Theonyms, Panthea and Syncretisms in Hittite Anatolia and Northern Syria

Proceedings of the TeAI Workshop Held
in Verona, March 25-26, 2022

edited by
Livio Warbinek and Federico Giusfredi

Graphic design: Alberto Pizarro Fernández, Lettera Meccanica SRLs
Front cover image: *Çağdın stele of the Storm-god*, Museo di Adana © Alvise Domenico Matessi

Defining the Hittite "Pantheon", its Hierarchy and Circles: Methodological Perspectives

Livio Warbinek[1]

Abstract: For the Hittite religion of the "Thousand Gods of Ḫatti" the scholarship has identified different ways of categorization: State pantheon, Local cults, "circle" and numeric group are the most widely used categories based on several criteria, such as linguistics, geography, and cultural milieu. The present paper aims to better define the state of the question about the hierarchy within the Hittite pantheon on the one hand, and to further investigate the notion of "circle" in the Hittite religion on the other, whose analysis has raised some questions and has led to different interpretations.

1. Hittite religion

In the field of Anatolian religion of the 2nd millennium BC, the Hittites created a peculiar religious structure: the "Thousand Gods of Ḫatti"[2]. Indeed, scholarship provides different interpretations of the *religio hethitica* in Anatolia according to different criteria, as recently highlighted by Cammarosano (2021, 94) «The gods [...] can be considered from different perspectives, depending on whether the focus is on their typology, geographical areas of attestation, or cultural milieu». Contextually, different ways to describe this religious system have emerged: the most common concepts[3] employed in literature are those of State pantheon, Local Cults, numeric groups, and circles.

According to Gilan (2019, 179) «by 'Hittite religion' we mainly refer to the religious practices that are attested in the state archives of the Hittite capital, mostly relating to the king and the royal family or to 'state religion'. This is mostly due to the nature of the Hittite textual evidence. No private archives and very few administrative texts have been found so far in Hittite Anatolia», whereas for Taracha (2009, 86) it was a «peculiar product of political theology, an amalgam of Anatolian, Hurrian, Syrian and Mesopotamian religious traditions»[4]. It should not be forgotten that this religious amalgam had been possible and successful thanks, above all, to the rich cultural contacts of the

---

[1] This paper is a methodological contribution by the project TeAI "Teonimi e pantheon nell'Anatolia Ittita", funded by the Italian Ministry of University, F.A.R.E. programme, and carried out at the University of Verona, Italy. I would like to thank Prof. F. Giusfredi who supported me throughout this work. Of course, any mistakes are my own.

[2] "*LĪM* DINGIR^{MEŠ} *ŠA* KUR ^{URU}Ḫatti". See for instance KBo 4.10++ I 48-49: van den Hout 1995, 38-9.

[3] Taracha 2009, 38 *passim*; Hutter 2013, 183 "State Pantheon", 187 "state cult"; Rutherford 2020, 185; Hutter 2021, 29.

[4] See also Hutter 2003, 115; Taracha 2010, 858.

Hittite kingdom with other civilizations, from the Aegean Sea to the Euphrates and from the Black Sea to the Orontes, during several historical events.

Within this wider context, the creative process of the Thousand Gods seems to evolved according several possibilities for interaction among cultures[5] developing a complex religious system which necessitates further consideration.

2. Divine hierarchy?

The epigraphic sources we have – as well as the iconographic ones, when available – clearly highlight a precise order of importance among deities[6]. Particularly, I am referring to both the sequence of divine offerings in the cultural texts, which «was never accidental and it reflected the divine hierarchy» (Taracha 2009, 39), and the Hittite state treaties, which «list the witnessing gods in an order determined by certain set principles» (Popko 1995, 90). According to Popko's analysis, already at the time of the reign of King Arnuwanda I and Queen Ašmunikal, the canon of the pantheon was close to that which appears in the later treaties, thus pinpointing the creation of the official pantheon to the Middle Hittite period[7].

