# A randomized multicenter trial on a lung ultrasound-guided treatment strategy in patients on chronic hemodialysis with high cardiovascular risk

<sup>658</sup> Carmine Zoccali<sup>1,2</sup>, Claudia Torino<sup>3</sup>, Francesca Mallamaci<sup>3</sup>, Pantelis Sarafidis<sup>4</sup>, Aikaterini Papagianni<sup>4</sup>, Robert Ekart<sup>5</sup>, Radovan Hojs<sup>5</sup>, Marian Klinger<sup>6</sup>, Krzysztof Letachowicz<sup>7</sup>, Danilo Fliser<sup>8</sup>, Sarah Seiler-Mußler<sup>8</sup>, Fabio Lizzi<sup>8</sup>, Andrzej Wiecek<sup>9</sup>, Agata Miskiewicz<sup>9</sup>, Kostas Siamopoulos<sup>10</sup>, Olga Balafa<sup>10</sup>, Itzchak Slotki<sup>11,12</sup>, Linda Shavit<sup>11,12</sup>, Aristeidis Stavroulopoulos<sup>13,14</sup>, Adrian Covic<sup>15</sup>, Dimitrie Siriopol<sup>15</sup>, Ziad A. Massy<sup>16,17</sup>, Alexandre Seidowsky<sup>16,17</sup>, Yuri Battaglia<sup>18</sup>, Alberto Martinez-Castelao<sup>19,20</sup>, Carolina Polo-Torcal<sup>19,20</sup>, Marie-Jeanne Coudert-Krier<sup>21</sup>, Patrick Rossignol<sup>22,23</sup>, Enrico Fiaccadori<sup>24</sup>, Giuseppe Regolisti<sup>24</sup>, Thierry Hannedouche<sup>23,25</sup>, Thomas Bachelet<sup>26</sup>, Kitty J. Jager<sup>27</sup>, Friedo W. Dekker<sup>28</sup>, Rocco Tripepi<sup>3</sup>, Giovanni Tripepi<sup>3</sup>,
 <sup>94</sup> Luna Gargani<sup>29</sup>, Rosa Sicari<sup>29</sup>, Eugenio Picano<sup>29</sup> and Gérard Michel London<sup>23,30</sup>

2506<sup>1</sup> Renal Research Institute, New York, New York, USA; <sup>2</sup> Associazione Ipertensione Nefrologia e Trapianto Renale, Reggio Cal, Italy; <sup>3</sup> Institute of Clinical Physiology–Reggio Cal Unit, National Research Council, Reggio Calabria, Italy; <sup>4</sup>Department of Nephrology, Aristotle University **Q8** of Thessaloniki, Thessaloniki, Greece; <sup>5</sup>University Clinical Centre Maribor, Maribor, Slovenia; <sup>6</sup>University of Opole, Opole, Poland; <sup>7</sup>Wroclaw **Q9010** Medical University, Wroclaw, Poland; <sup>8</sup>Saarland University Hospital, Homburg, Germany; <sup>9</sup>University of Silesia in Katowice, Katowice, <sup>812</sup>1<sup>3</sup> Poland; <sup>10</sup>University Hospital of Ioannina, Ioannina, Greece; <sup>11</sup>Shaare Zedek Medical Center, Jerusalem, Israel; <sup>12</sup>Faculty of Medicine, 31315 Hebrew University of Jerusalem, Jerusalem, Israel; <sup>13</sup>IASIO Hospital–General Clinic of Kallithea, Kallithea, Greece; <sup>14</sup>Athens Kidney Institute 81920 NEPHROEXPERT, Athens, Greece; <sup>15</sup>Dr. C. I. Parhon Hospital, Iasi, Romania; <sup>16</sup>Ambroise Pare University Hospital, Assistance Publique-921022 Hôpitaux de Paris, Paris-Ile-de France-Ouest University, Boulogne Billancourt, Paris, France; <sup>17</sup>Centre Epidémiologie et Santé Publique, Institut National de la Santé et de la Recherche Médicale (INSERM) U1018, Team 5, Villejuif, France; <sup>18</sup>Division of Nephrology and Dialysis, <sup>Q23Q24</sup> St. Anna University Hospital, Ferrara, Italy; <sup>19</sup>Bellvitge's University Hospital-Hospitalet, Barcelona, Spain; <sup>20</sup>Red de Investigación Renal, 825 Juniversité de la constituto Salud Carlos III, Madrid, Spain; 21 Association Lorraine de Traitement de l'Insuffisance Rénale, Nancy, France; 22 Université de Lorraine, Centre D'Investigation Clinique Plurithématique–INSERM 1433–Centre Hospitalier Régional Universitaire de Nancy, INSERM 928029 U1116, Vandœuvre-lès-Nancy, France; <sup>23</sup>FCRIN INI-CRCT (Cardiovascular and Renal Clinical Trialists) Network, Nancy, France; <sup>24</sup>Department of Medicine and Surgery and Nephrology Unit, University Hospital Parma, Parma, Italy; <sup>25</sup>University Hospital of Strasbourg, <sup>931932</sup> and School of Medicine, Strasbourg, France; <sup>26</sup>Clinique Saint-Augustin-CTMR, ELSAN, Bordeaux, France; <sup>27</sup>Department of Clinical Epidemiology, Biostatistics, and Bio-informatics, Academic Medical Center, University of Amsterdam, Amsterdam, the Netherlands; <sup>8</sup>Department of Clinical Epidemiology, Leiden University Medical Centre, Leiden, the Netherlands; <sup>29</sup>Institute of Clinical Physiology–Pisa, <sup>034035</sup> National Research Council, Pisa, Italy; and <sup>30</sup>Centre Hospitalier F.H. Manhès, Fleury-Mérogis, France 

