



Opinion Are We Missing Something About the Maximum Dosing of Botulinum Toxin Type A1 in Adult and Pediatric Patients with Spasticity?

Alessandro Picelli ^{1,2,3,*}, Rita Di Censo ^{1,2}, Stefano Tamburin ^{1,2}, Nicola Smania ^{1,2}, and Mirko Filippetti ^{1,3}

- ¹ Department of Neurosciences, Biomedicine and Movement Sciences, University of Verona, 37134 Verona, Italy; rita.dicenso@univr.it (R.D.C.); stefano.tamburin@univr.it (S.T.); nicola.smania@univr.it (N.S.); mirko.filippetti@univr.it (M.F.)
- ² Department of Neurosciences, University Hospital of Verona, 37126 Verona, Italy
- ³ Canadian Advances in Neuro-Orthopedics for Spasticity Consortium (CANOSC), Kingston, ON K7K 1Z6, Canada
- * Correspondence: alessandro.picelli@univr.it; Tel.: +39-045-8124573

Abstract: Botulinum toxin type A1 is a first-line treatment for adult and pediatric spasticity. However, when considering the quantity of 150 kDa neurotoxin protein in relation to patient weight and the maximum recommended dose for treating adult and pediatric patients with spasticity, several concerns arise. First, the therapeutic margin (the ratio of the actual maximum quantity of toxin recommended for treating adult spasticity to its median lethal dose) appears to be relevant. Second, there is no consistency between adult and pediatric dosing of botulinum toxin type A1 for spasticity. The third point concerns the suitability of the recommended doses for treating spasticity in pediatric patients. Based on the average body weight of American children and adolescents, the maximum weight-based doses for abobotulinumtoxinA and onabotulinumtoxinA could be administered to children as young as 9 years old. Additionally, the maximum weight-based dose for incobotulinumtoxinA, or more than 34 kg for abobotulinumtoxinA and onabotulinumtoxinA. No labeled recommendations are given on the weight cut-off for transitioning to adult dosing in pediatric patients.

Keywords: botulinum toxins; muscle spasticity; therapeutics

Key Contribution: The current labeled maximum doses of BoNT/A1 for spasticity appear inconsistent, as pediatric patients receive a higher amount of 150 kDa neurotoxin protein per kg than adults. Thus, there is a need to reconsider the recommended doses of BoNT/A1.

1. Introduction

Clostridium is a genus of sporulating and anaerobic gram-positive bacteria [1,2]. Their spores can withstand physical and chemical stress for extended periods until favorable environmental conditions, such as humidity, nutrients, and the absence of oxygen, enable germination [1]. Clostridium botulinum is one of the few neurotoxigenic species within the genus [1,2]. To date, eight serotypes of botulinum neurotoxins (BoNTs) have been identified, labeled A, B, C, D, E, F, G, and X [2]. Recent advancements in molecular genetic analysis and sequencing techniques have led to the identification of several BoNT subtypes, designated by a number following the serotype letter [3].

The molecular architecture of all BoNT serotypes is similar, consisting of a single-chain polypeptide of 150 kDa [2,3]. The toxin is produced by clostridium botulinum as an inactive protein that requires proteolytic cleavage to become active [3]. The active BoNT molecule



Citation: Picelli, A.; Di Censo, R.; Tamburin, S.; Smania, N.; Filippetti, M. Are We Missing Something About the Maximum Dosing of Botulinum Toxin Type A1 in Adult and Pediatric Patients with Spasticity? *Toxins* 2024, 16, 513. https://doi.org/10.3390/ toxins16120513

Received: 11 September 2024 Revised: 13 November 2024 Accepted: 26 November 2024 Published: 27 November 2024



Copyright: © 2024 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by/ 4.0/). consists of a light (L) chain (50 kDa) and a heavy (H) chain (100 kDa) connected by a disulfide bond [2–4].

BoNTs exert their effects through a four-step mechanism: binding, internalization, membrane translocation, and enzymatic target modification [4]. The C-terminal of the H chain is primarily responsible for strong neurospecific binding with the unmyelinated areas of peripheral cholinergic nerve endings, while the N-terminal of the H chain facilitates the translocation of the L chain across the endocytic vesicle membrane into the cytosol [3,4]. The intracellular catalytic activity of the toxin is due to the L chain [1–4]. SNARE proteins, specifically VAMP/synaptobrevin, SNAP-25, and syntaxin, mediate vesicle docking and membrane fusion to release neurotransmitters into the synaptic cleft [2–4]. These proteins are specific substrates for the L chain metalloprotease catalytic activity, which selectively cleaves SNARE proteins at different peptide bonds, thereby inhibiting neurotransmitter release into the synaptic cleft [2,3].

