart failure The Rotterdam Study. *Eur Heart J* 2004; 25: 1614-1619 [PMID: 15351160 DOI: 10.1016/j.ehj.2004.06.038]
- 10 Gerber Y, Weston SA, Redfield MM, Chamberlain AM, Manemann SM, Jiang R, Killian JM, Roger VL. A contemporary appraisal of the heart failure epidemic in Olmsted County, Minnesota, 2000 to 2010. *JAMA Intern Med* 2015; **175**: 996-1004 [PMID: 25895156 DOI: 10.1001/jamainternmed.2015.0924]
- 11 Owan TE, Hodge DO, Herges RM, Jacobsen SJ, Roger VL, Redfield MM. Trends in prevalence and outcome of heart failure with preserved ejection fraction. *N Engl J Med* 2006; **355**: 251-259 [PMID: 16855265 DOI: 10.1056/NEJMoa052256]
- 12 Bahrami H, Kronmal R, Bluemke DA, Olson J, Shea S, Liu K, Burke GL, Lima JA. Differences in the incidence of congestive heart failure by ethnicity: the multi-ethnic study of atherosclerosis. *Arch Intern Med* 2008; 168: 2138-2145 [PMID: 18955644 DOI: 10.1001/archinte.168.19.2138]
- 13 Roger VL, Weston SA, Redfield MM, Hellermann-Homan JP, Killian J, Yawn BP, Jacobsen SJ. Trends in heart failure incidence and survival in a community-based population. *JAMA* 2004; 292: 344-350 [PMID: 15265849 DOI: 10.1001/jama.292.3.344]
- 14 Lloyd-Jones DM, Larson MG, Leip EP, Beiser A, D'Agostino

RB, Kannel WB, Murabito JM, Vasan RS, Benjamin EJ, Levy D; Framingham Heart Study. Lifetime risk for developing congestive heart failure: the Framingham Heart Study. *Circulation* 2002; **106**: 3068-3072 [PMID: 12473553 DOI: 10.1161/01. CIR.0000039105.49749.6F]

- 15 Loehr LR, Rosamond WD, Chang PP, Folsom AR, Chambless LE. Heart failure incidence and survival (from the Atherosclerosis Risk in Communities study). *Am J Cardiol* 2008; **101**: 1016-1022 [PMID: 18359324 DOI: 10.1016/j.amjcard.2007.11.061]
- 16 Rahimi K, Bennett D, Conrad N, Williams TM, Basu J, Dwight J, Woodward M, Patel A, McMurray J, MacMahon S. Risk prediction in patients with heart failure: a systematic review and analysis. *JACC Heart Fail* 2014; 2: 440-446 [PMID: 25194291 DOI: 10.1016/ j.jchf.2014.04.008]
- 17 Ouwerkerk W, Voors AA, Zwinderman AH. Factors influencing the predictive power of models for predicting mortality and/or heart failure hospitalization in patients with heart failure. JACC Heart Fail 2014; 2: 429-436 [PMID: 25194294 DOI: 10.1016/ j.jchf.2014.04.006]
- 18 Hattangadi SM, Wong P, Zhang L, Flygare J, Lodish HF. From stem cell to red cell: regulation of erythropoiesis at multiple levels by multiple proteins, RNAs, and chromatin modifications. *Blood* 2011; 118: 6258-6268 [PMID: 21998215 DOI: 10.1182/blood-2011-07-356006]
- 19 Dzierzak E, Philipsen S. Erythropoiesis: development and differentiation. *Cold Spring Harb Perspect Med* 2013; 3: a011601 [PMID: 23545573 DOI: 10.1101/cshperspect.a011601]
- 20 Salvagno GL, Sanchis-Gomar F, Picanza A, Lippi G. Red blood cell distribution width: A simple parameter with multiple clinical applications. *Crit Rev Clin Lab Sci* 2015; 52: 86-105 [PMID: 25535770 DOI: 10.3109/10408363.2014.992064]
- 21 **Fischbach FT,** Dunning MB. A manual of laboratory and diagnostic tests. 9th ed. Philadelphia: Wolters Kluwer Health, 2015
- 22 Patel KV, Semba RD, Ferrucci L, Newman AB, Fried LP, Wallace RB, Bandinelli S, Phillips CS, Yu B, Connelly S, Shlipak MG, Chaves PH, Launer LJ, Ershler WB, Harris TB, Longo DL, Guralnik JM. Red cell distribution width and mortality in older adults: a metaanalysis. *J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci* 2010; **65**: 258-265 [PMID: 19880817 DOI: 10.1093/gerona/glp163]
- 23 Lippi G, Cervellin G. Risk assessment of post-infarction heart failure. Systematic review on the role of emerging biomarkers. *Crit Rev Clin Lab Sci* 2014; **51**: 13-29 [PMID: 24410541 DOI: 10.3109/1 0408363.2013.863267]
- 24 Lippi G, Pavesi F, Bardi M, Pipitone S. Lack of harmonization of red blood cell distribution width (RDW). Evaluation of four hematological analyzers. *Clin Biochem* 2014; 47: 1100-1103 [PMID: 24925288 DOI: 10.1016/j.clinbiochem.2014.06.003]
- 25 Lippi G, Cervellin G, Sanchis-Gomar F. Red blood cell distribution width and cardiovascular disorders. Does it really matter which comes first, the chicken or the egg? *Int J Cardiol* 2016; 206: 129-130 [PMID: 26788688 DOI: 10.1016/j.ijcard.2016.01.122]
- 26 Felker GM, Allen LA, Pocock SJ, Shaw LK, McMurray JJ, Pfeffer MA, Swedberg K, Wang D, Yusuf S, Michelson EL, Granger CB; CHARM Investigators. Red cell distribution width as a novel prognostic marker in heart failure: data from the CHARM Program and the Duke Databank. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2007; **50**: 40-47 [PMID: 17601544 DOI: 10.1016/j.jacc.2007.02.067]
- 27 Tonelli M, Sacks F, Arnold M, Moye L, Davis B, Pfeffer M; for the Cholesterol and Recurrent Events (CARE) Trial Investigators. Relation Between Red Blood Cell Distribution Width and Cardiovascular Event Rate in People With Coronary Disease. *Circulation* 2008; **117**: 163-168 [PMID: 18172029 DOI: 10.1161/ CIRCULATIONAHA.107.727545]
- 28 Huang YL, Hu ZD, Liu SJ, Sun Y, Qin Q, Qin BD, Zhang WW, Zhang JR, Zhong RQ, Deng AM. Prognostic value of red blood cell distribution width for patients with heart failure: a systematic review and meta-analysis of cohort studies. *PLoS One* 2014; **9**: e104861 [PMID: 25133510 DOI: 10.1371/journal.pone.0104861]
- 29 Shao Q, Li L, Li G, Liu T. Prognostic value of red blood cell distribution width in heart failure patients: a meta-analysis. Int J Cardiol 2015; 179: 495-499 [PMID: 25465815 DOI: 10.1016/