Lung congestion is a risk factor for all-cause and cardiovascular mortality in patients on chronic hemodialysis, and its estimation by ultrasound may be useful to guide ultrafiltration and drug therapy in this population. In an international, multi-center randomized controlled trial (NCT02310061) we investigated whether a lung ultrasound-guided treatment strategy improved a composite end point (all-cause death, non-fatal myocardial infarction, decompensated heart failure) vs usual care in patients receiving chronic hemodialysis with high cardiovascular risk. Patient-Reported Outcomes (Depression and the Standard Form 36 Quality of Life Questionnaire, SF36) were assessed as secondary 

**Correspondence:** Carmine Zoccali, Associazione Ipertensione Nefrologia e Trapianto Renale c/o CNR-IFC, Clinical Epidemiology of Renal Diseases and Hypertension, 89124, Reggio Calabria, Italy. E-mail: carmine.zoccali@tin.it

Received 14 May 2021; revised 1 July 2021; accepted 8 July 2021

outcomes. A total of 367 patients were enrolled: 183 in the active arm and 180 in the control arm. In the active arm, the pre-dialysis lung scan was used to titrate ultrafiltration during dialysis and drug treatment. Three hundred and seven patients completed the study: 152 in the active arm and 155 in the control arm. During a mean follow-up of 1.49 years, lung congestion was significantly more frequently relieved in the active (78%) than in the control (56%) arm and the intervention was safe. The primary composite end point did not significantly differ between the two study arms (Hazard Ratio 0.88; 95% Confidence Interval: 0.63-1.24). The risk for all-cause and cardiovascular hospitalization and the changes of left ventricular mass and function did not differ among the two groups. A post hoc analysis for recurrent episodes of decompensated heart failure (0.37; 0.15-0.93) and cardiovascular events (0.63; 0.41-0.97) showed a risk reduction for these outcomes in the active arm. There were no differences in patient-

#### clinical trial

110

112

115

116

117

118

119

120

points of the trial. 113 Kidney International (2021) ■, ■-■; https://doi.org/10.1016/

114 j.kint.2021.07.024

> KEYWORDS: cardiovascular risk; chronic kidney failure; ESRD; heart failure hemodialysis; lung congestion; lung ultrasound

reported outcomes between groups. Thus, in patients on

treatment strategy guided by lung ultrasound effectively

relieved lung congestion but was not more effective than

usual care in improving the primary or secondary end

chronic hemodialysis with high cardiovascular risk, a

Copyright © 2021, Published by Elsevier, Inc., on behalf of the International Society of Nephrology.

121 olume overload is a leading risk factor for death and 122 cardiovascular events in patients with chronic kidney 123 failure who are maintained on chronic dialysis,<sup>1</sup> 124 particularly in those with myocardial ischemia and heart 125 failure (HF), which represent a substantial fraction (about 126  $30\%-40\%)^2$  of this population. Early identification of volume 127 overload may prevent cardiovascular complications in these 128 patients, but clinical signs of volume expansion are inade-129 quate to reliably identify patients at risk and to monitor them 130 over time.<sup>3</sup> However, reliable, standard techniques for 131 measuring extracellular or circulating (blood) volume applied 132 in clinical practice do not convey information on funda-133 mental heart function parameters that determine the individual hemodynamic tolerance to volume excess and the 134 135 response to ultrafiltration: that is, left ventricular (LV) filling 136 pressure and LV function. Extravascular lung water is criti-137 cally dependent on these parameters and represents a proxy of 138 both circulating volume and LV filling pressure and function<sup>4</sup> 139 and may therefore be a better criterion to identify patients at a 140higher risk of adverse clinical outcomes and to monitor the 141 effect of therapy aimed at preventing these outcomes. A fast 142 (<5 minute), easy to learn, simple, and inexpensive technique 143 that measures extravascular lung water by using standard 144 ultrasound (US) machines has been validated in patients on 145 dialysis.<sup>5</sup> Lung US is applied to monitor treatment of decompensated HF.<sup>6,7</sup> Whether systematic measurement of 146 147 lung water by this technique may translate into better clinical 148 outcomes in patients with chronic kidney failure has never 149 been tested.

150 The aim of this randomized clinical trial is that of testing a 151 treatment policy guided by extravascular lung water mea-152 surements by US to prevent all-cause death, decompensated 153 HF, and nonfatal myocardial infarction in high-risk patients 154 on hemodialysis with myocardial ischemia or HF as 155 compared to standard care based on clinical signs and 156 symptoms. 157

#### **METHODS**

159 The Lung Water by Ultrasound-Guided Treatment in Hemodialysis 160 037 Patients (LUST) trial is registered in ClinicalTrials.gov (identifier: 161 NCT02310061). The study was approved by the institutional review board at each study site, and all participants provided written 162

informed consent. An international independent Data Safety Monitoring Committee monitored patient safety

163

164

165

166

167

168

169

170

171

172

173

174

175

176

177

178

179

180

181

182

183

184

185

186

187

188

189

190

191

192

193

194

195

196

197

198

199

200

201

202

203

204

205

206

207

208

209

210

211

212

213

214

215

216

217

218

#### **Trial participants**

To set up the trial, an Internet-based platform was created and an Q38 open call to all members of the European Cardiovascular and Renal Medicine (EURECAm) working group of the European Renal Association and the European Society of Dialysis and Transplantation (ERA-EDTA) was made. Investigators representing 24 European renal units expressed an interest for the trial and entered in the study Q39 platform the clinical data of patients potentially eligible for the study. Six renal units were dropped for organizational problems, leaving 18 participating renal units.