Stage by stage, all the gods were apparently organized according to their order of importance[8] in a structure of the divine world which seems to have the fixed shape of a pyramid, with only minor variations: at the top were the main gods, from the Storm-god to his wife and their extended family; below, we encounter the second-rank gods, such as the Mother-goddesses, the Solar deities; then gradually all the others from the War-god to the god of vegetation, the spirits, the tutelary figures, and the evil gods; finally, at the bottom the ancient departed kings[9]. Not less importantly, the «Hittites did not generally refer to 'kingship' among the gods» even though «the Storm-god is occasionally addressed as 'King (of Heaven)' and his spouse as 'Queen' (e.g. KUB 6, 45 i 10-12)» (Beckman 2004, 313b). This scheme can also be applied to the local panthea, where in a «Hittite town, you tend to find a simpler structure, with a top-tier, comprising a storm god and either a sun deity or a mother goddess; a 'tutelary deity' or deities, […] sometimes a god of war, and various local deities associated with mountains, rivers, and/or springs» (Rutherford 2020, 185-86). This hierarchical vision is apparently derived from the conception of the divine among the Hittites, because the «inhabitants of Anatolia imagined the world of the gods in likeness to the real world around them»[10] and the «kinship and the hierarchy in the pantheon structure closely resembled actual social relations» (Taracha 2009, 80).

However, even if this representation could be valid in general, it portrays a palimpsest that returns a synchronic and flat picture of what was, in all likelihood, the result of a diachronic process of stratification. As is the case with the different features of Storm-gods, tutelary deities, and so on, the gods should not – in my opinion – be grouped together axiomatically, because if the available evidence offers a sufficiently clear picture, this picture highlights as many differences as there are similarities. As correctly point-

---

5   See Schwemer 2008, 147-48 and *Introduction* in the present volume.
6   Haas 1994, 633; Taracha 2009, 39.
7   Popko 1995, 90. See also Taracha 2009, 83-3; 86; Taracha 2010, 861; and Devecchi 2015, 48-9 for an outline of the *evocatio* of the divine witnesses.
8   As already expressed by Gurney 1977, 4-5; Lebrun 1980, 50-1; Archi 1993, 7.
9   See Popko 1995, 90-1; 112; Taracha 2009, 32; 38; Mouton 2014, 19; 27.
10   Taracha (2009, 80) with reference to KUB 13.4 I 21-22.

ed out by Beckman (2004, 313a) «No single hierarchy prevailed among the gods in all circumstances». If it is true that the hierarchical order of the divine witnesses in the treaties of the Empire period was standardized[11], this does not allow us to consider it valid everywhere during and throughout the history of the Hittite kingdom. At present, the division of Hittite history into different periods and several local cults does not allow us to conclusively establish a hierarchical vision of all aspects of the Hittite religion: it is perhaps better to accept the definition of convenience of "Thousand Gods of Ḫatti" without speculating further on, apparently, uneven paths.

3. Panthea and languages

When studying the *religio hethitica*, a linguistic subdivision within the Thousand Gods of Ḫatti may be attempted, according to the different putative "ethnic groups" of the Hittite kingdom: Hattians, Hittites, Palaeans, Luwians and Hurrians. What we want to stress is that classifications based on linguistic criteria have always existed in literature[12], even among scholars who do not declare it directly. Klinger (1996), in his examination of the Hattian religious milieu, chose to focus, instead, on the so-called *Kultschichten* of the Hittite religion, thereby recognizing the inconsistency of the attempt to define "pantheon" as a list or group of theonyms only because those gods seem to bear names with a common origin. Not only is the cultural milieu too complex to be defined merely by a linguistic identity. Even when using language as a tool, there are several ways one can proceed, and «an important criterion for attributing a given deity to a specific ethnic tradition is the language of her cult» (Taracha 2010, 859), not of her name. Unfortunately, however, the language of cult is not always evident, because we cannot access all levels of religious practice within the Anatolian societies.

All in all, the use of languages and linguistic analysis for the study of religion and panthea is a powerful tool, but it requires some caveats:

a) Since "language is not ethnicity" (a statement already well-underlined by Hutter 2003, 211), it should not be used as the unique criterion to describe a culture or a group;

b) Linguistic analysis can be applied to one theonym, not to an entire ethnic group, and therefore to build up a whole divine group is quite a difficult operation to achieve;

c) It is quite difficult to assess linguistic diversity through the common Hittite sources at our disposal.

