

# Antibody-based delivery of interleukin-2 modulates the immunosuppressive tumor microenvironment and achieves cure in pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma syngeneic mice

Carmine Carbone<sup>1[†](http://orcid.org/0000-0001-5168-747X)</sup><sup>®</sup>, Roberto De Luca<sup>2†</sup>, Emanuele Puca<sup>2</sup>, Antonio Agostini<sup>1,3</sup>, Alessia Caggiano<sup>4</sup>, Lorenzo Priori<sup>4</sup>, Annachiara Esposito<sup>4</sup>, Serena Ascrizzi<sup>4</sup>, Geny Piro<sup>1</sup>, Lisa Salvatore<sup>1,4</sup>, Francesco De Sanctis<sup>5</sup>, Stefano Ugel<sup>5</sup>, Vincenzo Corbo<sup>6,7</sup>, Dario Neri<sup>8,9</sup> and Giampaolo Tortora<sup>1,4\*</sup>

# **Abstract**

**Background** Pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma (PDAC) is one of the most aggressive and deadly type of cancer, with an extremely low fve-year overall survival rate. To date, current treatment options primarily involve various chemotherapies, which often prove inefective and are associated with substantial toxicity. Furthermore, immunotherapies utilizing checkpoint inhibitors have shown limited efficacy in this context, highlighting an urgent need for novel therapeutic strategies. This study investigates the preclinical efficacy of an innovative targeted therapy based on antibody-cytokine fusion proteins, specifcally interleukin-2 (IL-2), a pivotal driver of cell-mediated immunity, fused to L19 antibody, which selectively binds to extra domain B of fbronectin (EDB-FN1) expressed in the tumor microenvironment.

**Methods** We tested the efectiveness of diferent immunocytokines through in vivo characterization in syngeneic C57BL/6J orthotopic mouse models of PDAC. Based on these results, we decided to focus on L19-IL2. To assess the efficacy of this immunocytokine we developed an *ex-vivo* immune-spheroid interaction platform derived from murine 3D pancreatic cultures, and telomerase reverse transcriptase (TERT) specifc T-lymphocytes. Moreover, we evaluated the anti-cancer efect of L19-IL2 in combination with standard therapy in vivo experiments in PDAC mouse models. Tumor samples collected after the treatments were characterized for tumor infltrating immune cell components by bulk RNA sequencing (RNA-seq) and spatial transcriptomics (Stereo-seq) analysis.

**Results** The tumor-targeted L19-IL2 fusion protein demonstrated potent, dose-dependent anti-tumor activity in mice with pancreatic tumors resistant to standard chemotherapy. Spatial Transcriptomics (ST) and RNA-seq analyses indicated that L19-IL2 treatment induced a signifcant infux of immune cells into the tumor microenvironment, with these cells expressing activation markers like granzymes, perforins, and the IL-2 receptors.

† Carmine Carbone and Roberto De Luca these authors have equally contributed to this work.

\*Correspondence: Giampaolo Tortora giampaolo.tortora@policlinicogemelli.it Full list of author information is available at the end of the article



© The Author(s) 2025. **Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit [http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver ([http://creativecom](http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/)[mons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/\)](http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated in a credit line to the data.

**Conclusions** Our results demonstrated that L19-IL2 enhances immune infltration and cytotoxicity, remodeling the "cold" tumor microenvironment (TME) in PDAC. This innovative antibody-cytokine fusion protein improves therapeutic outcomes, paving the way for novel targeted treatment strategies in PDAC.

**Keywords** Pancreatic cancer, Immunocytokines, Orthotopic syngeneic mouse models, Chemotherapy

# **Introduction**

PDAC is one of the most aggressive and deadly forms of cancer, accounting for over 85% of all solid pancreatic tumors  $[1, 2]$  $[1, 2]$  $[1, 2]$ . The prognosis for PDAC patients remains grim, with a fve-year overall survival rate of just 11.5% for stages I-III and only 3.1% for those with metastatic stage IV disease [[2\]](#page-17-1). Surgical resection is the only potentially curative treatment for PDAC, but unfortunately, only 20% of patients are candidates for surgery at the time of diagnosis [\[3\]](#page-17-2).

First-line chemotherapy for PDAC typically involves either the FOLFIRINOX regimen, which combines 5-fuorouracil (5-FU), leucovorin, irinotecan, and oxaliplatin, or a combination of gemcitabine and nab-paclitaxel [\[4](#page-17-3), [5](#page-17-4)]. When the disease progresses, patients receive second-line chemotherapy, though the optimal regimen has yet to be fully established. Second-line treatments vary by country, with 5-FU-based therapies commonly being used if these agents were not part of the first-line treatment  $[6]$  $[6]$ . Regimens such as FOLFOX (5-FU, oxaliplatin, and leucovorin) or 5-FU combined with liposomal irinotecan (Nal-IRI) are frequently employed [\[7](#page-17-6), [8\]](#page-17-7). A recent meta-analysis showed that frst -line PDAC patients treated with FOL-FIRINOX or NALIRIFOX had a similar Progression Free Survival and Overall Survival [[9\]](#page-17-8).

Despite surgery and chemotherapy being the standard of care, the clinical benefts for PDAC patients remain very limited. Even novel immune checkpoint inhibitors that are clinically efective against various solid tumors exhibit limited efficacy in PDAC. This resistance is primarily due to a pronounced desmoplastic reaction and the formation of an immunosuppressive TME [[10](#page-17-9)]. TME is rich in components of the extracellular matrix (ECM), such as fbronectin, which favor tumor survival and create barriers inhibiting immune cell recognition. Fibronectin is a key structural glycoprotein of ECM and plays several signifcant roles in the formation of this hostile environment  $[11]$  $[11]$ . These challenges underscore the urgent need for more efective therapeutic strategies.

Recombinant human IL2 (Proleukin<sup>®</sup>) was the first cytokine approved for the treatment of metastatic melanoma and renal cell carcinoma [\[12](#page-17-11)]. However, the therapeutic efficacy of recombinant cytokines is often limited by their toxicity already at low doses, which prevents escalation to therapeutically efective levels. Antibody-cytokine fusion proteins, known as immunocytokines, offer a potential solution by promoting selective localization to tumor sites, thereby minimizing damage to healthy tissues  $[13-19]$  $[13-19]$  $[13-19]$ .

The alternatively spliced extra-domain B of fibronectin (EDB-FN1), whose 91-amino acid sequence is fully conserved between mice and humans, is a well-characterized marker of malignancy [\[20](#page-17-14)[–22\]](#page-17-15). EDB-FN1 is undetectable in normal adult tissues but is strongly expressed in most aggressive solid and liquid tumors  $[23-25]$  $[23-25]$  $[23-25]$ . The fully human monoclonal antibody L19 [\[26](#page-17-18)], which targets EDB-FN1, has been shown to preferentially localize to tumor in both animal models and cancer patients [\[20,](#page-17-14) [27](#page-17-19)[–29](#page-17-20)].

An immunocytokine based on the L19 antibody fused to IL-2 (L19-IL2), in combination with L19-TNF, has recently met the primary endpoint in a Phase III trial in melanoma [NCT03567889]. A second Phase III trial is ongoing in the United States in the same patient population [NCT02938299] along with two Phase II studies in non-melanoma skin cancers [NCT05329792, NCT04362722]. L19-IL2 has also been explored with gemcitabine in PDAC, but such combination did not yield objective responses [NCT01198522].

In this article, we have investigated various doses of L19-IL2, both as a monotherapy and in combination with FOLFOX, in syngeneic orthotopic mouse models of PDAC. L19-IL2 selectively localized to the neoplastic mass and demonstrated a dose-dependent inhibition of tumor growth. Furthermore, it transformed immunologically "cold" tumors into "hot" ones, as evidenced by immunofuorescence (IF), RNA-seq, and ST analyses. In summary, the fndings of this study pave the way for new clinical investigations of L19-IL2 in patients with PDAC.

# **Methods**

### **L19‑IL2**

L19-IL2 was kindly provided by Philogen S.p.A. The biochemical characterization of the product was conducted as previously described [\[30](#page-17-21)].

# **PDAC mouse cell lines and 3D cultures**

The murine PDAC cell lines DT4313, RC416, FC1242 and FC1245 were characterized and cultured according to Agostini et al.  $[31, 32]$  $[31, 32]$  $[31, 32]$ . Briefly, cancer cells were isolated from explants derived from KPC (LSL-KrasG12D/+; LSL-Trp53R172H/+; PDX1-CRE) and KC (LSL-KrasG12D/+; PDX1-CRE) mouse models. Both models utilize PDX1,

a pancreatic-specifc transcription factor that regulates multiple pancreatic cell lineages, including ducts, acini, and endocrine cells. The expression of PDX1 promotes the oncogenic mutations across diferent pancreatic cell types, resulting in a tumor with marked heterogeneity. This diversity within the tumor reflects the complex cellular composition of human PDAC, contributing to tumor aggressiveness and therapeutic resistance. The explants were initially cultured under two-dimensional (2D) conditions, and following a limited number of passages (maximum of fve), distinct three-dimensional (3D) spheroids were subsequently generated. These 3D spheroids were directly derived from the initial 2D cultures, with cells frst maintained in 2D before being transitioned to conditions that facilitate the development of 3D structures. The resulting 3D spheroids included the following lines: 13KC (DT4313), KPC416 (RC416), KPC06  $(FC1242)$ , and  $KPC12$   $(FC1245)$ . The names in parentheses correspond to the specifc cell lines established from the explants, which were then utilized to generate the respective 3D spheroids. Briefy, 2D cell lines were detached using trypsinization and suspended in 50 μl of Cultrex UltiMatrix Reduced Growth Factor Basement Membrane Extract (R&D systems Cat# BME001-10) at a density of 100,000 cells per dome. The spheroids were then grown in PancreaCult<sup>™</sup> Organoid Growth Mouse Medium (STEMCELL Technologies, Cat#06040) and inspected daily. Fresh medium was added three times per week, and the spheroids were expanded only after complex structures had been established (10 to 14 days).