To be enrolled into the trial, patients had to be >18 years of age, on hemodialysis >3 months prior to study day 1, and have a highrisk cardiovascular profile: that is, a history of myocardial infarction with or without ST elevation or unstable angina, acute coronary syndrome documented by electrocardiogram recordings, and cardiac troponins or stable angina pectoris with documented coronary artery disease by prior coronary angiography or electrocardiography or HF with dyspnea class III-IV according to New York Heart Association functional classification. Exclusion criteria were cancer or other advanced noncardiac disease or comorbidity (e.g., end-stage liver failure) imposing a poor short-term prognosis, active infections or relevant intercurrent disease, and inadequate lung scanning and echocardiographic studies. An echocardiographic study was performed in all participants to document anatomical (LV hypertrophy) or functional (or both) alterations of the LV.

#### Luna US

Lung water assessment by chest US is a quick ( $\sim 5$  minutes) and easy to learn technique that requires just a 2-hour training session. A detailed description of the technique and its validation in patients on hemodialysis is described elsewhere.<sup>5</sup> Nephrologists and cardiologists of participating units were trained by a remote Internet-based program and, after training, all of them were certified by the lung US expert of the trial (LG) who acted also as study trainer.<sup>8</sup> All centers participating to the trial were provided a handheld US machine (VS scan, General Electric) to be used during the trial.

#### Intervention

Patients were randomized to a lung US-guided treatment policy or to standard clinical care. Given the nature of the intervention, treatment assignment was not blinded. In patients randomized to the active arm of the study, lung US was performed by nephrologists before and after hemodialysis session and the predialysis lung scan was used to titrate ultrafiltration (UF) during dialysis and drug treatment. In patients in this arm with moderate to severe lung congestion (>15 lung comets predialysis; see Supplementary Figure S1) lung US was repeated at least once a week until the treatment goal (<15 US-B lines) was achieved and once a month Q40 thereafter. Depending on the severity of lung congestion, specific weight reduction targets were suggested to nephrologists of participating centers.9 The same (monthly) monitoring frequency was Q41 adopted also in patients without or with mild pulmonary congestion at baseline (<15 US-B lines). Furthermore, the use of the technique was allowed whenever its application was deemed useful to assume clinical decisions by attending physicians. Patients in the active arm of the study without evidence of lung congestion at baseline who developed pulmonary congestion (i.e., clinical signs or >15 US-B lines or both) during the trial received the same treatment

158

#### C Zoccali et al.: Lung ultrasound-guided treatment in high-risk kidney failure patients

275

276

277

278

279

280

281

282

283

284

285

286

287

288

289

290

291

292

293

294

295

296

297

298

299

300

301

302

contemplated for those with lung congestion at baseline.9 The 219 treatment goal was pursued by UF intensification realized either by 220 lengthening the duration of dialysis or by extradialysis sessions, ac-221 cording to individual tolerance and feasibility. If the treatment goal 222 was not achieved within the first 3 to 4 weeks or intolerance to UF 223 supervened, adjustment of drug treatment was considered including 224 the introduction or dose adjustments of drugs of proven efficacy for 225 cardiovascular prevention in patients on hemodialysis along the al-226 gorithm of a consensus document by Kidney Disease: Improving 227 Global Outcomes<sup>10</sup> (see Supplementary Table S1). Other cardio-228 vascular and noncardiovascular medications were maintained un-229 changed or appropriately adapted in relationship to the individual 230 needs.

Patients in the control arm of the study were followed up and managed with standard criteria according to current recommendations (implying optimization of fluids volume control based on clinical criteria and the use of carvedilol, angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitors or sartans whenever deemed necessary) and the use of lung US was not allowed in these patients.

231

232

233

234

235

236

237

238

239

240

241

242

243

244

245

246

In addition to peridialysis measurements in the active arm of the trial, lung US recordings were made in both arms during the baseline visit and in subsequent visits at 6, 12, and 24 months by a cardiologist blind to study intervention. During the same visits patients of both groups underwent echocardiography.

The occurrence of clinical events was accurately registered in the Internet platform of the trial in both study arms, and the platform was actively surveilled by an investigator at the coordinating center (CT). In the case of doubt, clinical events were adjudicated by an external panel of physicians unaware of the allocation of patients into the trial.

#### Methods against bias

Randomization (permuted blocks of random length) stratified by center was made at the coordinating center and communicated to participating centers by e-mail or telephone.

#### Study outcomes

The main study endpoint was a composite of all-cause death, nonfatal myocardial infarction, or decompensated HF. The secondary clinical endpoints of the trial were all-cause and cardiovascular hospitalizations and changes in echocardiographic parameters including LV mass index, left atrial volume index, ejection fraction, and the Early diastolic trans mitral flow velocity (E) to early diastolic mitral annular tissue velocity (e'). In the trial we also collected information on patient-reported outcomes (Depression and the Standard Form 36 Quality of Life Questionnaire, SF36) and 2 questionnaires collected by doctors (Berlin Questionnaire and Karnofsky score).