According to these points, we should not speak of a Hattian, Hurrian, Palaean, or Luwian pantheon for the Hittite kingdom because they were not panthea, but divine names in different languages gathered in a whole religious system whose name for Hittites was the Thousand Gods of Ḫatti. For instance, Taracha (2009, 107) convincingly argued that «there was no one pantheon shared by all the Luwians, only individual deities worshiped in all of the Luwian territory». A Hurrian pantheon probably existed in the Hurrian lands, but what was imported and adopted in Ḫattuša cannot be addressed as a pantheon. More controversial is in my opinion the case of the possible Palaean pantheon: the corpus of Palaic texts specifically describes the festival for Zi-

---

[11]   See Gurney 1977, 4-6; Beckman 2004, 313a.

[12]   Laroche's (1946/47) subdivision into gods of different origins hides linguistic criteria. See also Gurney 1977, 7-16; van Gessel 1998, (Part 1) X; Hutter 2003, 218-20; Beckman 2004, 311a-b; Taracha 2009, 107-08.

parwa and the Palaean cult, but this is not enough to identify it as "pantheon" until we outline the Palaean religious system with certainty[13].

This methodological issue regards, more generally, the ways to identify a pantheon. As already argued, the definitions found in literature are "glottocentric" even when given by authors who call for caution in this regard. The glottic criterion is quite difficult to set aside. For example, even Hutter's highly authoritative attempt at listing Luwian gods (2003, 219-20) cannot avoid using language as at least one of the criteria: together with gods that have generically Anatolian or opaque names (Pirwa, Šanta) and gods whose names have a clearly different etymology (Zilipura, Kamrušepa), the list features virtually all deities whose name can be analyzed as Luwian, which makes the Luwian etymology a "sufficient condition" for the definition.

Far for claiming that any linguistic categorization should we avoided, it is important to stress that the limitations of this approach, as well as its inevitability, should be always kept in mind. Beside recognizing the linguistic origin of a name, any study of a pantheon should always be aware that these do not always help identifying the geographical areas in which a cult existed, and, where possible, include both the criteria of cult language in which a deity was addressed[14], and the textual contexts and traditions in which the deities are grouped and worshiped.

4. Divine groups

Finally, gods can be collected by geographical criteria and source typology, as well as in homogeneous or heterogeneous sets.

The former type of approach is based on the analysis of the cult inventories, which help us recognize the so-called Local Cults[15], regarding «certain deities in relation to one or more specific towns» (Cammarosano 2021, 5). Since the official Hittite religion was a huge melting pot of multi-ethnic beliefs, the concrete aspects of the cult were in all likelihood performed locally, and in the descriptions of local cults «you tend to find a simpler structure, with a top-tier, comprising a storm god and either a sun deity or a mother goddess; a 'tutelary deity' or deities, […] sometimes a god of war, and various local deities associated with mountains, rivers, and/or springs» (Rutherford 2020, 185-86).

The second type of approach consists in collecting deities in homogeneous or heterogeneous groups. Homogeneous groups include deities with the same typology[16], or gathered according to numeric groups[17], even though these classifications do not match any Hittite structure[18]. Criteria for homogeneity vary and may include also the linguistic one[19], but homogeneity itself may be more apparent than real, as local beliefs

---

[13]   For an outline of the beliefs of the Palaeans see Archi 1993, 5-6; Taracha 2009, 58-9.

[14]   Goetze 1953, 263; Archi 2004, 11; Taracha 2009, 36.

[15]   Also definied as *Stadtpanthea* by Haas (1994, 539-612) or *Local pantheons* (Taracha 2009, 95-107; Rutherford 2020, 185) and on which Cammarosano has focused his most recent works (2018; 2021).

[16]   For instance, according to Taracha (2009, 49-50), the chthonic gods worshiped in the ḫešta-house were: Lelwani, Šiwat, Tašammat, Eštan (chthonic aspect of the Sungoddess of Arinna), Ištuštaya and Papaya, Ḫašammili, Zilipuri.

[17]   See Haas 1994, 468-88; 975; Beckman 2004, 312a; Taracha 2009, 45; 105-06; Rutherford 2020, 186.

[18]   Schwemer 2008, 147.

[19]   For instance, see the group of *-šepa* deities which cannot be defined as circle nor homogeneous. Warbinek 2022, 13.

in Anatolia «must have been surely heterogeneous, reflecting the ethnic differentiation of the population of the land of Ḫatti» (Taracha 2009, 50). In the context of heterogeneous groups of gods, on the other hand, it is common to find the term "circle" in the scholarship, frequently used for a group of deities often linked to a town or a prominent god; often, the gods in these circles are quite different from each other and share only the presence in a given text or group of texts[20].