#### **RNA isolation and quantitative RT‑PCR assay**

Total RNA was extracted using the mirVana miRNA Isolation Kit (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Cat#AM1560-4), and cDNA was synthesized using the High-Capacity cDNA Reverse Transcription Kit (Thermo Fisher Scientifc, Cat#4,368,814) according to the manufacturer's instructions. Real-time quantitative PCR (qPCR) was performed on a QuantStudio3 system (Thermo Fisher Scientifc, Cat#A28567) with specifc primers and the Fast  $SYBR^M$  Green Master Mix (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Cat#4,385,610). Gene expression was calculated using the  $2^{-\Delta\Delta CT}$  method and normalized to β-actin expression.

The primers used were obtained using Primer-BLAST (RRID:SCR\_003095) based on NCBI Reference Sequence: NM\_010233.2:

EDB\_Isoform\_FW (5′-TTGTCCCAGAGGTGCCCC  $AG-3$ <sup>'</sup>);

EDB\_Isoform\_REV (5′-TCCCTTCTCCTGCCGCAA  $CT-3$ <sup>'</sup>);

EDB\_Exclusion\_FW (5′-TCCTGGCCTGGAGTACAA  $CGT-3$ <sup>'</sup>);

- EDB\_Exclusion\_REV (5′-CGTGGGAGGAGGGAC AGCTG-3′);
- EDA\_Isoform\_FW (5′-AGGCCGGGGTCTGAGTAC  $AC-3$ <sup>'</sup>);
- EDA\_Isoform\_REV (5′-TGGGCGCAGGAATGGCTG  $-3$ <sup>'</sup>);
- EDA\_Exclusion\_FW (5′-CAGTGACCCCATTCCTGC  $GC-3$ <sup>'</sup>);
- EDA\_Exclusion\_REV (5′-TGGTCCTGTCTTCTC TTTCGGGT-3′).

The EDB Exclusion primers were designed spanning the junctions of exon 25 (included in EDB-FN1). Similarly, EDA\_Exclusion primers were designed to span the junctions of exon 33 (which is included in EDA-FN1). The inclusion ratio was determined by dividing EDB-FN1 reads by the total reads of all fbronectin isoforms.

# **Immunohistochemistry (IHC) and Immunofuorescence analyses (IF)**

For IHC and IF analysis a Formalin-fxed parafnembedded (FFPE) preparation of the spheroids was obtained performing a protocol described by Ago-stini et al. [\[31](#page-17-22)]. Whole 5  $\mu$ m sections of the FFPE were dewaxed and rehydrated. Citrate Plus (10X) HIER Solution (ScyTek Laboratories, Cat#CPL500) was used for antigen retrieval, and 0.1% IGEPAL in PBS was used for permeabilization. The sections obtained were subjected to IHC and IF staining. The following antibodies were used for IHC staining with established procedures: Fibronectin (Abcam, Cat#ab268020, RRID:AB\_2941028). For IF analysis on 3D cultures the following antibodies with established procedures were assessed: L19 (1:250). Images were acquired with EVOS FL Auto 2 Cell Imaging System (ThermoFisher Scientific, Cat#AMAFD2000). 20X GFP fuorescence raw images were quantifed with QuPath (RRID:SCR\_018257).

We performed IF analysis on tumor tissues excised from mice orthotopically injected with KPC06 and KPC12 following the procedures described before. The following antibodies were used for IF staining with established procedures: CD8 (Abcam, Cat#ab217344, RRID:AB\_2890649), Perforin 1 (PRF1) (Abcam, Cat#ab16074, RRID:AB\_302236) and Granzyme B (GRZB) (Cell Signaling Technology, Cat#17,215, RRID:AB\_2798780). Images were acquired with EVOS FL Auto 2 Cell Imaging System (Thermo Fisher Scientifc, Cat#AMAFD2000) and processed with QuPath (RRID:SCR\_018257) for cell segmentation and positive cell count.

IF analysis on freshly frozen sections of tumor tissues derived from patients and mouse models for EDB-FN1 expression and IF-based biodistribution analysis

on KPC06 mouse model were performed as previously described [[33\]](#page-17-24).

# **Ex‑vivo immunity‑spheroid interaction platforms** *Mouse telomerase reverse transcriptase (TERT) specifc T‑lymphocytes establishment and culture*

Polyclonal mTERT<sub>198–205</sub>-specific CTLs were expanded from C57BL/6J vaccinated-splenocytes by mixed-leukocyte peptide culture in the presence of 0.1 μM of mTERT198-205 peptide (VGRNFTNL) (JPT, Peptide Technologies) according to De Sanctis et al. [\[34](#page-17-25)]. Cells were kept in weekly expansion by co-culture of CTLs with irradiated, syngeneic splenocytes pulsed with 0.1 μM TERT peptide in complete medium containing 20 IU/ml of recombinant human IL-2 (Miltenyi Biotec, Cat#130-097-743). OVA<sub>257-264</sub>-specific CTLs derived from OT-1 splenocytes were stimulated once with  $1 \mu$ M specifc OVA peptide (SIINFEKL) (JPT, Peptide Technologies) in complete medium containing 20 IU/ml of recombinant human IL-2 (Miltenyi Biotec, Cat#130– 097–748)) and were used as control (CTR).

#### *Spheroids/T‑lymphocytes interaction platform establishment*

Murine 3D cultures were plated in the Xeno-free matrix Vitrogel ORGANOID-3 (The WellBioScience, Cat#VHM03) at a concentration of 500 spheroids/plate in 48well plate, according to manufacturer's instructions. The spheroids were treated with L19-IL2 at a final concentration of  $C=50 \mu g/ml$  for 2 h at 37 °C. Activated T-lymphocytes were labeled with 500 nM CellTracker<sup>™</sup> Red CMPTX Dye (Invitrogen, Cat#C34552) according to manufacturer's instruction, and then added to 3D cultures (Efector:target ratio 500:1). Apoptosis was detected using CellEvent<sup>™</sup> Caspase-3/7 Green ReadyProbes<sup>™</sup> Reagent (Invitrogen, Cat#R37111) and fuorescence images were acquired using the EVOS FL Auto 2 Cell Imaging System (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Cat#AMAFD2000) for 48 h post-interaction. 20X GFP fuorescence raw images were processed using QuPath(RRID:SCR\_018257) for cell segmentation and positive cell count.

#### **In vivo experiments**

For the generation of orthotopic syngeneic models we used C57BL/6J mice (RRID:IMSR\_JAX:0006649) following the procedure described by Agostini et al. [\[31](#page-17-22)]. Mice were euthanized at the indicated time points. After 7 days following transplantation, tumor-bearing mice were subjected to high-contrast ultrasound imaging using the Vevo 3100 LT Imaging System (VisualSonics) and randomly assigned to the experimental group in order to correct the dimension bias. Treatment was initiated when tumor volume reached  $\sim$  50mm<sup>3</sup>, which represents the inclusion criterion cut-off together with the compliance with human endpoint according to ethical animal guidelines.

All the animal models used for the experiments met the inclusion criterion with no loss of data point. In order to characterize diferent immunocytokines sensitivity, KPC06 mice were randomly assigned by Graph-Pad random number generator [\(https://www.graphpad.](https://www.graphpad.com/quickcalcs/randomize1/) [com/quickcalcs/randomize1/](https://www.graphpad.com/quickcalcs/randomize1/)) to receive once a week for 2 weeks: vehicle (CTR), L19-IL2 (100 µg/mouse), L19mIL12 (12 µg/mouse), mIL2-F8-mTNF(mut) (40 µg/mouse), L19mTNF (4 µg/mouse), standard chemotherapy with gemcitabine 10 mg/kg+abraxane 3 mg/kg (Gem/Abx).

Upon selecting the appropriate dose for each PDAC model, a low dose for KPC06 (30 μg/mouse) and a high dose for KPC12 (100 µg/mouse), mice were treated according to each group (*n*=8 mice each group): control group (Vehicle), standard therapy (FOLFOX i.p., once a week for 2 weeks), L19-IL2 (30/100 µg/mouse i.v., once a week for 2 weeks) and combination group (Co-delivery of L19-IL12 and FOLFOX subsequentially, once a week for 2 weeks). After 2 weeks of treatment, three mice per group were euthanized and biological materials collected for downstream analysis (RNA-seq, ST analysis, IF analysis). No signifcant body weight diferences were detected on treatments. The primary outcome was survival duration. Tumor size was measured with Vevo 3100 LT Imaging System (VisualSonics) ultrasound device weekly in blind for group allocation and animals were sacrifced when tumor volume reached the prefixed cut-off volume. Weighting, measuring and treatment order were randomized each experimental session, with each animal tested at a diferent time each test day to minimize confounding factors. Same mice were housed together in individually ventilated cages with two or four mice per cage. All mice were maintained on a regular diurnal lighting cycle with ad libitum access to food and water. Environmental enrichment included nesting material. In vivo experiments were designed according to ARRIVE reporting guidelines [[35\]](#page-17-26).