#### Sample size, study power

A total sample size of 500 patients (250 per group) was expected to provide approximately 80% power to detect a difference in the primary endpoint with an assumed type 1 error rate of 0.05, 2sided. We estimated that the 2-year event rate for the composite endpoint would be 45% in the usual care group and 30% (a 33% risk reduction) in the arm with the lung US-guided intervention. According to protocol, all patients were to be followed for 24 months after randomization. For the analysis of the primary, composite endpoint and all-cause and cardiovascular hospitalizations (secondary endpoints), we analyzed the data by the Kaplan-Meier method and the univariate Cox's regression hazard ratio 942

247			303
248			304
249			305
250	Flow of patients in the LUST trial		306
251			307
252	363 randomized*		308
253			309
254			310
255	183 Randomized to receive Lung-US		311
256	guided intervention All received the allocated intervention		312
257	All received the allocated intervention		313
258			314
259	21 Lost to follow-up 19 Lost to follow-up		315
260	6 Withdrawn by site investigator 6 Withdrawn by site investigator		316
261	4 Transferred 3 Transferred		317
262			318
263			319
264	152 Completed the study 155 Completed the study		320
265	97 Underwent the 4 study visits 90 Underwent the 4 study visits		321
266	51 Died 59 Died		322
267	4 Transplanted 6 Transplanted		323
268			324
269	183 Included in the primary analysis 180 Included in the primary analysis		325
270			326
271			327
272	*The number of patients screened for eligibility is unavailable		328
273	Figure 1 CONSORT flow diagram for natients in the Lung Water by Ultrasound-Guided Treatment in Hemodialysis Patients (LUST)		329
274	trial. US, ultrasound.	Q51	330

331 (HR) was considered as the main estimate of the effect of the intervention. Missing baseline categorical variables were replaced 332 with the mean or median value, as appropriate. The effect of the allocation arm on the number of US-B lines and on echocardiographic parameters was investigated by linear mixed models. After the publication of the protocol at ClinicalTrials.gov, 2 studies reporting a benefit of lung US-guided treatment strategies in patients with HF were published.<sup>11,12</sup> For this reason, we also made separate secondary analyses (post hoc) of recurrent episodes of decompensated heart failure and repeated cardiovascular events as well as post hoc analyses of the individual components of the composite endpoint. Cardiovascular events were prespecified and listed in the study platform. These included a series of events demanding hospitalization including myocardial infarction, acute coronary syndrome, coronary artery graft or coronary angioplasty, 344 decompensated HF, atrial fibrillation or flutter, other arrhythmias, 345 cardiac arrest or sudden death, stroke, transient ischemic attack, 346 de novo peripheral vascular disease, peripheral arteries angioplasty 347 or stenting, amputation, and vascular surgery. Total (recurrent) 348 episodes of decompensated HF and total cardiovascular events 349 were expressed as events per 100 person-years. The impact of the 350 study intervention on these secondary (post hoc) analyses was 351 analyzed by the zero inflated binomial regression, which is a 352 method for modeling count variables with excessive zeros and for overdispersed count-based variables.13 No effect of the interven-353 tion on these metrics was registered. All analyses were based on 354 the principle of intention to treat and were performed using SPSS 355 version 24 (IBM Corp) and STATA 16 (StataCorp). The threshold 356 for statistical significance was 2-sided with a type 1 error rate of 357 0.05. 358

#### RESULTS

359

360

361

362

363

364

365

366

367

368

369

370

371

At the time we started the trial, the interest of nephrologists for the technique was modest and most nephrologists felt that the same technique was complex and time-consuming, which slowed the recruitment rate. After a 4.5-year recruitment period, considering the slow recruitment rate, patient enrollment was stopped when 363 of the 500 planned patients (77%) had been enrolled in the trial. Final study visits for all patients still actively participating in the trial had to be completed prior to the database lock (July 10, 2020).

#### **Study patients**

372 Three hundred and sixty-three patients were randomized 373 (lung US-guided therapy: 183; standard care: 180) at 18 374 renal units in Europe between March 1, 2013, and December Q43 31, 2017 (The CONSORT flow diagram is presented in 375 Q44 Figure 1). All patients but 1 were of Caucasian descent and 376 for legal reasons race could not be specified for the 54 377 French patients of the trial. The groups were generally well 378 379 balanced with respect to baseline characteristics (Table 1). 380 As the study enrolled patients on hemodialysis who were at high cardiovascular risk, the study population was charac-381 terized a high prevalence of major cardiovascular comor-382 bidities (Table 1). Most patients were receiving 383 384 pharmacologic therapy for hypertension and cardiovascular 385 comorbidities with no difference between the 2 groups (Supplementary Table S2). 386

Table 1   Main demographic, somatometric, and clinical	Q5
characteristics in patients as divided according to the study	
interventions	

387

388

413

414

415

416

417

	Active arm $(n = 183)$	Control arm $(n = 180)$
Age, yr	$70\pm10$	70 ± 11
BMI, kg/m <sup>2</sup>	$26\pm5$	$26\pm5$
Male sex	127 (69)	128 (71)
Current smokers	23 (13)	27 (15)
Diabetics	74 (40)	73 (41)
On antihypertensive treatment	138 (75)	136 (76)
Dialysis vintage, mo	51 (22–141)	59 (27–166)
Acute CS or stable angina	135 (74)	124 (69)
Myocardial infarction	92 (50)	90 (50)
Atrial fibrillation	47 (26)	45 (25)
Heart failure	69 (38)	85 (47)
NYHA functional class III-IV	61 (33)	69 (38)
Stroke	25 (14)	16 (9)
Peripheral vascular disease	50 (27)	53 (29)
Systolic/diastolic BP, mm Hg	138 $\pm$ 25/71 $\pm$ 15	136 $\pm$ 24/70 $\pm$ 12
LVM indexed by height, g/m	51.0 (42.7–61.3)	50.2 (41.9–60.7)
Ejection fraction, %	60 (55–65)	57 (52–61)
E/e'	11.2 (8.3–14.4)	10.8 (7.8–15.0)
Biochemistry		
Cholesterol, mmol/l	$4.1\pm1.2$	$3.9\pm1.1$
Hemoglobin, g/l	$111 \pm 15$	$112 \pm 15$
Albumin, g/l	$38\pm 6$	$39\pm7$
CRP, mg/l	4.3 (2.0–10.0)	5.0 (2.4–13.3)
Calcium, mmol/l	$2.2\pm0.2$	$2.2\pm0.2$
Phosphate, mmol/l	$1.6\pm0.5$	$1.5\pm0.4$

BMI, body mass index; BP, blood pressure; CRP, C-reactive protein; CS, coronary Q54 syndrome; E/e', early diastolic transmitral flow velocity (E) to early diastolic mitral annular tissue velocity (e'); LVM, left ventricular mass; NYHA, New York Heart Association

Values are mean  $\pm$  SD, median (interquartile range), or n (%), as appropriate.