4.1. "Circles"

In the religious system of the Hittite Kingdom, there are three main "circles" to deal with.

The first is that related to the god Ḫuwaššanna[21]. In the centre of Ḫupišna, identified as the classical Kybestra and modern Ereğli (East of Konya)[22], the main deity Ḫuwaššanna/GAZ.BA.A[23] was surrounded by the following gods[24]: ᴰUTU, ᴰU, ᴰLAMMA, ᴴᵁᴿ·ˢᴬᴳŠarlaimi, ZABABA, Lallariya, Awatta, Kupilla, Ašdutta, Ḫarduppi, Tunapi, Muli, Imralli, Lilaya, Waša(l)iya, ᴴᵁᴿ·ˢᴬᴳŠarpa, *hantezziuš* DINGIRᴹᴱˢ (i.e., the primeval gods Anna, Aruna, Zarnizza, ᴵᴰŠarmamma).

The prominence of a god or a town is also a common element of the second "circle". This putative circle has been defined in several ways according to the prominence of the deity Pirwa[25] or of the town Kaniš/Neša[26], while the Hittite texts refer specifically to the "Gods of Kaniš" DINGIRᴹᴱˢ(-*aš*) ᵁᴿᵁKaniš[27]. According to different Hittite texts, this group[28] includes: Pirwa, ᴰMUNUS.LUGAL (Ḫaššušara), Kamrušepa, Ašgašepa[29], Maliya[30], Šiwat, Šuwaliat, Ḫašammili, Išpant (Išpanzašepa), ᴰ7.7.BI, Ḫalki, Ilaliya, Tarawa. However, the number of deities grows if we also take into account the gods from the lists and the theophoric names of the Cappadocian tablets in the Old Assyrian Colony period[31].

---

[20] Warbinek 2022, 12. See also Kammenhuber (1976, 50) who, speaking of the Singer of Kaniš, described *kaluti*: "als Konglomerat heterogener Götter".

[21] Yoshida 1996, 244; Hutter 2003, 243-47; Hutter 2013; Hutter 2021, 145; 148-50.

[22] RGTC 6, 117-19; RGTC 6/2, 42; Popko 1995, 94; Lombardi 1999, 219; Trémouille 2002, 351; Hutter 2003, 243; Taracha 2009, 117.

[23] Van Gessel 1998, 169-73; 632-34; Lombardi 1999, 219; Taracha 2009, 117; Polvani 2010; Hutter 2013, 178; 183-86.

[24] See Otten 1971, 29-50; Yoshida 1996, 244-51; Lombardi 1999, 219; Groddek 2002, 95-6; Taracha 2009, 117; Hutter 2003, 243-44; Hutter 2021, 145. E.g., KBo 4.13 II 16-17, III 34-[35], IV 20, 44-[45], VI 9-10.

[25] "cercle de Pirwa" by Laroche 1946/47, 67.

[26] "Pantheon von Kaniš/Neša" by Otten 1971, 32; Haas 1994, 413; Mouton 2014, 26; "Gruppe/ Kreis der Gottheiten/Götter von Kaniš" by Haas 1994, 281; 413; 439; Klinger 1996, 157; "circle of Kanesite deities" by Taracha 2009, 58-9; 114.

[27] E.g., KUB 2.13 III 3', 25': Groddek 2009, 83-96; KUB 56.45 II 7: Klinger 1996, 556-57. See all the attestations listed in Archi 2010, 32-3.

[28] See Goetze 1953, 264-65, 277; Otten 1971, 32; Haas 1994, 281; 412-13; 439; 614; 776; 779; 781; Popko 1995, 55; 88-9; Klinger 1996, 556-61; Taracha 2009, 30-1; 58; 133; Hutter 2021, 48; Warbinek 2022, 12-3 with note 149.

[29] On Kamrušepa and Ašgašepa, see Warbinek 2022, 3; 5-6.

[30] For the connection of Maliya with Ḫuwaššanna see Trémouille 2002, 354-55; Hutter 2021, 144-45. For a single-subject work on the deity Maliya see Lebrun 1982; Warbinek and Giusfredi (in press). For *kaluti*, see below.

[31] Goetze 1953, 264-66; Klinger 1996, 581; Taracha 2009, 28-30.