#### **RNA‑seq**

Excised KPC06 tumor bulks (*n*=3 mice for each condition) were collected after 2 weeks of treatments and stored in RNAlater Stabilization Solution (Thermo Fisher Scientifc, Cat#AM7021). RNA was extracted with miRNeasy Micro Kit (Qiagen, Cat#217,084) to perform transcriptome sequencing (3'mRNA-Seq) with Quant-Seq 3'mRNA-Seq V2 Library Prep Kit REV (Lexogen, Cat#225.24). Fastq fles were processed and aligned with QuantSeq pipeline, and transcripts counts were imported in R with DESeq2 (RRID:SCR\_015687) [\[36\]](#page-17-27) to perform Diferential Expression Analysis (DEA). Gene

Set Enrichment Analysis (GSEA) was performed with the R package clusteRprofler (RRID:SCR\_016884) to get insight into the biological processes modulated by the diferent treatments. EDB-FN1 expression was calculated from total RNA-seq data using the bash command grep as described in Panagopoulos et al.  $[37]$  $[37]$  The reads spanning junctions of exon 25 (included in EDB-FN1) and the reads supporting the exclusion (junctions of exon 24–26) were identifed. Inclusion ratio was calculated by dividing inclusion reads by the exclusion reads after normalization by the total reads covering Fn1 gene (RefSeq GeneID: 14,268).

#### **Spatial transcriptomics**

Excised KPC06 tumors were collected one week after L19-IL2 therapy discontinuation and included in FFPE after fxation in 4% paraformaldehyde (PFA) for 14 h. Serial sections for each sample (*n*=3 for each condition) were obtained to identify the region of interest with both tumor and TME representation. Four samples  $(n=1$  for each condition) were chosen to build a tissue macro array (TMA) in FFPE for ST analysis with Stereo-Seq OMNI (STOmics). TMA 5 μm section was positioned on Stereo-Seq Chip T (1cmx1cm) and sequencing library was prepared following the protocol at STOmics Riga laboratory. Fastq fles were processed with Stereo-Seq Analysis Workfow (SAW) (RRID:SCR\_025001) for alignment and barcode positioning. Processed fles (gef ) were analyzed with Stereopy v 1.3.1 to perform clustering and spot annotation. Briefy, 100 bin was chosen as optimal parameter for data analysis, low counts areas (necrotic area on L19-IL2 sample) were excluded from the analysis using the Stereopy cut function. Imported data counts were preprocessed with gaussian smoothing [[38](#page-17-29)] and clustering was performed using Phenograph v 1.5.2 algorithm (RRID:SCR\_016919). Cluster annotation was performed with SingleR (RRID:SCR\_023120) using Azimuth mouse references.

#### **Statistical analysis**

All results, when applicable, were expressed as the means±Standard Deviation (SD). All statistical analyses and Kaplan–Meier curves were performed using Graph-Pad Prism (RRID:SCR\_002798). *P*-values less than 0.05 were considered statistically signifcant. In particular, *P*-value<0.05 was indicated in fgures with one asterisk (\*),  $P$ -value < 0.01 with two asterisks (\*\*),  $P$ -value < 0.001 with three asterisks (\*\*\*) and *P*-value <0.0001 with four asterisks (\*\*\*\*). A Student's t-test or One-Way ANOVA test were applied to calculate the statistical signifcance between multiple group comparisons. Diferences in survival duration were determined using Log-rank (Mantel-Cox) test using GraphPad Prism (RRID:SCR\_002798). Mice sample size estimation was calculated by power analyses (G-power software) (RRID:SCR\_013726) and based on our previous papers.

#### **Results**

# **Analysis of EDB‑FN1 expression in spheroids models of pancreatic cancer**

Initially, we validated the chemical features of the drug. Supplementary Fig. 1a shows the molecular structure of L19-IL2, a clinical-stage immunocytokine [\[39](#page-17-30)]. The non-covalent homodimer runs as a monomer in SDS-Page (Supplementary Fig. 1b) with a molecular size of  $\sim$  42 kDa, while the SEC profile (Supplementary Fig. 1c) shows the dimeric product  $({\sim}84 \text{ kDa})$ . We confrmed the biological activity of L19-IL2 through a proliferation assay on CTLL2 cells (Supplementary Fig. 1d). The fusion protein exhibited IL-2 activity that closely matched the previously reported results for this product  $[30, 40, 41]$  $[30, 40, 41]$  $[30, 40, 41]$  $[30, 40, 41]$  $[30, 40, 41]$  $[30, 40, 41]$  $[30, 40, 41]$ . The 3D cultures better recapitulate the complexity of the TME and the interactions between cancer cells and stromal components [\[42\]](#page-17-33). First of all, we conducted IHC analysis to assess the expression of the stromal component, specifcally of fbronectin, in spheroid models (13KC, KPC416, KPC06 and KPC12) (Fig. [1a](#page-4-0)). Afterwards, we evaluated the expression of EDB-FN1 using RT-PCR analysis (Fig. [1b](#page-4-0)) and determined the ratio of exclusion and inclusion of the alternative splicing isoform relative to the expression of total fbronectin (Fig.  $1c$ ). The results demonstrated that our models not only express EDB-FN1, but also exhibit an elevated isoform expression ratio compared to total fbronectin. Additionally, we performed IF analysis to assess the L19

(See figure on next page.)

<span id="page-4-0"></span>**Fig.1** Characterization of the expression of EDB-FN1 in mouse pancreatic cancer models. **a** Histochemical analysis of Fibronectin expression in diferent 3D models of PDAC. 10X images of Hematoxylin/Eosin, 40X images of Fibronectin. Images shown are representative of 1 out of more than 10 felds acquired. **b** Real-time analysis of EDB-FN1 in spheroid models of PDAC. **c** Analysis of inclusion splice junction (pink bars) and exclusion splice junction (light blue bars) reads of EDB-FN1. **d** IF analysis on spheroids confrmed L19 was able to recognize EDB-FN1. Protein analyzed (in red) and nuclei (in blue) were reported. Images shown are representative of 1 out of more than 10 felds acquired. Bar plot showing the fold increase in EDB-FN1 fluorescence calculated as the ratio between the mean of CTCF quantified in each group and the mean of CTR. *P*-value < 0.05 was indicated in fgures with one asterisk (\*), *P*-value<0.01 with two asterisks (\*\*), *P*-value<0.001 with three asterisks (\*\*\*) and *P*-value<0.0001 with four asterisks (\*\*\*\*)

1340 Reco Recite

 $\mathbf 0$ 





Merged

ability to specifcally recognize EDB-FN1 expressed in our spheroids (Fig. [1](#page-4-0)d). Among the available models, we selected KPC06 (Low immunogenic) and KPC12 (Non immunogenic) cancer cells, which closely mimic human pancreatic tumors. Indeed, these models do not elicit a robust immune response and, as previously shown, are resistant to immunotherapy [[32,](#page-17-23) [43](#page-17-34)]. These analyses collectively confrm that immunosuppressive KPC06 and KPC12 express EDB-FN1 and are suitable models for testing the L19-targeted antibody.

# **Establishment of an ex‑vivo immunity‑spheroid interaction platform**

To investigate L19-IL2 efects in a complex system that recapitulates the main tumor and immune cell components, we developed an immune-spheroid interaction platform with KPC06 and KPC12 and tumor-antigen cytotoxic T-lymphocytes (CTLs), as described in Agostini et al. [[31](#page-17-22)]. Briefy, PDAC expresses several tumor-associated antigens (TAAs), and among them, TERT has been extensively studied for immunotherapy [\[40,](#page-17-31) [41\]](#page-17-32). In line with these premises, we used mouse TERT specifc T-lymphocytes [[42](#page-17-33)] cultured as described by De Sanctis et al. [\[43](#page-17-34)]. To evaluate the efect of L19-IL2 on the activity of T-lymphocytes in the recognition of cancer cells we treated KPC06 and KPC12 with L19-IL2 for 2 h. After the treatment, TERT specifc T-lymphocytes [[34](#page-17-25)] labelled with CellTracker Red CMPTX Dye were added to the platform. The spheroids were previously labeled with CellEvent Caspase-3/7 Green ReadyProbes and analyzed by time-lapse live microscopy to measure apoptosis induction.

As expected, T-lymphocytes were able to recognize and engage with the cancer cells, triggering a signifcant increase in apoptotic cell death. However, treatment with L19-IL2 resulted in a marked enhancement of the cytotoxic activity of T-lymphocytes. This augmented killing capacity led to a pronounced increase in apoptosis specifically in the KPC06 and KPC12 tumor cell lines (Fig. [2a](#page-6-0)).

The impact of L19-IL2 on T-lymphocytes recruitment was found to be consistent across the two 3D models assessed, KPC06 (Low immunogenic model) and KPC12 (Non immunogenic model) (Fig. [2b](#page-6-0)).

Overall, these fndings suggest that L19-IL2 can increase T-lymphocytes infltration and antitumor activity in 3D pancreatic tumor models.

# **The L19‑targeted antibody specifcally hits EDB‑FN1 of mouse and human cancer tissues**

We assessed the expression of EDB-FN1 in tumor tissues derived from our PDAC models by both RNA-seq (Fig. [3a](#page-8-0)) and IF (Fig. [3](#page-8-0)b) using the L19 antibody, while the KSF antibody (specifc to hen-egg lysozyme) was used as negative control. Moreover, we assessed the EDB-FN1 expression pattern also in PDAC patient samples (Supplementary Fig. [2\)](#page-6-0).

We performed an IF-based biodistribution analysis in mice bearing KPC06 tumors. L19-IL2 showed a preferential accumulation in tumors 24 h after intravenous administration. No uptake could be detected in healthy organs or in animals injected with saline solution  $(Fig. 3c)$  $(Fig. 3c)$  $(Fig. 3c)$ .