2. Botulinum Toxin Type A1 in Spasticity Clinics

Research into the potential clinical use of BoNTs began in the 1970s, with pioneering studies conducted by Alan Brown Scott and his colleagues [5]. In 1989, the Food and Drug Administration (FDA) approved the clinical use of BoNT/A1 for the first time as a treatment for blepharospasm and strabismus in adults [5,6]. Today, BoNT/A1 and BoNT/B1 are widely used in clinical practice for various therapeutic indications [2,6].

Only BoNT/A1 has been approved for the treatment of spasticity in both adult and pediatric patients [5–8]. The endocytosis of BoNT/A is facilitated by a specific receptor called SV protein, which is expressed at motor nerve terminals in three isoforms (A, B, and C) [3,4]. SV2C binds the toxin more efficiently than SV2A and SV2B [2,3]. BoNT/A1 selectively targets SNAP-25, generating cleavage fragments (SNAP-25_{1–197}) that inhibit acetylcholine exocytosis at the neuromuscular junction, leading to a neuromuscular blocking effect [2–4]. The duration of BoNT/A1 action is approximately 3–4 months in humans, necessitating repeated toxin injections in cycles [2,3,7].

Currently, three major branded products containing BoNT/A1 are available worldwide for the treatment of spasticity: abobotulinumtoxinA, marketed as Dysport by Ipsen (Boulogne-Billancourt, France); onabotulinumtoxinA, marketed as Botox by AbbVie Inc. (North Chicago, IL, USA); and incobotulinumtoxinA, marketed as Xeomin by Merz Pharmaceutical GmbH (Frankfurt, Germany) [9]. As shown in Table 1, these products have different characteristics and should not be considered interchangeable [9,10].

	abobotulinumtoxinA	incobotulinumtoxinA	onabotulinumtoxinA
Brand name	Dysport	Xeomin	Botox
Units per vial	300; 500	50; 100; 200	100; 200
Complex size	~500 kDa	150 kDa	900 kDa
Preparation	Lyophilized	Lyophilized	Vacuum-dried
Storage	2–8 °C	<25 °C	2–8 °C
Constituents and excipients	Hemagglutinin, human albumin, lactose	Human albumin, saccharose	Hemagglutinin, human albumin, saccharose, NaCl

Table 1. Main characteristics of branded products containing BoNT/A1.

Despite its well-documented effectiveness in reducing spasticity, the response to BoNT/A1 may be unsatisfactory in some cases when treatment goals are not met [11,12]. Potential reasons for a poor outcome in BoNT/A1 therapy for spasticity can be related to the patient (e.g., unrealistic expectations, underlying medical conditions, interactions with concurrent medications, immunoresistance, secondary muscle changes), the injector (e.g., incorrect diagnosis, improper muscle selection, poor injection technique), or the drug itself (e.g., incorrect dose, improper preparation, inactive medication) [11].

3. Botulinum Toxin Type A1 for Treating Spasticity

Spasticity is a positive symptom of upper motor neuron syndrome (UMNS). Depending on the various etiologies of UMNS (such as stroke, multiple sclerosis, brain injury, spinal cord injury, or cerebral palsy), spasticity may present with different features, necessitating specific therapeutic approaches [13]. BoNT/A1 is a first-line treatment for focal spasticity [8,12]. However, the labeling information for major BoNT/A1 products is not consistent worldwide, as it varies between countries depending on regulatory approvals [14,15]. For example, according to current labeling information, abobotulinumtoxinA and onabotulinumtoxinA are approved in the USA for the treatment of upper- and lower-limb spasticity in both adults and children [14]. By contrast, the Agenzia Italiana del Farmaco (AIFA) has approved these products in Italy for the treatment of upper- and lower-limb spasticity in adults (with onabotulinumtoxinA specifically approved only for post-stroke spasticity) and for the treatment of lower-limb spasticity due to cerebral palsy in children [15]. IncobotulinumtoxinA is approved in the USA for the treatment of upper-limb spasticity in adults and children (excluding spasticity caused by cerebral palsy) [14]. In Italy, however, it is approved only for the treatment of upper-limb spasticity in adults, with no approval for pediatric spasticity [15]. Additionally, current FDA and AIFA labeling information for the treatment of spasticity includes some differences in recommendations regarding the doses and target muscles for injections with abobotulinumtoxinA (see Table 2), incobotulinumtoxinA (see Table 3), and onabotulinumtoxinA (see Table 4) [14,15].