#### Medical treatment by strategy and follow-up

418 Patients randomized to the lung US-guided strategy under-419 went 4103 predialysis and an equal number of postdialysis 420 lung US recordings made by attending nephrologists (on 421 average  $24 \pm 17$  for each measurement per patient). In the 422 lung US studies blindly made by cardiologists in coincidence 423 of the four prefixed visits of the trial, patients in the active 424 arm had a decline in the number of US-B lines (baseline: 15; 425 95% confidence interval [CI]: 12-19; study end: 9; 95% CI: Q45 426 5-12) while those in the control arm (from 16 [95% CI: 13-427 20] to 30 [95% CI: 20-39]) had an increase in US-B lines 428 (Figure 2a). Data analysis by linear mixed models showed that 429 the allocation arm was a strong modifier of the evolution of 430 US-B lines across the trial (P = 0.002) (Figure 2b). Accord-431 ingly, the number of patients who achieved the treatment 432 target (<15 US-B lines) was higher (P < 0.001) in the active 433 (n = 117; 78%) than in the control (n = 85; 56%) arm. 434 Adjustment of the antihypertensive therapy along the pre-435 specified treatment algorithm was performed in 21 patients in 436 the US-B lines group and in 10 patients in the usual care 437 group (P = 0.045) and the corresponding total number of 438 adjustments was 38 and 15, respectively. The incidence rate 439 was 14.1 adjustments per 100 person-years in the first and 5.5 440 adjustments per 100 person-years in the second group (P =441 0.001). 442



Figure 2 | Trend of US-B lines in the active and control group. (a) Data are mean and 95% confidence interval (CI). (b) Data (mean and 95% CI) are data fitted by the linear mixed model (LMM). The I value was derived from the LMM and indicates that the allocation arm modified the evolution of US-B lines across the trial. US, ultrasound.

Predialysis systolic blood pressure (lung US group: baseline: 138  $\pm$  25 mm Hg, last study visit: 139  $\pm$  26 mm Hg; usual care group: baseline: 136  $\pm$  24 mm Hg, last study visit: 137  $\pm$  21 mm Hg), postdialysis systolic blood pressure (lung US group: baseline: 131  $\pm$  25 mm Hg, last study visit: 129  $\pm$ 25 mm Hg; usual care group: baseline: 130  $\pm$  25 mm Hg, last study visit: 132  $\pm$  23 mm Hg), predialysis body weight (lung US group: baseline: 76  $\pm$  16 kg, last study visit: 76  $\pm$  16 kg; usual care group: baseline: 74  $\pm$  16 kg, last study visit: 73  $\pm$ 17 kg), and postdialysis body weight (lung US group: baseline: 74  $\pm$  16 kg, last study visit: 72  $\pm$  17 kg) did not change across the trial.

#### Safety

The intervention was safe, and the risk of dialysis hypotension
was less in the active arm of the trial (Table 2). Other possible
adverse effects of the intervention including vascular access
(AV fistula or graft) problems and intradialysis and extradialysis arrhythmia did not differ between the 2 groups.

#### Study outcomes

During a mean follow-up of 1.49  $\pm$  0.72 years the main composite endpoint occurred in 62 patients (34%) in the lung US-guided therapy arm and in 71 patients (39%) in the control arm and the HR was statistically not significant (HR: 0.88; 95%) CI: 0.63–1.24, P = 0.47) (Figure 3). No effect modification by Q47 age, gender, diabetes, ischemic heart disease, HF, systolic blood pressure, and ejection fraction (Supplementary Figure S2) nor by center (Supplementary Figure S3) was found. The analysis 

of secondary endpoints, including the echocardiographic parameters (left atrial volume, LV mass index, ejection fraction, and the E/e' (Supplementary Table S3), and the risk for allcause and cardiovascular hospitalizations were similar in the 2 arms (all-cause hospitalizations: HR: 1.03; 95% CI: 0.77-1.36; P = 0.86; cardiovascular hospitalizations: HR: 1.02; 95% CI: 0.71-1.46; P = 0.92). Death occurred in 51 patients (28%) in the lung US-guided group and in 59 (33%) in the usual care group (HR: 0.89; 95% CI: 0.61–1.29; *P* = 0.53). The time to the first episode of myocardial infarction and decompensated HF did not significantly differ between the 2 groups (Table 2). A post hoc, secondary analysis of the total number of repeated episodes of decompensated HF and repeated cardiovascular events in the 2 groups (Figure 4<sup>14</sup>) showed a significant reduction in the incidence rate for these outcomes in the lung US arm as compared to those in the usual care arm (Table 3).

#### Other secondary analyses

Secondary analyses on patient-reported outcomes (Depression and the Standard Form 36 Quality of Life Questionnaire, SF36) as well as the results of 2 additional questionnaires collected by doctors (Berlin Questionnaire and Karnofsky score) are reported in Supplemental Table S4). No effect of the intervention on these metrics was registered.