These results suggest that L19-IL2 has the potential to be an efective targeted treatment for pancreatic tumors, with high selectivity for tumor cells over normal tissues.

# **In vivo characterization of immunocytokines sensitivity in syngeneic orthotopic mouse PDAC models**

To test the efectiveness of diferent immunocytokines in pancreatic tumor models, C57BL/6J mice (RRID:IMSR\_ JAX:000664) were orthotopically injected with KPC06 and randomly assigned to receive once a week for 2 weeks: vehicle, as CTR, L19-IL2 high dose (100 µg/ mouse), L19mIL12 (12 µg/mouse), mIL2-F8-mTNF(mut) (40 µg/mouse), L19mTNF (4 µg/mouse), standard chemotherapy with gemcitabine 10 mg/kg+abraxane 3 mg/kg (Gem/Abx) (Supplementary Fig. 3).

As expected, standard chemotherapy did not prove efective in reducing tumor volume when compared to the CTR group. As for the diferent immunocytokines, except for L19mTNF which failed to lead to positive results, the others (L19-IL2, L19mIL12, and mIL2-F8 mTNF(mut)) demonstrated almost complete tumor elimination (Supplementary Fig. 3a).

Additionally, the impact of these immunocytokines on the median survival rate was evaluated (Supplementary

(See fgure on next page.)

<span id="page-6-0"></span>**Fig. 2** Evaluation of the L19-IL2 efect on *ex-vivo* interaction platforms. **a** Immunity-spheroid interaction platforms with TERT specifc T-lymphocytes and KPC06 and KPC12 treated with L19-IL2. The induction of apoptosis was evaluated using the CellEvent Caspase-3/7 Detection Reagent (green), while T-lymphocytes were stained with the vital staining CellTracker Red CMPTX Dye (Red). The platforms were monitored daily, and fuorescence images were acquired using the EVOS FL Auto 2 Cell Imaging System over a 48 h period. Images shown are representative of 1 out of more than 10 felds acquired. **b** Bar plot showing the fold increase in Caspase 3/7 activity in comparison to CTR. The fold increase is calculated as the ratio between the mean of CTCF quantified in each group and the mean of CTR. *P*-value < 0.05 was indicated in figures with one asterisk (\*), *P*-value<0.01 with two asterisks (\*\*), *P*-value<0.001 with three asterisks (\*\*\*) and *P*-value<0.0001 with four asterisks (\*\*\*\*)



CellEvent Caspase-3/7 Detection Reagents

CellTracker Red CMTPX Dye







**KPC12** 



EDB-FN1 CD31 Nuclei



<span id="page-8-0"></span>**Fig. 3** The L19-targeted antibody specifcally hits EDB-FN1 of mouse cancer tissues. **a** Graphic representation of inclusion splice junction (green bars) and exclusion splice junction (blue bars) reads of EDB-FN1 from RNA-seq raw data in PDAC model tissues. **b** L19-targeted antibody in IgG1 format specifcally target EDB-FN1 (Green) in vivo mice tumor tissues, while KSF antibody (specifc for hen egg lysozyme, an irrelevant antigen) was used as negative CTR. **c** IF-based biodistribution analysis in orthotopic KPC06 mice. EDB-FN1 shown in green, saline was used as negative CTR

Fig. 3b). We observed that standard therapy (Gem/Abx) and L19mTNF failed to prolong mice median survival rate. On the contrary, L19-IL2, L19mIL12, and mIL2-F8 mTNF(mut) were able to cure all tumor-bearing mice. They were ultimately sacrificed when tumor volume reached the cut-of. To assess the possible adverse efects of the diferent immunocytokines, we measured changes in body weight, thus fnding no substantial weight loss (Supplementary Fig. 3c). In summary we tested various immunocytokines in pancreatic tumor models, fnding that, unlike standard chemotherapy, L19-IL2, L19mIL12, and mIL2-F8-mTNF(mut) efectively eliminated tumors and extended survival, while L19mTNF and standard therapy did not improve outcomes.

# **Dose‑dependent reduction of tumor volume in syngeneic orthotopic mouse PDAC models following L19‑IL2 treatment**

Based on the previous results, we chose to focus on L19-IL2 for several reasons. PDAC are notoriously resistant to standard therapies and often display poor T-lymphocyte activation and limited recruitment of natural killer (NK) cells. Therefore, the ability to selectively target EDB-FN1 within the TME and locally increase IL-2 concentrations—thereby enhancing the activation and proliferation of efector cells—could be a key strategy for efective tumor eradication. The importance to selectively deliver IL-2 to the site of disease using the L19 antibody has been previously demonstrated in multiple murine tumor models [[39](#page-17-30), [44–](#page-17-35) [46](#page-18-0)]. Moreover, based on the promising results obtained with the high dose of L19-IL2 (100 µg/mouse) in KPC06 mice, we decided to test a lower dose (30 µg/mouse) to evaluate its impact on tumor volume reduction. Our fndings revealed that L19-IL2 low-dose resulted in a smaller, yet still signifcant reduction in tumor volume growth when compared to L19-IL2 high-dose (Supplementary Fig. 4).

# **L19‑IL2 potentiates the activity of FOLFOX in syngeneic orthotopic mouse PDAC models**

To evaluate the efects of L19-IL2 in combination with standard therapy (FOLFOX) on tumor volume and survival rates of PDAC models with diferent immunogenic potential, C57BL/6J mice (RRID:IMSR\_JAX:0006649) were orthotopically injected with KPC06 (Low immunogenic model) and KPC12 (Non immunogenic model). In order to assess the effects of the combination, different dosage levels of the immunocytokine were selected: L19-IL2 low dose (30 µg/mouse) for KPC06 and L19-IL2 high dose (100 µg/mouse) for KPC12.

We selected a low dose for KPC06 based on the results from the dose–response experiment, while a high dose was chosen for KPC12 due to its characteristics as a non-immunogenic and more aggressive model with lower EDB-FN1 expression.

The mice were randomly divided into 4 groups  $(n=8)$ mice in each group). The groups were treated with standard therapy (FOLFOX i.p., once a week for two weeks), or vehicle, as CTR, and L19-IL2 low dose for KPC06 and a high dose for KPC12 (i.v., 2 injections with 5-day interval) alone or in combination with FOLFOX. Treatments started when tumor volume reached  $\sim$  50mm<sup>3</sup>. To evaluate the potential side efects of the treatment, body weight loss was assessed in both low and non immunogenic models (Fig. [4](#page-10-0)c and Supplementary Fig. 5c). No signifcant weight loss occurred in any treatment group, thus indicating the safety of the therapy.

L19-IL2 as a single agent showed a reduction in tumor growth that was comparable to that obtained with FOL-FOX treatment. Additionally, when combined with FOL-FOX, L19-IL2 led to a statistically signifcant decrease in tumor growth compared to the other treatments administered alone (Fig. [4](#page-10-0)a). The effect of L19-IL2, FOL-FOX and combination therapy on median survival rate was also evaluated (Fig. [4](#page-10-0)b). Our fndings revealed that FOLFOX (28 days vs 29 days; Chi square=2.064;  $df=1$ ; *P*-value=0.1508) and L19-IL2 treatment (28 days vs 30 days; Chi square=3.493; df=1; *P*-value=0.0616), when used as single agents, were unable to extend mouse median survival. However, the combination treatment proved to be more efective than the individual treatments and signifcantly prolonged mouse median survival (28 days vs 33 days, Chi square=9.151; df=1; *P*-value=0.0025).

Overall, here we demonstrated that L19-IL2 alone reduced tumor growth similarly to FOLFOX, but when combined with FOLFOX, it signifcantly decreased tumor growth and extended median survival compared to either treatment alone.

The KPC12 model presented no statistically significant reduction in tumor growth in any treatment group at day 18 (Supplementary Fig. 5a). Afterwards we were unable to verify the efects on tumor volume as the mice in the control and FOLFOX groups died before the others, so measurement data were not available.

We observed that L19-IL2 resulted in a signifcant increase in survival, both as a single agent (22 days vs 30 days, Chi square=5.552;  $df=1$ ; *P*-value=0.0185) and in combination (22 days vs 39 days, Chi square =  $5.552$ ; df = 1; *P*-value=0.0185). While, as well as in the low immunogenic model, no signifcant diferences were observed compared to FOLFOX (22 days vs 19 days, Chi square=0.9724;  $df=1$ ; *P*-value = 0.3241) (Supplementary Fig. 5b).

# **L19‑IL2 increases immune infltrate into the tumor core**

Tumor bulks  $(n=3)$  from KPC06 mice treated with FOLFOX, L19-IL2, and the combination of both agents



<span id="page-10-0"></span>Fig.4 L19-IL2 treatment effects in combination with FOLFOX in KPC06 model. Plot showing tumor growth curves of KPC06 tumor-bearing mice randomly assigned to receive vehicle, as CTR, standard therapy (FOLFOX i.p., once a week for 2 weeks), and L19-IL2 (30 µg/mouse i.v., once a week for 2 weeks) alone or in combination with FOLFOX; **b** Kaplan–Meier survival analysis of KPC06 mice, grouped according to each experimental condition. **c** Variation of body weight in the diferent treatment groups

were characterized by 3'mRNA-seq to unravel the effects of L19-IL2 on pancreatic tumors (Fig. [5a](#page-11-0)). The analysis clearly showed that there was a consistent efect of L19-IL2 alone or in combination with FOLFOX on immune activation, and cytotoxic activity. A total of 117 and 101 genes were upregulated in L19-IL2 and L19-IL2+FOLFOX treated mice respectively in contrast to CTRs (Fig. [5b](#page-11-0) and c). Among these we found

a considerable over regulation of IL-2 receptors Il2Ra (CD25) and Il2Rb (CD122) and cytotoxic-related genes (Fig. [5](#page-11-0)e) highlighting the efective immune activating function of L19-IL2. On the contrary, among the 158 genes upregulated in FOLFOX mouse there was a decrease in cytotoxicity genes (Fig. [5](#page-11-0)d). In fact, a consistent increase of expression of immune response and T-lymphocyte activation signatures were found in the comparison between L19-IL2 and FOLFOX (Supplementary Fig. 6) and L19-IL2 plus FOLFOX and FOL-FOX alone (Supplementary Fig. 7).