Table 2. Recommendations for the treatment of spasticity with abobotulinumtoxinA.

	USA (Food and Drug Administration)	Italy (Agenzia Italiana del Farmaco)
		Latissimus dorsi 150–300 units
		Subscapolaris 150–300 units
		Pectoralis major 150–300 units
	Biceps brachii 200–400 units	Triceps brachii 150–300 units
	Brachialis 200–400 units	Biceps brachii 200–400 units
Linn or limb	Brachioradialis 100-200 units	Brachialis 200–400 units
Upper-limb	Pronator teres 100–200 units	Brachioradialis 100–200 units
spasticity in adults	Flexor carpi radialis 100–200 units	Pronator teres 100–200 units
in aduns	Flexor carpi ulnaris 100–200 units	Flexor carpi radialis 100–200 units
	Flexor digitorum superficialis 100–200 units	Flexor carpi ulnaris 100–200 units
	Flexor digitorum profundus 100-200 units	Flexor digitorum superficialis 100-200 unit
		Flexor digitorum profundus 100-200 units
		Flexor pollicis longus 100–200 units
		Adductor pollicis 25–50 units
		Gluteus maximum 100–400 units
		Gracilis 100–200 units
		Adductor magnus 100–300 units
		Rectus femoris 100-400 units
	Gastrocnemius medialis 100–150 units	Hamstrings 100–400 units
Lower-limb	Gastrocnemius lateralis 100–150 units	Gastrocnemius medialis 100-450 units
spasticity	Soleus 330–500 units	Gastrocnemius lateralis 100-450 units
in adults	Tibialis posterior 200–300 units	Soleus 300–550 units
	Flexor digitorum longus 130–200 units	Tibialis posterior 100–250 units
	Flexor hallucis longus 70–200 units	Flexor digitorum longus 50–200 units
		Flexor digitorum brevis 50–200 units
		Flexor hallucis longus 50–200 units
		Flexor hallucis brevis 50–100 units

	USA (Food and Drug Administration)	Italy (Agenzia Italiana del Farmaco	
	Biceps brachii 3–6 units/kg		
	Brachialis 3–6 units/kg		
	Brachioradialis 1.5–3 units/kg		
Upper-limb	Pronator teres 1–2 units/kg		
spasticity	Pronator quadratus 0.5–1 units/kg		
in children	Flexor carpi radialis 2–4 units/kg	Not approved	
	Flexor carpi ulnaris 1.5–3 units/kg		
	Flexor digitorum superficialis 1.5–3 units/kg		
	Flexor digitorum profundus 1–2 units/kg		
		Hip adductors 3–10 units/kg	
Lower-limb spasticity in children	Gastrocnemius 6–9 units/kg	Hamstrings 5–6 units/kg	
		Gastrocnemius 5–15 units/kg	
	Soleus 4–6 units/kg	Soleus 4–6 units/kg	
		Tibialis posterior 3–5 units/kg	

 Table 3. Recommendations for the treatment of spasticity with incobotulinumtoxinA.