#### DISCUSSION

The primary finding of this study is that in patients at on hemodialysis who are at high risk for cardiovascular events, a 

#### clinical trial

#### Table 2 | XXX 555

564

565

566

567

568

569

570

571

572

573

574

556	Rates of adverse events			
557	of interest	Lung US arm	Usual care arm	P value
558	Dialysis hypotension	858; 320 (300–342)	1292; 473 (448–500)	< 0.00
559	Total episodes of	31; 11.6 (7.8–16.4)	34; 12.5 (8.6–17.4)	0.76
560	arrhythmia on and off			
561	dialysis across the trial			
562	Vascular access problems	25; 9.3 (6.0–13.7)	19; 7.0 (4.2–10.9)	0.34
563				

Values are total number and incidence rate; events  $\times$  100 person-years (95% confidence interval).

strategy of guiding therapy based on lung US successfully and safely reduced lung congestion in the active arm of the trial but this strategy was not more effective than a usual care strategy in reducing the composite endpoint of time to death or myocardial infarction or decompensated HF.

In a trial in 123 patients hospitalized for HF randomized to either standard follow-up or to a lung US-guided diuretic therapy,<sup>11</sup> patients in the active arm had a 48% risk reduction for a combined endpoint, including mortality, time to an urgent visit, and hospitalization for worsening HF, but mortality did not differ between the 2 groups. In another trial in 244 patients with chronic HF randomized to lung US-guided in addition to physical examination-guided therapy or to physical examination-guided therapy alone,<sup>12</sup> a marked reduction (56%) for the risk of hospitalization for acute decompensated HF was registered but again no difference in mortality was registered between the 2 study arms.

611

612

613

614

615

616

617

618

619

620

621

622

623

624

625

626

627

628

629

630

In the LUST study, the intervention reduced markedly the degree of lung congestion, which is per se a condition predisposing to pulmonary edema, and the proportion of patients achieving the target level of lung water (<15 US-B lines) was substantially higher in the active arm of the trial (78%) than in the control arm (56%). Similarly, intensification of concomitant antihypertensive therapies occurred more frequently in the active arm. B-lines reduction was achieved with a smooth lung decongestion, as witnessed by the reduction of number of hypotensive episodes during dialysis





Figure 4 | Cumulative (repeated) episodes of decompensated heart failure (HF) (a) and cardiovascular events (b). Data plotting was done according to Nelson's hazard plotting method.<sup>1</sup>

in the lung US group. In spite of these changes, body weight did not change in either group. A similar phenomenon was noted in the frequent hemodialysis trial,<sup>15</sup> where both predialysis and postdialysis body weight remained constant in both arms of the study while total body water decreased in the active arm of the trial (6 hemodialysis treatments per week) but increased in the control arm (3 hemodialysis treatments per week). Notwithstanding the efficacy and the safety of the lung US-guided treatment strategy in relieving lung congestion, the risk reduction (-12%) observed in the active arm of the trial for the composite endpoint was largely nonsignificant as were the changes in echocardiographic parameters. Only in a post hoc analysis stimulated by 2 trials in patients with HF<sup>11,12</sup> did we observed a risk reduction for repeated episodes of decompensated HF and cardiovascular events (Figure 4). The difference observed in this post hoc analysis is difficult to interpret and may be a pure chance effect. However, it is possible that because the decongestion process was slow and maximized at the end of the trial, it may take a long time for this process to have an impact on clinical outcomes. In the frequent hemodialysis trial no effect of hemodialysis intensification on mortality was observed during the trial,<sup>16</sup> while a marked reduction (-46%; range: 10%-90%) in the death risk was registered in a secondary analysis made 3.6 years (range: Q48 1.5-5.3 years) after randomization.<sup>17</sup> In a LUST substudy including also patients on hemodialysis who were non-highrisk hypertensive, the lung US-guided strategy safely reduced 48-hour ambulatory blood pressure.<sup>18</sup> In any case, the analysis of the total number of events (decompensated HF and cardiovascular events in general) is a secondary analysis and as such has just a hypothesis-generating value.

This study has important limitations making the results inconclusive as for the primary endpoint. First, at the time of the study design there was no previous trial testing lung US, neither in chronic kidney failure nor in other conditions. Available information in observational studies suggested a substantial benefit of fluid overload correction. Indeed in a previous multicenter cohort study by us<sup>19</sup> the risk of death (adjusted for New York Heart Association functional class and other risk factors) of patients with severe lung congestion was 4.2-fold (HR:4.20; 95% CI: 2.45-7.23) higher than that in patients with milder forms of lung congestion or no congestion and the corresponding risk for cardiac events was 3.2× higher (HR: 3.20; 95% CI: 1.75–5.88). Ex post, the 33% risk reduction we hypothesized was unrealistic. Observational studies are a suboptimal source of information to make quantitative inferences on the expected effect of experimental interventions. Extending the observation of patients to the

#### Table 3 XXX

Secondary analyses Lu	ung US arm n (%); (95% CI)	Usual care arm n (%); (95% Cl)	HR (95% CI)	P valu
Deaths	51 (28); (22–35)	59 (33); (26–40)	0.89 (0.61–1.29)	0.53
First myocardial infarction	16 (9); (5–14)	10 (6); (3–10)	1.61 (0.73–3.55)	0.24
First episode of decompensated HF	12 (7); (3–11)	19 (11); (6–16)	0.64 (0.31–1.32)	0.23
	n; Incidence rate per 10 person-years (95% Cl)	0 n; Incidence rate per 100 person-years (95% CI)	IRR (95% CI)	P valu
Total (recurrent) episodes of decompensated	I HF 15; 5.6 (3.1–9.2)	24; 8.8 (5.6–13.1)	0.37 (0.15–0.93)	0.035
Total (recurrent) cardiovascular events	127.473 (394-563)	157: 57.5 (48.9–67.2)	0.63 (0.41-0.97)	0.038

CI, confidence interval; HF, heart failure; HR, hazard ratio; IRR, incidence rate ratio; US, ultrasound. 