To further validate these fndings, we analyzed selected tumor tissue regions with Stereo-Seq OMNI ST technology. With this technology, we were able to characterize the cell population heterogeneity associated with the efect of L19-IL2 and FOLFOX with a resolution of 50 μm. We identifed a total of 22 diferent clusters annotated according to the main cell type identifed using SingleR (Fig. [6a](#page-13-0) and b). We found that L19-IL2 enhances immune infltration in concomitance of FN1 expression, similarly to what we have found with bulk 3'mRNA-seq. The administration of L19-IL2 in combination with FOLFOX or as single agent had a potent efect on recruitment and activation of both CD8a<sup>+</sup> T-lymphocytes and NK into the tumor front (Fig. [6](#page-13-0)c and d; Supplementary Fig. 8b-d), while those cells where not present in both CTR and FOLFOX treated tumors.

The presence of such cells localized with the high expression levels of both Il2Ra and Il2Rb (Supplementary Fig. 8a), two IL-2 receptors that orchestrate activation of both T-lymphocytes and NK, entailing the attractant and activating role of L19-IL2 role in tumors [[39](#page-17-30), [44](#page-17-35), [45\]](#page-17-36). Moreover, ST showed how that L19-IL12 induced a consistent increase of Antigen-presenting cells (APCs) expressing MHC-II genes (Cd74, H2-Eb1, H2-Ab1), MHC-I genes (H2-D1, H2-K1) and co-stimulatory CD80 and CD86 potentiating T-lymphocytes activation signaling (Fig. [6](#page-13-0)c and d, Supplementary Fig. 8e).

By ST we demonstrated that KPC06 tumor bearing mice treated with L19-IL2 alone, or especially when combined with FOLFOX, signifcantly enhanced immune activation and cytotoxicity, evidenced by upregulation of key immune-related genes and increased infltration of CD8a<sup>+</sup> T-lymphocytes and NK cells into the tumors, while FOLFOX alone mainly boosted cytotoxicity genes. To validate these fndings, we performed IF analysis on FFPE tumor samples of KPC06 (Fig. [7\)](#page-14-0) and KPC12 models (Supplementary Fig. 9). Specifcally, we aimed to verify the expression of  $CD8^+$  TILs,  $GRZB^+$  cytotoxic effector cells, and  $PRF1<sup>+</sup>$  cytotoxic effector cells across the diferent treatment groups confrming the major efect on T-lymphocyte activation of L19-IL2 plus FOL-FOX therapy.

We demonstrated that L19-IL2 affects tumor growth and immune cell infltration in KPC06 tumors using RNA-seq, ST, and IF. L19-IL2 signifcantly increased immune cell infiltration, particularly CD8<sup>+</sup> T-lymphocytes and cytotoxic cells, and enhanced the efficacy of FOLFOX. The combination treatment showed the greatest increase in immune cells, while L19-IL2 alone had a smaller efect in the less immunogenic KPC12 model.

# **Discussion**

PDAC is highly lethal, with a five-year survival rate remaining very low despite medical advances [\[47\]](#page-18-1). One of the major challenges in treating PDAC is its strong resistance to chemotherapy, limiting the efficacy of conventional treatments [\[48](#page-18-2)[–50\]](#page-18-3). Innovative targeted therapies and immunotherapies have also shown limited success, primarily due to the immunosuppressive microenvironment of PDAC [[50,](#page-18-3) [51](#page-18-4)]. PDAC is characterized by a strong desmoplastic environment with a highly fbrotic stroma that expresses EDB-FN1, recognised by the L19 antibody. This makes the tumor suitable for L19-based therapies [[44](#page-17-35)].

In our study, we initially screened the anti-tumor activity of L19-IL2, L19mIL12, and L19mTNF as single agents  $[52-54]$  $[52-54]$ . The first two products were effective in eradicating KPC06 tumors, while the latter was not. Interestingly, a product combining Tumor Necrosis Factor-alpha (TNF $\alpha$ ) and IL-2 in one entity (mIL2-F8-mTNF(mut)) showed significant efficacy.

IL-2, IL-12, and TNFα are potent pro-infammatory cytokines that have been identifed as promising anticancer biopharmaceuticals  $[52, 53]$  $[52, 53]$  $[52, 53]$  $[52, 53]$ . However, the efficacy of these products is often limited by their inability to preferentially localize at the disease site. The antibody-based

<sup>(</sup>See fgure on next page.)

<span id="page-11-0"></span>**Fig. 5** Diferential expression analysis on KPC06 treated mice show immune response activation. **a** Plot showing Principal Component Analysis on RNA-seq data. **b** Volcano plot showing the genes differentially expressed (log2 Fold Change ≦ -1.5 ≧ 1.5, FDR < 0.05) in the comparison between L19-IL2 treated mice and control (CTR). **c** Volcano plot showing the genes diferentially expressed (log2 Fold Change ≦ −1.5 ≧ 1.5, FDR<0.05) between mice treated with a combination of L19-IL2 and FOLFOX and CTR. **d** Volcano plot showing the genes diferentially expressed (log2 Fold Change ≦ −1.5 ≧ 1.5, FDR<0.05) between FOLFOX treated mice and CTR. **e** Bar plot showing log2 Fold Change value for T-lymphocyte activation genes resulting from DEA between the diferent treatment groups



**Fig. 5** (See legend on previous page.)



<span id="page-13-0"></span>**Fig. 6** Stereo-Seq OMNI spatial transcriptomics analysis. **a**,**b** Spatial clustering of Stereo-seq OMNI data on the four cores analyzed. **c** Spatially resolved clusters of L19-IL2+FOLFOX sample and heatmap showing an enhanced infammation in the tumor core with a major representation of Cd8a+ Activated T-lymphocytes, Cd74+ H2-D1+ APCs and Ikzf3+ NK. **d** Spatially resolved clusters of L19-IL2 sample and heatmap showing an infamed tumor core



<span id="page-14-0"></span>Fig. 7 Immunofluorescence analysis on KPC06 models. IF analysis for CD8.<sup>+</sup> TILs, GRZB and PRF1 in KPC06 tumor tissues. Protein analyzed (in green) and nuclei (in blue) are reported. Images shown are representative of 1 out of more than 10 felds acquired. Bar plots show percentage of positive cells grouped by treatments. *P*-value<0.05 was indicated in fgures with one asterisk (\*), *P*-value<0.01 with two asterisks (\*\*), *P*-value<0.001 with three asterisks (\*\*\*) and *P*-value < 0.0001 with four asterisks (\*\*\*\*)

delivery of such pro-infammatory cytokines has emerged as a promising strategy to enhance the therapeutic index of these drugs.

L19-IL12 is currently being investigated in a Phase 1 clinical trial [NCT04471987], while mIL2-F8-mTNF(mut) is not yet available as clinical grade reagent. By contrast, L19-IL2 has been investigated in more than 300 cancer patients across multiple Phase I, II and III clinical trials [NCT01058538; NCT03705403; NCT03567889; NCT02938299; NCT05329792, NCT04362722]. Based on these considerations and on the potent anti-tumor activity observed in our initial in vivo screening, we decided to focus our work on L19-IL2 [[54](#page-18-6)[–57](#page-18-8)]. It is also important to note that IL-2 has emerged as a positive prognostic marker in PDAC, with evidence showing its role in amplifying the immune response and in improving patient survival [\[54\]](#page-18-6). IL-2 treatment may promote cell-based immunity against PDAC, not only by stimulating tumor-specifc T-lymphocytes but also by enhancing dendritic cell infltration [[58–](#page-18-9)[60](#page-18-10)].

Several studies have investigated the activity, as well as the mechanism of action, of IL-2 in PDAC [\[56](#page-18-11), [57](#page-18-8)].

Piper et al. reported that an immunocytokine targeting Programmed Cell Death Protein-1 and fused to a variant of IL-2 (PD1-IL2v), in combination with radiotherapy (RT), improved survival rates in PDAC mouse models [[61\]](#page-18-12). Our group has also reported promising antitumor activity of L19-IL2, compared to standard therapy, in xenograft orthotopic mouse models of PDAC. However, such preclinical study had to be conducted in immunocompromised mice devoid of T-lymphocytes [[44\]](#page-17-35). We considered also alternative approach such as the use of PDX models reconstituted with a human immune reconstituted (HIR) system (HIR-PDX), which would allow for a more accurate representation of the human immune response. However, we anticipated challenges in obtaining a sufficient number of  $CD34 +$ cells from pancreatic cancer patients, which made it difficult to generate enough murine avatar models for all treatment groups.

Given these limitations, we have studied the efect of L19-IL2 in two syngeneic immunocompetent and orthotopic PDAC models, as the presence of T-lymphocytes is very important to properly assess the activity of immunostimulatory agents. These models are better suited to

investigate the immune-modulating efects of L19-IL2 within a fully operational immune response, aligning with the central objective of our study: to enhance immune infltration and activation within the tumor microenvironment of PDAC.