	USA (Food and Drug Administration)	Italy (Agenzia Italiana del Farmaco)
Upper-limb spasticity in adults	Biceps brachii 50–200 units Brachialis 25–100 units Brachioradialis 25–100 units Pronator teres 25–75 units Pronator quadratus 10–50 units Flexor carpi radialis 25–100 units Flexor carpi ulnaris 20–100 units Flexor digitorum superficialis 25–100 units Flexor digitorum profundus 25–100 units Flexor digitorum profundus 25–100 units Flexor pollicis longus 10–50 units Adductor pollicis 5–30 units Flexor pollicis brevis 5–30 units Opponens pollicis 5–30 units	Latissimus dorsi 25–150 units Deltoid 20–150 units Subscapolaris 15–100 units Pectoralis major 20–200 units Teres major 20–100 units Biceps brachii 50–200 units Brachialis 25–100 units Brachioradialis 25–100 units Pronator teres 25–75 units Pronator quadratus 10–50 units Flexor carpi radialis 25–100 units Flexor carpi unaris 25–100 units Flexor digitorum superficialis 25–100 units Flexor digitorum profundus 25–100 units Flexor pollicis longus 10–50 units Flexor pollicis brevis 5–30 units Flexor pollicis brevis 5–30 units
Upper-limb spasticity in children	Biceps brachii 2–3 units/kg Brachialis 1–2 units/kg Brachioradialis 1–2 units/kg Pronator teres 1–2 units/kg Pronator quadratus 0.5 units/kg Flexor carpi radialis 1 units/kg Flexor carpi ulnaris 1 units/kg Flexor digitorum superficialis 1 units/kg Flexor digitorum profundus 1 units/kg Flexor pollicis longus 1 units/kg Adductor pollicis 0.5 units/kg Flexor pollicis brevis 0.5 units/kg Opponens pollicis 0.5 units/kg	Not approved

4 of 9

	USA (Food and Drug Administration)	Italy (Agenzia Italiana del Farmaco)
	Biceps brachii 60–200 units	
	Brachialis 30–50 units	
	Brachioradialis 45–75 units	Pronator teres 10–50 units
	Pronator teres 15–25 units	Flexor carpi radialis 15–60 units
	Pronator quadratus 10–50 units	Flexor carpi ulnaris 10–50 units
	Flexor carpi radialis 12.5–50 units	Flexor digitorum superficialis 10–50 units
Upper-limb	Flexor carpi ulnaris 12.5–50 units	Flexor digitorum profundus 10–50 units
spasticity	Flexor digitorum superficialis 30–50 units	Flexor pollicis longus 20 units
in adults	Flexor digitorum profundus 30–50 units	Adductor pollicis 20 units
	Flexor pollicis longus 20 units	Flexor pollicis brevis 5–25 units
	Adductor pollicis 20 units	Opponens pollicis 5–25 units
	Flexor pollicis brevis 5–25 units	Lumbricals 5–10 units
	Opponens pollicis 5–25 units	Interossei 5–10 units
	Lumbricals 5–10 units	
	Interossei 5–10 units	
	Gastrocnemius medialis 75 units	
Lower-limb	Gastrocnemius lateralis 75 units	Gastrocnemius medialis 75 units
	Soleus 75 units	Gastrocnemius lateralis 75 units
spasticity in adults	Tibialis posterior 75 units	Soleus 75 units
in aduns	Flexor digitorum longus 50 units	Tibialis posterior 75 units
	Flexor hallucis longus 50 units	-
	Biceps brachii 1.5–3 units/kg	
	Brachialis 1–2 units/kg	
Upper-limb	Brachioradialis 0.5–1 units/kg	
spasticity	Flexor carpi radialis 1–2 units/kg	Not approved
in children	Flexor carpi ulnaris 1–2 units/kg	
	Flexor digitorum superficialis 0.5–1 units/kg	
	Flexor digitorum profundus 0.5–1 units/kg	
Lower-limb	Gastrocnemius medialis 1–2 units/kg	
	Gastrocnemius lateralis 1–2 units/kg	
spasticity in children	Soleus 1–2 units/kg	Gastrocnemius 4–6 units/kg
in children	Tibialis posterior 1–2 units/kg	0

Table 4. Recommendations for the treatment of spasticity with onabotulinumtoxinA.

While the dose per muscle is influenced by factors such as muscle tone severity, muscle characteristics, and architecture, the primary factor in determining the amount of BoNT/A1 to administer per treatment session is the maximum recommended dose, which defines the total number of patterns and muscles that can be injected. This is a major concern, as a more tailored treatment approach may improve outcomes and better support neurorehabilitation programs.

Incorrect dosing, whether overdosing or underdosing, is a key drug-related factor contributing to poor outcomes in BoNT/A1 therapy [11]. The current literature suggests that many patients with spasticity feel that BoNT/A1 dosing limits hinder both outcome achievement and treatment satisfaction. Similarly, physicians are often required to prioritize treating the patterns that most significantly impact overall treatment goals [16]. Therefore, addressing the consistency and discrepancies in approved BoNT/A1 dosages is essential.