<sup>a</sup>Zero-inflated binomial regression.

### clinical trial

801

802

820

831

779 posttrial period and, more importantly, a second trial adopting a protocol similar to LUST and a metanalysis of this 780 781 trial with ours are needed to obtain conclusive results about the usefulness of lung US for guiding therapy in high-risk 782 patients on hemodialysis. Second, we did not achieve the 783 enrollment targets planned for the trial. However, the data 784 785 analysis of enrolled patients (n = 383; 77% of the planned 786 study population) showed a largely nonsignificant difference between the 2 arms of the trial. Third, because of the type of 787 the intervention, the study was unblinded, which could have 788 generated bias. Fourth, a possible favorable effect of the 789 790 intervention was observed only in exploratory secondary 791 analyses considering recurring episodes of decompensated HF and recurring cardiovascular events. Even though biologically 792 793 plausible, these effects are merely hypothesis-generating. 794 Additional trials need to be done to prove the usefulness of 795 lung US in this population.

796 In conclusion, in patients on hemodialysis who are at high 797 cardiovascular risk, a strategy of lung US-guided therapy 798 safely reduced congestion but was not more effective than a 799 usual care strategy in improving the primary (composite) 800 endpoint of the LUST trial.

#### DISCOSURE

803 CZ and FM received lecture fees from Amgen in 2019.LG 804 received fees from General Electric Healthcare, Philips 805 Healthcare, and Caption Health outside the submitted work. 806 AW received fees from GlaxoSmithKline, personal fees from 807 Astellas, and fees from AstraZeneca outside the submitted 808 work. PR received fees from Ablative Solutions, AstraZeneca, 809 Bayer, Boehringer-Ingelheim, Corvidia, CVRx, Fresenius, G3P 810 (stocks), Grunenthal, Idorsia, KBP, Novartis, NovoNordisk, 811 Relypsa, Sanofi, Sequana Medical, Servier, Stealth Peptides, 812 Vifor, and Vifor Fresenius Medical Care Renal Pharma outside 813 the submitted work; and is a cofounder of CardioRenal. ZAM 814 received grants and other support from Amgen, Sanofi-815 Genzyme, and Baxter; grants from the French government, 816 MSD, GSK, Lilly, FMC, and Outsuka; and other support from 817 Daichi and Astellas outside the submitted work. KJJ received 818 fees from Fresenius Medical Care outside the submitted work. 819 All the other authors declared no competing interests.

#### 821 DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

822 Individual deidentified participant data whereupon the main 823 results of the trial described in this manuscript are based will 824 be shared with interested investigators. The data will become 825 available 3 months after the publication of this study, and data 826 access will end after 2 years. Interested investigators should 827 contact the first author of the study for explaining the hy-828 potheses they intend to test, the methods they will apply, and 829 to obtain the study data. 830

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

832 The authors are members of the EURECAm working group of the 833 057 ERA-EDTA and the LUST trial was funded in 2013 by a grant of the 834 ERA-EDTA (no number for the grant is available).

We thank the following clinicians (nephrologists and cardiologists) for their collaboration in the LUST trial: Eric Abergel (Bordeaux, France); Jawad Atrash (Jerusalem, Israel); Caroline Bidault (Paris, France); Marie Blinn (Homburg/Saar, Germany); Astrid Breitbart (Hannover, Germany); Albane Brodin-Sartorius (Paris, France); Maciej Bulanowski (Katowice, Poland); Carola Cademartiri (Parma, Italy); Loutradis N. Charalampos (Thessaloniki, Greece); Nelly Castin (Nancy, France); Faty Diallo (Paris, France); Paola Di Marco, Eric Prinz (Strasbourg, France); Tommaso Di Motta (Parma, Italy); Philipp Ege (Homburg/Saar, Germany); Andreu Foraster, Alex Ruiz-Mayoral, and Gustavo Villalobos (Barcelona, Spain); Klaudia Gieszczyk-Strózik (Katowice, Poland); Nicolas Girerd (Nancy, France); Anna Gozdzik (Wroclaw, Poland); Gunnar Henrik Heine (Homburg/ Saar, Germany); Barbara Krunic (Maribor, Slovenia); Nicolas Mansecal (Paris, France); Sylvain Marchais (Manhès, Fleury Mérogis, France); Francois Marchal (Bordeaux, France); Edoardo Melilli (Barcelona, Spain); Katarzyna Mizia (Katowice, Poland); Mihai Onofriescu (lasi, Romania); Bruno Marc Pannier (Manhès, Fleury Mérogis, France); Konstantinos Pappas (Ioannina, Greece); Carolina Polo (Barcelona, Spain); Giuseppe Regolisti (Parma, Italy); Radu Andy Sascau (lasi, Romania); Franco Schito (Parma, Italy); Alexandre Seidowsky (Paris, France); Tomas Serrato (Manhès, Fleury Mérogis, France); Linda Shavit (Jerusalem, Israel); Sophie Vallance (Nancy, France); Eve Vilaine (Paris, France); Gustavo Villalobos (Barcelona, Spain); Tanya Weitsman (Jerusalem, Israel); Stephen Zewinger (Homburg/Saar, Germany); and Christos Zounis (Kallithea, Greece).

We thank the independent Data Safety Monitoring Committee members: Dr. Michel Kessler (nephrologist, Nancy, France); Renaud Fay (statistician, Nancy, France); Michele Emdin (cardiologist, Pisa, Italy); Dr. Yohann Bernard and Nadine Petitpain of the Nancy Regional and University Hospital Center, which sponsored the trial in the French centers; and Dr. Xavier Lepage of the Nancy Centre d'Investigation Clinique.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

#### Supplementary File (PDF)

Figure S1. Treatment algorithm according to the number of US-B lines.