j.ijcard.2014.11.042]

- 30 Hou H, Sun T, Li C, Li Y, Guo Z, Wang W, Li D. An overall and dose-response meta-analysis of red blood cell distribution width and CVD outcomes. *Sci Rep* 2017; 7: 43420 [PMID: 28233844 DOI: 10.1038/srep43420]
- 31 Cauthen CA, Tong W, Jain A, Tang WH. Progressive rise in red cell distribution width is associated with disease progression in ambulatory patients with chronic heart failure. *J Card Fail* 2012; 18: 146-152 [PMID: 22300783 DOI: 10.1016/j.cardfail.2011.10.013]
- 32 Makhoul BF, Khourieh A, Kaplan M, Bahouth F, Aronson D, Azzam ZS. Relation between changes in red cell distribution width and clinical outcomes in acute decompensated heart failure. *Int J Cardiol* 2013; 167: 1412-1416 [PMID: 22560496 DOI: 10.1016/ j.ijcard.2012.04.065]
- 33 Núñez J, Núñez E, Rizopoulos D, Miñana G, Bodí V, Bondanza L, Husser O, Merlos P, Santas E, Pascual-Figal D, Chorro FJ, Sanchis J. Red blood cell distribution width is longitudinally associated with mortality and anemia in heart failure patients. *Circ J* 2014; 78: 410-418 [PMID: 24292127 DOI: 10.1253/circj.CJ-13-0630]
- 34 Muhlestein JB, Lappe DL, Anderson JL, Muhlestein JB, Budge D, May HT, Bennett ST, Bair TL, Horne BD. Both initial red cell distribution width (RDW) and change in RDW during heart failure hospitalization are associated with length of hospital stay and 30-day outcomes. *Int J Lab Hematol* 2016; **38**: 328-337 [PMID: 27121354 DOI: 10.1111/ijlh.12490]
- 35 Ferreira JP, Girerd N, Arrigo M, Medeiros PB, Ricardo MB, Almeida T, Rola A, Tolppanen H, Laribi S, Gayat E, Mebazaa A, Mueller C, Zannad F, Rossignol P, Aragão I. Enlarging Red Blood Cell Distribution Width During Hospitalization Identifies a Very High-Risk Subset of Acutely Decompensated Heart Failure Patients and Adds Valuable Prognostic Information on Top of Hemoconcentration. *Medicine* (Baltimore) 2016; **95**: e3307 [PMID: 27057905 DOI: 10.1097/MD.00000000003307]
- 36 Uemura Y, Shibata R, Takemoto K, Uchikawa T, Koyasu M, Watanabe H, Mitsuda T, Miura A, Imai R, Watarai M, Murohara T. Elevation of red blood cell distribution width during hospitalization predicts mortality in patients with acute decompensated heart failure. *J Cardiol* 2016; 67: 268-273 [PMID: 26140955 DOI: 10.1016/ i,jjcc.2015.05.011]
- 37 Turcato G, Zorzi E, Prati D, Ricci G, Bonora A, Zannoni M, Maccagnani A, Salvagno GL, Sanchis-Gomar F, Cervellin G, Lippi G. Early in-hospital variation of red blood cell distribution width predicts mortality in patients with acute heart failure. *Int J Cardiol* 2017; 243: 306-310 [PMID: 28506551 DOI: 10.1016/ j.ijcard.2017.05.023]
- 38 Xanthopoulos A, Giamouzis G, Melidonis A, Kitai T, Paraskevopoulou E, Paraskevopoulou P, Patsilinakos S, Triposkiadis F, Skoularigis J. Red blood cell distribution width as a prognostic marker in patients with heart failure and diabetes mellitus. *Cardiovasc Diabetol* 2017; 16: 81 [PMID: 28683798 DOI: 10.1186/ s12933-017-0563-1]
- 39 Dauriz M, Mantovani A, Bonapace S, Verlato G, Zoppini G, Bonora E, Targher G. Prognostic Impact of Diabetes on Long-term Survival Outcomes in Patients With Heart Failure: A Meta-analysis. *Diabetes Care* 2017; 40: 1597-1605 [PMID: 29061587 DOI: 10.2337/dc17-0697]
- 40 Shirazi LF, Bissett J, Romeo F, Mehta JL. Role of Inflammation in Heart Failure. *Curr Atheroscler Rep* 2017; 19: 27 [PMID: 28432635 DOI: 10.1007/s11883-017-0660-3]
- 41 Lippi G, Targher G, Montagnana M, Salvagno GL, Zoppini G, Guidi GC. Relation between red blood cell distribution width and inflammatory biomarkers in a large cohort of unselected outpatients. *Arch Pathol Lab Med* 2009; 133: 628-632 [PMID: 19391664 DOI: 10.1043/1543-2165-133.4.628]
- 42 **Tsutsui H**, Kinugawa S, Matsushima S. Oxidative stress and heart failure. *Am J Physiol Heart Circ Physiol* 2011; **301**: H2181-H2190 [PMID: 21949114 DOI: 10.1152/ajpheart.00554.2011]
- 43 **Friedman JS**, Lopez MF, Fleming MD, Rivera A, Martin FM, Welsh ML, Boyd A, Doctrow SR, Burakoff SJ. SOD2-deficiency anemia: protein oxidation and altered protein expression reveal