In this study, we have reported that L19-IL2 can selectively localize to and difuse into an orthotopic PDAC lesions. This is an important achievement as pancreatic tumor cells are notoriously difficult to target, given the dense stromal component, poor vascularization, and high interstitial fuid pressures that such tumors present [[58\]](#page-18-9). Moreover, L19-IL2 exhibited a clear dosedependent anti-cancer activity. At 100 µg, L19-IL2 cured all tumor-bearing mice as single agent, while at 30 µg it only delayed tumor growth. The activity of the low dose could be however enhanced with standard chemotherapy (FOLFOX), which further reduced tumor growth and improved survival in PDAC models with low immunogenicity. Treatment with L19-IL2 led to a substantial infux of immune cells expressing activation markers such as granzymes, perforins, IL2Rb (CD122), and IL2Ra (CD25), suggesting a remodeling of a "cold" TME into a "hot" one. Notably, overexpression of GRZB and PRF1 markers induced by L19-IL2 was confrmed via multiple experimental settings, such as IF, RNA-seq, and ST.

In PDAC patients, one clinical trial evaluated L19-IL2 at 22.5 million international units (mIU) in combination with gemcitabine at  $1000 \text{ mg/m}^2$  but did not produce objective responses [NCT01198522]. In keeping with the results observed in this work, higher doses of L19-IL2 may be required to achieve meaningful clinical responses. Gemcitabine is now rarely used as single agent for treating PDAC patients, having been replaced by combination regimens (e.g., FOLFOX). As evidenced by the CONKO-003 study [\[59](#page-18-13)], FOLFOX is a more potent chemotherapy regimen that showed improved survival as a second-line treatment in patients with advanced PDAC who have experienced progression while receiving a gemcitabine-based frst-line regimen.

The recommended dose of L19-IL2 in the clinic, when administered as a 2h intravenous infusion, ranges from 15 to 22.5 mIU depending on the treatment setting and on combination agents  $[60]$  $[60]$ . The addition of a 2h infusion of L19-IL2 may be conveniently coordinated to coincide with the 2h infusion of oxaliplatin and leucovorin in the FOLFOX regimen. It is also important to consider that the safety profle of IL-2 is distinct to that of chemotherapy. IL-2 is commonly associated with immune-related toxicities, such as capillary leak syndrome, whereas the primary toxicities of oxaliplatin and leucovorin are generally neurological, gastrointestinal, and hematological. Based on these considerations our group is planning new clinical investigations of L19-IL2 as a single agent at

higher doses or, at established doses, in combination with FOLFOX.

### **Conclusions**

Overall, our study demonstrates that L19-IL2 selectively binds to EDB-FN1 expressed in the TME, promoting immune infltration and activation within the tumor core and signifcantly enhancing the anti-tumor efects of second-line chemotherapy. These results highlight the importance of modifying the immunosuppressive microenvironment in PDAC to improve the efficacy of standard therapies. Therefore, our findings could pave the way for new clinical investigation of this innovative targeted therapy in combination with standard FOLFOX regimen.

#### **Abbreviations**



# **Supplementary Information**

The online version contains supplementary material available at [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1186/s13046-024-03238-x) [org/10.1186/s13046-024-03238-x.](https://doi.org/10.1186/s13046-024-03238-x)

Supplementary Material 1: Supplementary Fig. 1 Biochemical characterization of L19-IL2. a) Schematic representation of the molecular format of L19-IL2. b) SDS Page Gel of non-covalent homodimer L19-IL2. c) Size exclusion chromatography of the dimeric product. d) In vitro L19-IL2 proliferation assay on CTTL2 cells.

Supplementary Material 2: Supplementary Fig. 2 The L19-targeted antibody specifcally hits EDB-FN1 of human tumor tissues. L19-targeted antibody in IgG1 format specifcally target EDB-FN1 (Green) in human PDAC tumor tissues, while KSF antibody (specifc for hen egg lysozyme, an irrelevant antigen) was used as negative CTR.

Supplementary Material 3: Supplementary Fig. 3. In vivo characterization of immunocytokines sensitivity in syngeneic orthotopic mouse PDAC models. a) Plot showing tumor growth curves of KPC06 tumor-bearing mice randomly assigned to receive once a week for 2 weeks: vehicle, as CTR, L19-IL2 (100 µg/mouse), L19mIL12 (12 µg/mouse), mIL2-F8 mTNF(mut) (40 µg/mouse), L19mTNF (4 µg/mouse), standard chemotherapy with gemcitabine 10 mg/kg+abraxane 3 mg/kg (Gem/Abx). Means±SD were reported b) Kaplan–Meier curves showing survival of KPC06 mice divided according to each experimental condition. c) Variation of body weight in the diferent treatment groups.

Supplementary Material 4: Supplementary Fig. 4. In vivo dose-dependent reduction of tumor volume in orthotopic mouse PDAC models upon L19-IL2 treatment. Fold reduction of tumor growth after treatment with L19-IL2 immunocytokine at high and low dose (100 µg/mouse and 30 µg/mouse, once a week for two weeks) normalized vs CTR group. Syngeneic PDAC bearing mouse models were randomly assigned to receive immunocytokines once a week for two weeks. *P*-value<0.05 was indicated in fgures with one asterisk (\*), *P*-value<0.01 with two asterisks (\*\*), *P*-value<0.001 with three asterisks (\*\*\*) and *P*-value<0.0001 with four asterisks (\*\*\*\*).

Supplementary Material 5: Supplementary Fig. 5. L19-IL2 treatment efects in combination with FOLFOX in KPC12 model. a) Plot showing tumor growth curves of KPC12 tumor-bearing mice randomly assigned to receive vehicle, as CTR, standard therapy (FOLFOX i.p., once a week for 2 weeks), and L19-IL2 (30 µg/mouse i.v., once a week for 2 weeks) alone or in combination with FOLFOX. Means±SD were reported. b) Kaplan–Meier survival analysis of KPC12 mice, grouped according to each experimental condition. c) Variation of body weight in the diferent treatment groups.

Supplementary Material 6: Supplementary Fig. 6. DEA showed increased immune activation of L19-IL2 compared to FOLFOX, a) Volcano plot showing the genes diferentially expressed (log2 Fold Change ≦ -1.5 ≧ 1.5, FDR < 0.05) in the comparison between L19-IL2 treated and FOLFOX treated mice. b) Dot plot showing main activated and suppressed pathway in L19-IL2 treated mice (top 10 pathways). c) Network plot showing the consistent upregulation of genes involved in immune response (FDR < 0.05).

Supplementary Material 7: Supplementary Fig. 7. DEA showed increased immune activation of L19-IL2 and FOLFOX compared to FOLFOX as single agent. a) Volcano plot showing the genes diferentially expressed (log2 Fold Change  $\leq$  -1.5  $\geq$  1.5, FDR < 0.05) in the comparison between Combination treated and FOLFOX treated mice. b) Dot plot showing main activated and suppressed pathways in Combination treated mice (top 10 pathways). c) Network plot showing the consistent upregulation of genes involved in immune response (FDR < 0.05).

Supplementary Material 8: Supplementary Fig. 8. Additional markers of immune activation in L19-IL2 treatment groups. Spatial clustering of Stereo-seq OMNI data on the four cores analyzed showing a major representation of immune activation markers in L19-IL2 and L19-IL + FOLFOX samples; heatmaps showing an enhanced infltration of CD25+ CD122+ cells (a), NK cells (b), CD8+ T-lymphocytes (c), Activation markers (d) and APC cells (e).

Supplementary Material 9: Supplementary Fig. 9. Immunofuorescence analysis on KPC12 models. IF analysis for CD8+ TILs, GRZB and PRF1 in KPC12 tumor tissues. Protein analyzed (in green) and nuclei (in blue) are reported. Images shown are representative of 1 out of more than 10 felds acquired. Bar plot show percentage of positive cells grouped by treatments. *P*-value<0.05 was indicated in figures with one asterisk (\*), *P*-value<0.01 with two asterisks (\*\*), *P*-value<0.001 with three asterisks (\*\*\*) and *P*-value<0.0001 with four asterisks (\*\*\*\*).

#### **Acknowledgements**

The authors thank ScopeM (ETH Zürich) for using their electron microscopy facilities and GSTeP Bioinformatics facility of the IRCCS Fondazione Policlinico Gemelli.

#### **Authors' contributions**

CC, Conceived and designed the study, coordinated the research activities, and contributed to writing the manuscript; RDL, Performed the main experiments and analyzed the data, actively participating in the discussion of the results; EP, Contributed to data collection and statistical analysis; AA, Provided support for Spatial transcriptomics data and analyses and contributed to data interpretation; AC, Conducted confrmatory experiments, assisted in the preparation of fgures and tables and contributed to manuscript revision; LP, AE, and GP, Contributed to project management and manuscript revision and contributed to the discussion of results. SA, Assisted in sample collection and managed the data database. LS, Participated in the critical review of the manuscript and provided signifcant revisions and suggestions; FDS and SU, Collaborated in developing in vivo experimental design and provided resources for experiments participated in manuscript review; VC, Assisted in project design and provided critical feedback during manuscript preparation; DN and GT, Supervised the overall project, provided strategic guidance, and reviewed the manuscript for important intellectual content.