4. Discussion

A major concern in managing spasticity with BoNT/A1 is the potential for local and systemic adverse events [17,18]. In clinical practice, the safety of BoNT/A1 treatment is closely tied to the administered dose [10,18]. Dose-related issues across different products can have serious consequences for patients: doses that are too low may not achieve optimal efficacy, while excessive doses can increase the risk of adverse events [10].

Current labeling information about the maximum recommended total dose (units) per treatment session of abobotulinumtoxinA, incobotulinumtoxinA, and onabotulinumtoxinA is reported in Table 5.

Table 5. Maximum recommended doses (units) of BoNT/A1 for treating spasticity.

	abobotulinumtoxinA	incobotulinumtoxinA	onabotulinumtoxinA
Adults	USA 1500 units	USA 400 units	USA 400 units
	Italy 1500 units	Italy 500 units	Italy 300 units
Children	USA 1000 units (30 units/kg)	USA 400 units (16 units/kg)	USA 340 units (10 units/kg)
	Italy 1000 units (30 units/kg)	Italy not approved	Italy 200 units (6 units/kg)

When considering the amount of BoNT/A1 (150 kDa neurotoxin protein) per vial, the mean quantity of toxin is 2.69 ng/500 units of abobotulinumtoxinA, 0.40 ng/100 units of incobotulinumtoxinA, and 0.90 ng/100 units of onabotulinumtoxinA [19]. Table 6 compares the maximum recommended total dose of the three major branded products converted in ng.

Table 6. Maximum recommended doses (ng) of BoNT/A1 for treating spasticity.

	abobotulinumtoxinA	incobotulinumtoxinA	onabotulinumtoxinA
Adults	USA 8.07 ng	USA 1.6 ng	USA 3.6 ng
	Italy 8.07 ng	Italy 2.0 ng	Italy 2.7 ng
Children	USA 5.38 ng	USA 1.6 ng	USA 3.06 ng
	Italy 5.38 ng	Italy not approved	Italy 1.8 ng

BoNTs are among the most potent toxins known to science, with toxicity measured in ng/kg. The toxicity of BoNT/A1 in humans is estimated to be 1 ng/kg of body weight when administered intramuscularly or intravenously [20].

Based on this, one would expect the therapeutic dosage of BoNT/A1 to also be calculated according to body weight. However, for the treatment of spasticity with BoNT/A1, the maximum recommended total dose is calculated based on body weight (units/kg) only for pediatric patients aged 2 to 17 years (see Table 5). Thus, according to the FDA and AIFA labeling information, the maximum weight-based total doses for abobotulinumtoxinA (1000 units/30 units per kg) and onabotulinumtoxinA (360 units/10 units per kg or 200 units/6 units per kg) can be administered to children weighing 34 kg [14,15]. Additionally, the maximum weight-based total dose for incobotulinumtoxinA (400 units/16 units per kg) can be administered to children weighing 25 kg [14]. By contrast, for adults with spasticity, there is no specific recommendation for BoNT/A1 total dosing adjusted by body weight. However, considering the average body weight of American adults aged over 20 years [21], the maximum total dose of BoNT/A1 recommended by FDA labeling corresponds to 16.6 units/kg (1500 units/90.6 kg) in males and 19.4 units/kg (1500 units/77.5 kg) in females for abobotulinumtoxinA, and 4.4 units/kg (400 units/90.6 kg) in males and 5.2 units/kg (400 units/77.5 kg) in females for both incobotulinumtoxinA and onabotulinumtoxinA [14,21]. For comparison, we report these conversions to ng/kg of the maximum BoNT/A1 total doses recommended by the FDA for treating spasticity in adults and pediatric patients in Table 7.

	abobotulinumtoxinA	incobotulinumtoxinA	onabotulinumtoxinA
Adult males	0.09 ng/kg	0.02 ng/kg	0.04 ng/kg
Adult females	0.10 ng/kg	0.03 ng/kg	0.05 ng/kg
Children	0.16 ng/kg	0.06 ng/kg	0.09 ng/kg

Table 7. Maximum doses (ng/kg) recommended by FDA for treating spasticity.

Overall, when considering the quantity of BoNT/A1 (150 kDa neurotoxin protein) in relation to patient weight and the maximum recommended total dose for treating adult and pediatric patients with spasticity, several concerns arise.