targets of damage, stress response, and antioxidant responsiveness. *Blood* 2004; **104**: 2565-2573 [PMID: 15205258 DOI: 10.1182/ blood-2003-11-3858]

- 44 Aslinia F, Mazza JJ, Yale SH. Megaloblastic anemia and other causes of macrocytosis. *Clin Med Res* 2006; **4**: 236-241 [PMID: 16988104 DOI: 10.3121/cmr.4.3.236]
- 45 Sciatti E, Lombardi C, Ravera A, Vizzardi E, Bonadei I, Carubelli V, Gorga E, Metra M. Nutritional Deficiency in Patients with Heart Failure. *Nutrients* 2016; 8: pii E442 [PMID: 27455314 DOI: 10.3390/nu8070442]
- 46 Lippi G, Targher G, Montagnana M, Salvagno GL, Zoppini G, Guidi GC. Relationship between red blood cell distribution width and kidney function tests in a large cohort of unselected outpatients. *Scand J Clin Lab Invest* 2008; 68: 745-748 [PMID: 18618369 DOI: 10.1080/00365510802213550]
- 47 Damman K, Navis G, Voors AA, Asselbergs FW, Smilde TD, Cleland JG, van Veldhuisen DJ, Hillege HL. Worsening renal function and prognosis in heart failure: systematic review and metaanalysis. *J Card Fail* 2007; 13: 599-608 [PMID: 17923350 DOI: 10.1016/j.cardfail.2007.04.008]
- 48 Lippi G, Salvagno GL, Guidi GC. Red blood cell distribution width is significantly associated with aging and gender. *Clin Chem Lab Med* 2014; 52: e197-e199 [PMID: 24897405 DOI: 10.1515/ cclm-2014-0353]
- 49 Vigen R, Maddox TM, Allen LA. Aging of the United States population: impact on heart failure. *Curr Heart Fail Rep* 2012; 9: 369-374 [PMID: 22940871 DOI: 10.1007/s11897-012-0114-8]
- 50 Lippi G, Plebani M. Red blood cell distribution width (RDW) and human pathology. One size fits all. *Clin Chem Lab Med* 2014; **52**: 1247-1249 [PMID: 24945432 DOI: 10.1515/cclm-2014-0585]

P- Reviewer: Amiya E, Anan R, Nunez-Gil IJJ, Teragawa H S- Editor: Cui LJ L- Editor: A E- Editor: Yan JL







Published by Baishideng Publishing Group Inc

7901 Stoneridge Drive, Suite 501, Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA Telephone: +1-925-223-8242 Fax: +1-925-223-8243 E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com Help Desk: http://www.f6publishing.com/helpdesk http://www.wjgnet.com