Figure S2. Effect modification by age, sex, heart failure, ejection fraction, and study site of the effect of the lung US-guided policy on the composite endpoint (all-cause death, nonfatal myocardial infarction, and decompensated HF).

Figure S3. Effect modification by center.

Table S1. Cardiovascular drugs administration in patients where the treatment goal (<15 US-B lines/comets) is not achieved by UF alone. Table S2. Pharmacological treatment at baseline in the 2 study groups.

Table S3. Echocardiographic parameters in the 2 study arms. (Left) Unadjusted data. (Right) Data fitted according to the linear mixed model.

Table S4. Secondary analyses on patient-reported outcomes (Depression and the Standard Form 36 Quality of Life Questionnaire, SF36) of 3 additional questionnaires collected by doctors (the Subjective Global Assessment score, the Berlin Questionnaire, and the Karnofsky score).

**Table S5.** Berlin Questionnaire proportion of patients classified at
 high risk for sleep apnea according to the Berlin Questionnaire score.

#### REFERENCES

- 1. Zoccali C, Mallamaci F. Mapping progress in reducing cardiovascular risk with kidney disease. Clin J Am Soc Nephrol. 2018;13:1432-1434.
- 2. Zoccali C, Bolignano D, Mallamaci F. Left ventricular hypertrophy in chronic kidney disease. In: Turner NN, Lameire N, Goldsmith DJ, et al.,

Kidnev International (2021) ■, ■-

835

836

837

838

839

840

841

842

843

844

845

846

847

848

849

#### C Zoccali et al.: Lung ultrasound-guided treatment in high-risk kidney failure patients

### clinical trial

eds. Oxford Textbook of Nephrology. 4th ed. Oxford, UK: Oxford University Press; 2019:1–68.

 Torino C, Gargani L, Sicari R, et al. The agreement between auscultation and lung ultrasound in hemodialysis patients: the LUST study. *Clin J Am* Soc Nephrol. 2016;11:2005–2011.

891

892

893

894

895

896

897

898

899

900

901

902

903

904

905

906

907

908

909

910

911

912

913

914

915

916

917

918

919

050

- Agricola E, Bove T, Oppizzi M, et al. "Ultrasound comet-tail images": a marker of pulmonary edema: a comparative study with wedge pressure and extravascular lung water. *Chest*. 2005;127:1690–1695.
- Mallamaci F, Benedetto FA, Tripepi R, et al. Detection of pulmonary congestion by chest ultrasound in dialysis patients. *JACC Cardiovasc Imaging*. 2010;3:586–594.
- Picano E, Scali MC, Ciampi Q, et al. Lung ultrasound for the cardiologist. JACC Cardiovasc Imaging. 2018;11:1692–1705.
- Platz E, Solomon SD, McMurray JJV. Lung ultrasound: monitoring congestion in patients with heart failure. *Eur J Heart Fail*. 2019;21:1614– 1615.
  - Gargani L, Sicari R, Raciti M, et al. Efficacy of a remote web-based lung ultrasound training for nephrologists and cardiologists: a LUST trial subproject. *Nephrol Dial Transplant*. 2016;31:1982–1988.
- LUST Study User Manual. p. 11–12. Available at: https://lust. nefroepidemiologiarc.eu/manuals/User\_manual%20november%208th% 202013.pdf. Accessed XXX.
- Levin NW, Kotanko P, Eckardt K-U, et al. Blood pressure in chronic kidney disease stage 5D: report from a Kidney Disease: Improving Global Outcomes (KDIGO) Controversies Conference. *Kidney Int.* 2010;77:273– 284.

- Rivas-Lasarte M, Álvarez-García J, Fernández-Martínez J, et al. Lung ultrasound-guided treatment in ambulatory patients with heart failure: a randomized controlled clinical trial (LUS-HF study). *Eur J Heart Fail*. 2019;21:1605–1613.
- 12. Marini C, Fragasso G, Italia L, et al. Lung ultrasound-guided therapy reduces acute decompensation events in chronic heart failure. *Heart*. 2020;106:1934–1939.
- 13. Jahn-Eimermacher A. Comparison of the Andersen-Gill model with Poisson and negative binomial regression on recurrent event data. *Comput Stat Data Anal.* 2008;52:4989–4997.
- 14. Nelson W. Hazard plotting for incomplete failure data. *J Quality Technol.* 1969;1:27–52.
- Kaysen GA, Greene T, Larive B, et al. The effect of frequent hemodialysis on nutrition and body composition: Frequent Hemodialysis Network Trial. *Kidney Int.* 2012;82:90–99.
- Chertow GM, Levin NW, Beck GJ, et al. In-center hemodialysis six times per week versus three times per week (FHN). N Engl J Med. 2010;363: 2287–2300.
- 17. Chertow GM, Levin NW, Beck GJ, et al. Long-term effects of frequent incenter hemodialysis. J Am Soc Nephrol. 2016;27:1830–1836.
- Loutradis C, Sarafidis PA, Ekart R, et al. The effect of dry-weight reduction guided by lung ultrasound on ambulatory blood pressure in hemodialysis patients: a randomized controlled trial. *Kidney Int.* 2019;95: 1505–1513.
- 19. Zoccali C, Torino C, Tripepi R, et al. Pulmonary congestion predicts cardiac events and mortality in ESRD. *J Am Soc Nephrol*. 2013;24:639–646.

947

948

Kidney International (2021) ■, ■–■