#### **Funding**

This work was supported by: AIRC IG 26330, Ministry of Health (CO 2019- 12369662), FIMP (J37G22000230001), Italian Ministry for Universities and Research (MUR) PRIN 2022 Prot. 2022P79F9N and PRIN 2022 PNRR Prot. P2022LN3KS to GT; by My First AIRC Grant (MFAG) "Luigi Bonatti e Anna Maria Bonatti Rocca" grant number 23681, by NEXTGENERATION-EU PRO-JECT ("ICSC" SPOKE8, CN00000013, CUP J33C22001180001) and by PNRR program (code PNRR-MCNT2-2023-12377229, CUP C53C23001140007) to CC; by AIRC IG 18178 and PRIN 2022 PNRR Prot. P2022LN3KS and MSCA project PRECODE, Grant No 861196 to VC; by AIRC MFAG n.29224 to GP; by Italian Ministry for Universities and Research (MUR) (MIUR, PI: De Sanctis F., CUP: B39J22001200001) to FDS; by AIRC n. 21509 and 28730 and by PNRR programs of the Italian MUR (Project "National Center for Gene Therapy and Drugs based on RNA Technology", application code CN00000041, Mission 4, Component 2 Investment 1.4, funded from the European Union – NextGenerationEU, MUR Directorial Decree No. 1035 of 17 June 2022, CUP B33C22000630001) to SU.

#### **Data availability**

3'mRNA-Seq aggregated counts fle and Stereo-Seq OMNI data are available on Zenodo. ([https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.12686433\)](https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.12686433).

#### **Declarations**

#### **Ethics approval and consent to participate**

Animal experiments were approved by animal ethics committee of Catholic University of the Sacred Heart of Rome and by Ministry of Health with approval number n°593/2019-PR. This study was approved by the ethics committee of AOUI Verona Hospital (Territorial Ethics Committee for the South-West Veneto Area) with approval number Prot. n°53732. The patients provided written consent. This study was carried out in accordance with Declaration of Helsinki.

#### **Consent for publication**

All patients provided consent for publication.

#### **Competing interests**

Dario Neri is a co-founder and shareholder of Philogen [\(www.philogen.com\)](http://www.philogen.com), a Swiss-Italian Biotech company that operates in the feld of ligand-based pharmacodelivery. Roberto De Luca, and Emanuele Puca are employees of Philochem AG, a daughter company of Philogen acting as discovery unit of the group.

#### **Author details**

<sup>1</sup> Department of Medical and Surgical Sciences, Medical Oncology, Fondazione Policlinico Universitario "Agostino Gemelli" IRCCS, Rome, Italy. <sup>2</sup> Philochem AG, Libernstrasse 3, Otelfingen 8112, Switzerland. <sup>3</sup> Bioinformatics Research Core Facility, Gemelli Science and Technology Park (GSTeP), Fondazione Policlinico Universitario "Agostino Gemelli" IRCCS, Rome, Italy. <sup>4</sup> Department of Translational Medicine, Medical Oncology , Catholic University of the Sacred Heart, Rome, Italy.<sup>5</sup> Department of Medicine, Section of Immunology, University of Verona, Verona, Italy. <sup>6</sup> Department of Diagnostics and Public Health, University of Verona, Verona, Italy. <sup>7</sup> ARC-Net Research Centre, University of Verona, Verona, Italy. <sup>8</sup> Department of Chemistry and Applied Biosciences, Swiss Federal Institute of Technology, Zurich CH-8093, Switzerland. <sup>9</sup>Philogen Spa, Piazza La Lizza 7, Siena 53100, Italy.