Finally, "circle" can refer to the deities belonging to the *kaluti*-lists[32] of the Hurrian Storm-god, his spouse, and their local *parhedroi*. In these Hurrian lists we can collect the following deities for Teššub: Tašmišu/Šuwaliyat (ᴰURAŠ), Kumarbi, Šauška, Sun-God (Šimige), Moon-god (Kušuḫ), ᴰNIN.GAL, Ea, War-god (Aštabi), Ninatta-Kulitta, bulls, twelve gods of Netherworld; and for Ḫebat: Nabarbi, Šaluš-*Bitinḫi*, Damkina, Umbu-Nikkal, Ḫudena-Ḫudellara, Allatu (Lelwani), Aya, Išḫara, Ištar, Šauška, Šuwala, Ibrimuša, Tiyabenti, and her daughters Allanzu and Kunzišalli[33]. Apparently, the *kaluti* do not seem to have any proper geographical location, as the other so-called circles of Kaniš and Ḫupišna do. However, this is not really the case because the order of the processions in Yazılıkaya «corresponds to the order of a standard list of gods (so-called kaluti)» (Taracha 2009, 94)[34]. But the divine reliefs at Yazılıkaya did not represent the Hittite State cult; it rather follows the Hurrian dynastic pantheon[35], which in turn is probably connected Hurrian deities of the *kaluti*-lists.

The definition of "circle" is obviously quite vague. The "circle of Pirwa" as well as the "circle of Ḫuwaššanna" put too much emphasis on the role of a single deity, based on limited and circumstantial evidence. The references to Kaniš or Ḫupišna are quite suitable, but positively generic even though they originated in local contexts[36]. Furthermore, even if we assume that the gods of the putative circle did belong together on a functional level, most of them are also quoted in other texts outside those of the circle[37], so it would be risky to presume that they always had an original connection with the geographical areas of interest (Warbinek 2022, 13).

Originally, the concept of "circle" was a definition of convenience – first used by Laroche (1946/47, 67: "cercle de Pirwa") and then gradually adopted by several scholars in different works[38].

The circle of Kaneš is certainly the one that received most attention, but others labels have been employed to describe it, such as "Kanishite lists" (Goetze 1953, 264), "Kanesite pantheon/gods" (Popko 1995, 55; 88; Taracha 2010, 859-60); "Kreis der Götter von Kaniš" (Klinger 1996, 157); "Pantheon von Kanish" (Otten 1971, 32); "Gottheiten/Pantheon von Kaniš" (Haas 1994, 281; 412; 613). Perhaps, the gods of Kaneš formed a pantheon during the Old Assyrian Colony period when each Anato-

[32] Hurrian Teššub and Ḫebat, see Trémouille 1997, 201-10; Wegner 2002 and Taracha 2009, 102. See also Haas (1994, 347-49) "Der Götterkreis der Ša(w)oška von Ninive" and (1994, 402) "Kreis des Wettergottes (von) Manuz(z)i".

[33] Laroche 1948; Gurney 1977, 17-8; Haas 1994, 332-33; Trémouille 1997, 105-07; 202-03 with note 713; Taracha 2009, 118-19.

[34] See also Gurney 1977, 19-24; Beckman 2004, 309a; Hutter 2021, 206.

[35] Taracha 2009, 92-5. See also Gurney 1977, 23; White 1993, 362, 367-67; and Haas 1994, 633: «das hethitische Staatspantheon der Großreichszeit».

[36] For instance, Lombardi 1999, 220. It should be noted that Ḫuwaššanna was also worshiped in Kuliwišna, see Trémouille 2002 with reference to KBo 21.56++ IV 19'-21'.

[37] For instance, some gods of Kaneš in another context: KUB 2.13 III 2-4 // KUB 56.45 II 4-8; KBo 19.128 II 5-6, 38-39; and some of Ḫupišna: KBo 29.33 + KBo 20.72(+) III 3-14. See Otten 1981; and Archi 2004, 17; 20 with references.