First, the therapeutic margin (i.e., the difference between the therapeutic dose and the toxic dose) appears to be relevant. Specifically, when adjusting the maximum recommended total dose of toxin based on the average body weight of the American adult population, the ratio of the actual maximum quantity of BoNT/A1 recommended by the FDA for treating adult spasticity to its median lethal dose is approximately 9–10% for abobotulinumtoxinA, 2–3% for incobotulinumtoxinA, and 4–5% for onabotulinumtoxinA. To the best of our knowledge, doses up to 2000 units of abobotulinumtoxinA, 1200 units of incobotulinumtoxinA, and 800 units of onabotulinumtoxinA have been reported in adult patients with spasticity [22–24]. Based on the parameters previously considered, these total doses correspond to 0.12–0.14 ng/kg (12–14% of the median lethal dose) for abobotulinumtoxinA, 0.05–0.06 ng/kg (5–6% of the median lethal dose) for incobotulinumtoxinA. The current literature reports no significant increase in the risk for adverse events with these (high) doses of BoNT/A1, further suggesting that the current approved doses should be reconsidered [22–25].

Second, although adult doses appear consistent between males and females, with only a very small difference of 0.01 ng/kg when adjusted for the average body weight of the American population, there is no consistency between the adult and pediatric maximum total dosing for spasticity [21,26]. Specifically, the maximum pediatric dose recommended by the FDA for treating spasticity is approximately 60–78% higher than that for adults for abobotulinumtoxinA, 200–300% higher for incobotulinumtoxinA, and 180–225% higher for onabotulinumtoxinA.

This leads to the third point, which concerns the suitability of the recommended doses for treating spasticity in pediatric patients aged 2 to 17 years. Based on the average body weight of American children and adolescents [26], the maximum weight-based total doses for abobotulinumtoxinA (1000 units/30 units per kg) and onabotulinumtoxinA (340 units/10 units per kg) could be administered to children as young as 9 years old. Additionally, the maximum weight-based total dose for incobotulinumtoxinA (400 units/16 units per kg) could be administered to children as young as 6 years old [14,26]. This suggests that, according to the current FDA labeling for pediatric spasticity [14], a 6 year-old girl weighing 25 kg would receive the same maximum total dose of incobotulinumtoxinA as an adult female weighing 77 kg. Similarly, a 9 year-old boy weighing 34 kg would receive the same maximum total dose of abobotulinumtoxinA and onabotulinumtoxinA as an adult male weighing 90 kg [14,21,26].

The final point concerns managing the maximum total dose of BoNT/A1 in pediatric patients with spasticity who weigh more than 25 kg for incobotulinumtoxinA, or more than 34 kg for abobotulinumtoxinA and onabotulinumtoxinA. Unfortunately, there are no labeled recommendations on the weight cut-off for transitioning to adult dosing in pediatric patients. Previous expert opinion suggested using adult dosing of onabotulinumtoxinA for children weighing more than 60 kg, which corresponds to the average weight of 13 year-olds in the USA [26,27]. However, the recent consensus no longer supports this approach, instead endorsing the in-label maximum total doses of BoNT/A1, with the exception of allowing up to 600 units of onabotulinumtoxinA in specific pediatric patients [28].

5. Conclusions

Treatment of spasticity with BoNT/A1 has been shown to be effective and safe in both adult and pediatric patients [8–12]. However, the current maximum recommended dose for spasticity appears inconsistent, as pediatric patients are advised to receive a higher maximum dose than adults, and adult females are prescribed higher maximum doses of BoNT/A1 than adult males when adjusted for body weight [14,21,26]. Given that BoNT toxicity is measured in ng/kg and the incidence of systemic adverse events following BoNT/A1 treatment is similar across adult males, females, and pediatric patients with spasticity [29,30], there may be a need to revise the recommended maximum doses according to the actual quantity (ng) of the 150 kDa neurotoxin protein injected and patient characteristics. From this perspective, implementing alternative therapeutic strategies, such as an individualized approach optimized on the base of body weight and other contextual factors (such as muscle mass, spasticity etiology, disease duration, previous treatments, comorbidities) [31], could help improve treatment consistency, particularly between children and adults. Addressing these dosage discrepancies in labeling information may significantly impact treatment options for patients with spasticity.