Received: 30 September 2024 Accepted: 24 November 2024

#### **References**

- <span id="page-17-0"></span>1. Halbrook CJ, Lyssiotis CA, di Magliano MP, Maitra A. Pancreatic cancer: advances and challenges. Cell. 2023;186(8):1729–54.
- <span id="page-17-1"></span>2. Jiang Y, Sohal DPS. Pancreatic adenocarcinoma management. JCO Oncol Pract. 2023;19(1):19–32.
- <span id="page-17-2"></span>3. Kleeff J, Korc M, Apte M, La Vecchia C, Johnson CD, Biankin AV, et al. Pancreatic cancer. Nat Rev Dis Primers. 2016;2(1):16022.
- <span id="page-17-3"></span>4. Conroy T, Desseigne F, Ychou M, Bouché O, Guimbaud R, Bécouarn Y, et al. FOLFIRINOX versus gemcitabine for metastatic pancreatic cancer. N Engl J Med. 2011;364(19):1817–25.
- <span id="page-17-4"></span>5. Von Hoff DD, Ervin T, Arena FP, Chiorean EG, Infante J, Moore M, et al. Increased survival in pancreatic cancer with nab-Paclitaxel plus Gemcitabine. N Engl J Med. 2013;369(18):1691–703.
- <span id="page-17-5"></span>6. Smith CJ, Bekaii-Saab TS, Cook KD, Eiring RA, Halfdanarson TR, Hanna M, et al. Nanoliposomal irinotecan (Nal-IRI)-based chemotherapy after irinotecan -based chemotherapy in patients with pancreas cancer. Pancreatology. 2021;21(2):379–83.
- <span id="page-17-6"></span>7. Catalano M, Conca R, Petrioli R, Ramello M, Roviello G. FOLFOX vs FOLFIRI as second-line of therapy after progression to Gemcitabine/Nab-paclitaxel in patients with metastatic pancreatic cancer. Cancer Manag Res. 2020;12:10271–8.
- <span id="page-17-7"></span>8. Taieb J, Prager GW, Melisi D, Westphalen CB, D'Esquermes N, Ferreras A, et al. First-line and second-line treatment of patients with metastatic pancreatic adenocarcinoma in routine clinical practice across Europe: a retrospective, observational chart review study. ESMO Open. 2020;5(1): e000587.
- <span id="page-17-8"></span>9. Nichetti F, Rota S, Ambrosini P, Pircher C, Gusmaroli E, Busset MD, et al. NALIRIFOX, FOLFIRINOX, and Gemcitabine with Nab-Paclitaxel as frstline chemotherapy for metastatic pancreatic cancer. JAMA Netw Open. 2024;7(1):e2350756.
- <span id="page-17-9"></span>10. Karamitopoulou E. Tumour microenvironment of pancreatic cancer: immune landscape is dictated by molecular and histopathological features. Br J Cancer. 2019;121(1):5–14.
- <span id="page-17-10"></span>11. Anderson NM, Simon MC. The tumor microenvironment. Curr Biol. 2020;30(16):R921–5.
- <span id="page-17-11"></span>12. Rosenberg SA. IL-2: the first effective immunotherapy for human cancer. J Immunol. 2014;192(12):5451–8.
- <span id="page-17-12"></span>13. Neri D. Antibody-cytokine fusions: versatile products for the modulation of anticancer immunity. Cancer Immunol Res. 2019;7(3):348–54.
- 14. Pasche N, Neri D. Immunocytokines: a novel class of potent armed antibodies. Drug Discov Today. 2012;17(11–12):583–90.
- 15. Murer P, Neri D. Antibody-cytokine fusion proteins: a novel class of biopharmaceuticals for the therapy of cancer and of chronic infammation. N Biotechnol. 2019;52:42–53.
- 16. Hutmacher C, Neri D. Antibody-cytokine fusion proteins: Biopharmaceuticals with immunomodulatory properties for cancer therapy. Adv Drug Deliv Rev. 2019;141:67–91.
- 17. Neri D, Sondel PM. Immunocytokines for cancer treatment: past, present and future. Curr Opin Immunol. 2016;40:96–102.
- 18. List T, Neri D. Immunocytokines: a review of molecules in clinical development for cancer therapy. Clin Pharmacol. 2013;5:29.
- <span id="page-17-13"></span>19. Schliemann C, Neri D. Antibody-based targeting of the tumor vasculature. Biochim Biophys Acta (BBA) - Rev Cancer. 2007;1776(2):175–92.
- <span id="page-17-14"></span>20. Viti F, Tarli L, Giovannoni L, Zardi L, Neri D. Increased binding affinity and valence of recombinant antibody fragments lead to improved targeting of tumoral angiogenesis. Cancer Res. 1999;59(2):347–52.
- 21. Brack SS, Dinkelborg LM, Neri D. Molecular targeting of angiogenesis for imaging and therapy. Eur J Nucl Med Mol Imaging. 2004;31(9):1327.
- <span id="page-17-15"></span>22. Alessi P, Ebbinghaus C, Neri D. Molecular targeting of angiogenesis. Biochim Biophys Acta (BBA) - Rev Cancer. 2004;1654(1):39–49.
- <span id="page-17-16"></span>23. Schliemann C, Wiedmer A, Pedretti M, Szczepanowski M, Klapper W, Neri D. Three clinical-stage tumor targeting antibodies reveal diferential expression of oncofetal fbronectin and tenascin-C isoforms in human lymphoma. Leuk Res. 2009;33(12):1718–22.
- 24. Schwager K, Villa A, Rösli C, Neri D, Rösli-Khabas M, Moser G. A comparative immunofuorescence analysis of three clinical-stage antibodies in head and neck cancer. Head Neck Oncol. 2011;3(1):25.
- <span id="page-17-17"></span>25. Frey K, Fiechter M, Schwager K, Belloni B, Barysch MJ, Neri D, et al. Different patterns of fbronectin and tenascin-C splice variants expression in primary and metastatic melanoma lesions. Exp Dermatol. 2011;20(8):685–8.
- <span id="page-17-18"></span>26. Pini A, Viti F, Santucci A, Carnemolla B, Zardi L, Neri P, et al. Design and use of a phage display library. J Biol Chem. 1998;273(34):21769–76.
- <span id="page-17-19"></span>27. Halin C, Niesner U, Villani ME, Zardi L, Neri D. Tumor-targeting properties of antibody–vascular endothelial growth factor fusion proteins. Int J Cancer. 2002;102(2):109–16.
- 28. Tarli L, Balza E, Viti F, Borsi L, Castellani P, Berndorff D, et al. A highaffinity human antibody that targets tumoral blood vessels. Blood. 1999;94(1):192–8.
- <span id="page-17-20"></span>29. Santimaria M, Moscatelli G, Viale GL, Giovannoni L, Neri G, Viti F, et al. Immunoscintigraphic detection of the ED-B domain of fbronectin, a marker of angiogenesis, in patients with cancer. Clin Cancer Res. 2003;9(2):571–9.
- <span id="page-17-21"></span>30. Weiss T, Puca E, Silginer M, Hemmerle T, Pazahr S, Bink A, et al. Immunocytokines are a promising immunotherapeutic approach against glioblastoma. Sci Transl Med. 2020;12(564):eabb2311.
- <span id="page-17-22"></span>31. Agostini A, Guerriero I, Piro G, Quero G, Roberto L, Esposito A, et al. Talniflumate abrogates mucin immune suppressive barrier improving efficacy of gemcitabine and nab-paclitaxel treatment in pancreatic cancer. J Transl Med. 2023;21(1):843.
- <span id="page-17-23"></span>32. Carbone C, Piro G, Agostini A, Delfno P, De Sanctis F, Nasca V, et al. Intratumoral injection of TLR9 agonist promotes an immunopermissive microenvironment transition and causes cooperative antitumor activity in combination with anti-PD1 in pancreatic cancer. J Immunother Cancer. 2021;9(9):e002876.
- <span id="page-17-24"></span>33. Nadal L, Peissert F, Elsayed A, Weiss T, Look T, Weller M, et al. Generation and *in vivo* validation of an IL-12 fusion protein based on a novel anti-human FAP monoclonal antibody. J Immunother Cancer. 2022;10(9):e005282.
- <span id="page-17-25"></span>34. De Sanctis F, Lamolinara A, Boschi F, Musiu C, Caligola S, Trovato R, et al. Interrupting the nitrosative stress fuels tumor-specifc cytotoxic T lymphocytes in pancreatic cancer. J Immunother Cancer. 2022;10(1):e003549.
- <span id="page-17-26"></span>35. Percie du Sert N, Hurst V, Ahluwalia A, Alam S, Avey MT, Baker M, et al. The ARRIVE guidelines 2.0: Updated guidelines for reporting animal research. PLoS Biol. 2020;18(7):e3000410.
- <span id="page-17-27"></span>36. Love MI, Huber W, Anders S. Moderated estimation of fold change and dispersion for RNA-seq data with DESeq2. Genome Biol. 2014Dec 5;15(12):550.
- <span id="page-17-28"></span>37. Panagopoulos I, Gorunova L, Bjerkehagen B, Heim S. The, "Grep" command but not fusionmap, fusionfnder or chimerascan captures the CIC-DUX4 fusion gene from whole transcriptome sequencing data on a small round cell tumor with t(4;19)(q35;q13). PLoS ONE. 2014;9(6):e99439.
- <span id="page-17-29"></span>38. Shen R, Liu L, Wu Z, Zhang Y, Yuan Z, Guo J, et al. Spatial-ID: a cell typing method for spatially resolved transcriptomics via transfer learning and spatial embedding. Nat Commun. 2022;13(1):7640.
- <span id="page-17-30"></span>39. Carnemolla B, Borsi L, Balza E, Castellani P, Meazza R, Berndt A, et al. Enhancement of the antitumor properties of interleukin-2 by its targeted delivery to the tumor blood vessel extracellular matrix. Blood. 2002;99(5):1659–65.
- <span id="page-17-31"></span>40. Winter J, Barbin K, Bacci C, Bunte T. A new bioassay for the immunocytokine L19-IL2 for simultaneous analysis of both functional moieties. J Pharm Biomed Anal. 2011Jan;54(1):81–6.
- <span id="page-17-32"></span>41. Mock J, Pellegrino C, Neri D. A universal reporter cell line for bioactivity evaluation of engineered cytokine products. Sci Rep. 2020;10(1):3234.
- <span id="page-17-33"></span>42. Fontana F, Marzagalli M, Sommariva M, Gagliano N, Limonta P. In vitro 3D cultures to model the tumor microenvironment. Cancers (Basel). 2021;13(12):2970.
- <span id="page-17-34"></span>43. Piro G, Carbone C, Agostini A, Esposito A, De Pizzol M, Novelli R, et al. CXCR1/2 dual-inhibitor ladarixin reduces tumour burden and promotes immunotherapy response in pancreatic cancer. Br J Cancer. 2023;128(2):331–41.
- <span id="page-17-35"></span>44. Wagner K, Schulz P, Scholz A, Wiedenmann B, Menrad A. The targeted immunocytokine L19-IL2 efficiently inhibits the growth of orthotopic pancreatic cancer. Clin Cancer Res. 2008;14(15):4951.
- <span id="page-17-36"></span>45. Gutbrodt KL, Schliemann C, Giovannoni L, Frey K, Pabst T, Klapper W, et al. Antibody-based delivery of interleukin-2 to neovasculature has potent activity against acute myeloid leukemia. Sci Transl Med. 2013;5(201).
- <span id="page-18-0"></span>46. Ongaro T, Gouyou B, Stringhini M, Corbellari R, Neri D, Villa A. A novel format for recombinant antibody-interleukin-2 fusion proteins exhibits superior tumor-targeting properties in vivo. Oncotarget. 2020;11(41):3698.
- <span id="page-18-1"></span>47. Siegel RL, Giaquinto AN, Jemal A. Cancer statistics, 2024. CA Cancer J Clin. 2024;74(1):12–49.
- <span id="page-18-2"></span>48. Zeng S, Pöttler M, Lan B, Grützmann R, Pilarsky C, Yang H. Chemoresist ance in pancreatic cancer. Int J Mol Sci. 2019;20(18):4504.
- 49. Ducreux M, Seufferlein T, Van Laethem JL, Laurent-Puig P, Smolenschi C, Malka D, et al. Systemic treatment of pancreatic cancer revisited. Semin Oncol. 2019;46(1):28–38.
- <span id="page-18-3"></span>50. Sally Á, McGowan R, Finn K, Moran BM. Current and future therapies for pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma. Cancers (Basel). 2022;14(10):2417.
- <span id="page-18-4"></span>51. Farhangnia P, Khorramdelazad H, Nickho H, Delbandi AA. Current and future immunotherapeutic approaches in pancreatic cancer treatment. J Hematol Oncol. 2024;17(1):40.
- <span id="page-18-5"></span>52. Rosenberg SA, Yang JC, Restifo NP. Cancer immunotherapy: moving beyond current vaccines. Nat Med. 2004;10(9):909–15.
- <span id="page-18-7"></span>53. Muhammad S, Fan T, Hai Y, Gao Y, He J. Reigniting hope in cancer treat ment: the promise and pitfalls of IL-2 and IL-2R targeting strategies. Mol Cancer. 2023;22(1):121.
- <span id="page-18-6"></span>54. Smith SL, Kennedy PR, Stacey KB, Worboys JD, Yarwood A, Seo S, et al. Diversity of peripheral blood human NK cells identifed by single-cell RNA sequencing. Blood Adv. 2020;4(7):1388–406.
- 55. Raeber ME, Rosalia RA, Schmid D, Karakus U, Boyman O. Interleukin-2 signals converge in a lymphoid–dendritic cell pathway that promotes anticancer immunity. Sci Transl Med. 2020;12(561):eaba5464.
- <span id="page-18-11"></span>56. Gong T, Huang X, Wang Z, Chu Y, Wang L, Wang Q. IL-2 promotes expan sion and intratumoral accumulation of tumor infltrating dendritic cells in pancreatic cancer. Cancer Immunol Immunother. 2024;73(5):84.
- <span id="page-18-8"></span>57. Pakola S, Quixabeira DCA, Kudling TV, Clubb JHA, Grönberg-Vähä-Koskela S, Basnet S, et al. An oncolytic adenovirus coding for a variant interleukin 2 cytokine improves response to chemotherapy through enhancement of efector lymphocyte cytotoxicity, fbroblast compartment modulation and mitotic slippage. Front Immunol. 2023;5:14.
- <span id="page-18-9"></span>58. Brown BA, Myers PJ, Adair SJ, Pitarresi JR, Sah-Teli SK, Campbell LA, et al. A histone methylation–MAPK signaling Axis drives durable epithe lial–mesenchymal transition in hypoxic pancreatic cancer. Cancer Res. 2024;84(11):1764.
- <span id="page-18-13"></span>59. Oettle H, Riess H, Stieler JM, Heil G, Schwaner I, Seraphin J, et al. Second-Line oxaliplatin, folinic acid, and fuorouracil versus folinic acid and fuoro uracil alone for gemcitabine-refractory pancreatic cancer: outcomes from the CONKO-003 Trial. J Clin Oncol. 2014;32(23):2423–9.
- <span id="page-18-10"></span>60. Van Limbergen EJ, Hoeben A, Lieverse RIY, Houben R, Overhof C, Postma A, et al. Toxicity of L19-Interleukin 2 combined with stereotactic body radiation therapy: a phase 1 study. Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys. 2021;109(5):1421.
- <span id="page-18-12"></span>61. Piper M, Hoen M, Darragh LB, Knitz MW, Nguyen D, Gadwa J, et al. Simul taneous targeting of PD-1 and IL-2Rβγ with radiation therapy inhibits pancreatic cancer growth and metastasis. Cancer Cell. 2023;41(5):950- 969.e6.

# **Publisher's Note**

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in pub lished maps and institutional affiliations.