Author Contributions: Conceptualization, A.P.; writing—original draft preparation, A.P. and M.F.; writing—review and editing, R.D.C., S.T. and N.S. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This research received no external funding.

Institutional Review Board Statement: Not applicable.

Informed Consent Statement: Not applicable.

Data Availability Statement: No new data were created or analyzed in this study. Data sharing is not applicable to this article.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

References

- Rossetto, O.; Pirazzini, M.; Montecucco, C. Botulinum neurotoxins: Genetic, structural and mechanistic insights. *Nat. Rev. Microbiol.* 2014, 12, 535–549. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Pirazzini, M.; Montecucco, C.; Rossetto, O. Toxicology and pharmacology of botulinum and tetanus neurotoxins: An update. Arch. Toxicol. 2022, 96, 1521–1539. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 3. Pirazzini, M.; Rossetto, O.; Eleopra, R.; Montecucco, C. Botulinum neurotoxins: Biology, pharmacology, and toxicology. *Pharmacol. Rev.* 2017, *69*, 200–235. [CrossRef]
- Wheeler, A.; Smith, H.S. Botulinum toxins: Mechanisms of action, antinociception and clinical applications. *Toxicology* 2013, 306, 124–146. [CrossRef]
- 5. Dong, J.; Helveston, E.M.; Hanke, C.W. The 200-year timeline on botulinum toxin: From biologic poison to wonder drug. J. Drugs Dermatol. 2024, 23, 1357–1359. [CrossRef]
- 6. Jabbari, B. History of botulinum toxin treatment in movement disorders. Tremor. Other Hyperkinet. Mov. 2016, 6, 394. [CrossRef]
- 7. Suputtitada, A.; Chatromyen, S.; Chen, C.P.C.; Simpson, D.M. Best practice guidelines for the management of patients with post-stroke spasticity: A modified scoping review. *Toxins* **2024**, *16*, 98. [CrossRef]
- Simpson, D.M.; Hallett, M.; Ashman, E.J.; Comella, C.L.; Green, M.W.; Gronseth, G.S.; Armstrong, M.J.; Gloss, D.; Potrebic, S.; Jankovic, J.; et al. Practice guideline update summary: Botulinum neurotoxin for the treatment of blepharospasm, cervical dystonia, adult spasticity, and headache: Report of the guideline development subcommittee of the American academy of neurology. *Neurology* 2016, *86*, 1818–1826. [CrossRef]
- 9. Albanese, A. Terminology for preparations of botulinum neurotoxins: What a difference a name makes. *JAMA* **2011**, 305, 89–90. [CrossRef]
- 10. Brin, M.F.; Nelson, M.; Ashourian, N.; Brideau-Andersen, A.; Maltman, J. Update on non-interchangeability of botulinum neurotoxin products. *Toxins* 2024, *16*, 266. [CrossRef]
- 11. Li, S.; Francisco, G.E. The use of botulinum toxin for treatment of spasticity. Handb. Exp. Pharmacol. 2021, 263, 127–146. [PubMed]
- Wissel, J.; Ward, A.B.; Erztgaard, P.; Bensmail, D.; Hecht, M.J.; Lejeune, T.M.; Schnider, P.; Altavista, M.C.; Cavazza, S.; Deltombe, T.; et al. European consensus table on the use of botulinum toxin type A in adult spasticity. J. Rehabil. Med. 2009, 41, 13–25. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 13. Li, S.; Francisco, G.E.; Rymer, W.Z. A new definition of poststroke spasticity and the interference of spasticity with motor recovery from acute to chronic stages. *Neurorehabil Neural Repair.* **2021**, *35*, 601–610. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

- 14. FDA-Approved Drugs. Available online: www.fda.gov/drugsatfda (accessed on 11 September 2024).
- 15. Agenzia Italiana del Farmaco. Available online: www.aifa.gov.it (accessed on 11 September 2024).
- 16. Bensmail, D.; Hanschmann, A.; Wissel, J. Satisfaction with botulinum toxin treatment in post-stroke spasticity: Results from two cross-sectional surveys (patients and physicians). *J. Med. Econ.* **2014**, *17*, 618–625. [CrossRef]
- 17. Brodsky, M.A.; Swope, D.M.; Grimes, D. Diffusion of botulinum toxins. Tremor. Other Hyperkinet. Mov. 2012, 2, 85. [CrossRef]
- Tang-Liu, D.D.; Aoki, K.R.; Dolly, J.O.; de Paiva, A.; Houchen, T.L.; Chasseaud, L.F.; Webber, C. Intramuscular injection of 125I-botulinum neurotoxin-complex versus 125I-botulinum-free neurotoxin: Time course of tissue distribution. *Toxicon* 2003, 42, 461–469. [CrossRef]
- Field, M.; Splevins, A.; Picaut, P.; van der Schans, M.; Langenberg, J.; Noort, D.; Snyder, D.; Foster, K. AbobotulinumtoxinA (Dysport[®]), OnabotulinumtoxinA (Botox[®]), and IncobotulinumtoxinA (Xeomin[®]) neurotoxin content and potential implications for duration of response in patients. *Toxins* 2018, 10, 535. [CrossRef]
- 20. Rossetto, O.; Montecucco, C. Tables of toxicity of botulinum and tetanus neurotoxins. Toxins 2019, 11, 686. [CrossRef]
- 21. Fryar, C.D.; Carroll, M.D.; Gu, Q.; Afful, J.; Ogden, C.L. Anthropometric reference data for children and adults: United States, 2015–2018. National Center for Health Statistics. *Vital Health Stat.* **2021**, *46*, 3.
- Intiso, D.; Simone, V.; Bartolo, M.; Santamato, A.; Ranieri, M.; Gatta, M.T.; Di Rienzo, F. High dosage of botulinum toxin type A in adult subjects with spasticity following acquired central nervous system damage: Where are we at? *Toxins* 2020, *12*, 315. [CrossRef]
- 23. Santamato, A. High doses of botulinum toxin type A for the treatment of post-stroke spasticity: Rationale for a real benefit for the patients. *Toxins* **2022**, *14*, 332. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 24. Intiso, D.; Centra, A.M.; Gravina, M.; Chiaramonte, A.; Bartolo, M.; Di Rienzo, F. Botulinum toxin-A high-dosage effect on functional outcome and spasticity-related pain in subjects with stroke. *Toxins* **2023**, *15*, 509. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 25. Kirshblum, S.; Solinsky, R.; Jasey, N.; Hampton, S.; Didesch, M.; Seidel, B.; Botticello, A. Adverse event profiles of high dose botulinum toxin injections for spasticity. *PM&R* **2020**, *12*, 349–355.
- Fryar, C.D.; Kruszon-Moran, D.; Gu, Q.; Carroll, M.; Ogden, C.L. Mean body weight, height, waist circumference, and body mass index among children and adolescents: United States, 1999–2018. *Natl. Health Stat. Rep.* 2021, 160, 1–24.
- 27. Jankovic, J.; Brin, M.F. Botulinum toxin: Historical perspective and potential new indications. In *Spasticity: Etiology, Evaluation, Management and the Role of Botulinum Toxin*; Mayer, N.Y., Simpson, D.M., Eds.; WE MOVE: New York, NY, USA, 2005.
- Vova, J.A.; Green, M.M.; Brandenburg, J.E.; Davidson, L.; Paulson, A.; Deshpande, S.; Oleszek, J.L.; Inanoglu, D.; McLaughlin, M.J. A consensus statement on the use of botulinum toxin in pediatric patients. *PM&R* 2022, 14, 1116–1142.
- Marciniak, C.; Munin, M.C.; Brashear, A.; Rubin, B.S.; Patel, A.T.; Slawek, J.; Hanschmann, A.; Hiersemenzel, R.; Elovic, E.P. IncobotulinumtoxinA efficacy and safety in adults with upper-limb spasticity following stroke: Results from the open-label extension period of a phase 3 study. *Adv. Ther.* 2019, *36*, 187–199. [CrossRef]
- Paget, S.P.; Swinney, C.M.; Burton, K.L.O.; Bau, K.; O'Flaherty, S.J. Systemic adverse events after botulinum neurotoxin A injections in children with cerebral palsy. *Dev. Med. Child. Neurol.* 2018, 60, 1172–1177. [CrossRef]
- Dressler, D.; Kopp, B.; Adib Saberi, F. Botulinum toxin dosing in arm muscles: Contextual factors. J. Neural Transm. 2021, 128, 315–319. [CrossRef]

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.