[38] See, for instance, Haas (1994, 612) «der kappadokische Kreis»; Popko (1995, 88) «this gods' circle was likely connected with an area around Kaneš»; Klinger (1996, 157) «Kreis der Götter von Kaniš»; Yoshida (1996, 244) «ᵈUTU im Kultkreis der Göttin Ḫuwaššanna»; Hutter (2003, 224) «Another goddess of the circle of Tiwad is Ḫapantaliya»; Taracha (2009, 58) «circle of Kanesite deites»; Archi (2010, 33) «circle of gods»; Taracha (2013, 123) «circle of the god Ea»; Hutter (2013, 186) «they are not part of 'Ḫuwaššanna's circle'. »; Hutter (2021, 148-49) «Ḫuwaššanna Götterkreis».

lian town had its own cult[39], but they just became a Local Cult with the integration of Kaniš into the State religion of Ḫatti.[40] Haas himself alternated the definition of "Pantheon von Kaniš" with that of "Gruppe der Gottheiten von Kaniš" (1994, 439, 613). In my opinion, the Hittite definition "Gods of Kaniš" DINGIR[MEŠ] (-aš) [URU]Kaniš should be preferred to any other label, which is also the choice made by Cammarosano (2021, 84).

The situation with other alleged circles is different. We don't know much about the origin of the one of Ḫuwaššanna, which can be more easily described as a local cult[41]. Hutter himself, who also used Ḫuwaššanna's circle definition (2003, 244), later placed (2013, 186) "Ḫuwaššanna's circle" in quotation marks and then *de facto* avoided its use in his most recent works[42].

Finally, it is important to stress that «le *kaluti* ne signifie pas une liste abstraite et n'a rien à voir avec les litanies. Le *kaluti* était réellement, c'est-à-dir matériellement, l'ensemble des divinités, objets et lieux sacrés connexes à une divinité précise» (Trémouille 1997, 205); and this is justified by what looks like a sort of conclusion[43] at the end of the libations:

KUB 32.92(+) (// KUB 32.85 + KBo 20.52 obv. III 6'/7' – *CTH* 664)[44] rev. 5'-6':

5' [ka-a-š] ŠA DINGIR[MEŠ] LÚ[MEŠ] *ka-lu-⌈ti⌉*[-iš]
6'   QA-TI

[Diese] *kaluti*-Liste der männlichen Götter ist zu Ende.
(Wegner 1995, 100)

Admittedly, the technical term[45] *kaluti* means "circle, closed group, cohort, community, round of offerings" (HEG K, 471-72; HED K, 33-5)[46], with its denominal verb *kalutiya*- "to lump together (for worship), to celebrate as a group, to treat jointly (for cultic purposes), to make the rounds of" (HED K 471-72; HED K, 33-5; IEED 5, 130; CLL, 99)[47].

KBo 15.59 (*CTH* 628) III[?] 7'-8':
7' [ÍD]Al-da ka-lu-ut-ta LUGAL [-uš]
8' 1 NINDA.GUR₄.RA *par-ši-ya* …

«The king breaks a loaf ⟨to⟩ the *circle* of the river Alda»[48]

---

[39] Popko (1995, 88): «…the old religious tradition of Kaneš. In the Hittite period the local pantheon was enriched by new elements. Since the town itself was then of little importance, this god's circle was likely connected with an area around Kaneš».

[40] Popko (1995, 89): «It seems that Kanesite beliefs are a continuation of the oldest known form of purely Hittite (Nesite) religion».

[41] See Lombardi 1999, 220; and Groddek 2004: "Ḫuwaššanna-Kult" with reference to the Luwian milieu.

[42] Only thrice and in a generic way, does Hutter (2021, 145; 148-49) use "Kreis" with reference to the Ḫuwaššanna's gods.

[43] It is not a colophon, because it is inside the texts at the end of the libation paragraphs. See Wegner 1995, 100; 2002, 213.

[44] Wegner 1995, 100; 2002, 300-08.

[45] See Trémouille 1997, 201 with note 709. According to him, *kaluti* could result from Akk. *KALU* "entirely, all, whole".

[46] Laroche 1948, 113; Wegner 2004, 108; Beckman 2004, 309a; Taracha 2009, 118; Warbinek 2022, 13.

[47] Laroche 1948, 113; Trémouille 1997, 201; Rutherford 2020, 186 note 14.

[48] See above Archi, present volume, §8 note 33 with references.

However, it must be acknowledged that *kaluti* can also be translated as "line", "list", or "set" in accordance to the «principle of naming the gods (Teššub's circle) and goddesses (Ḫebat's circle) in the order of importance, from the greatest to the minor gods» (Taracha 2009, 118), as we can see from Wegner's translation of *kaluti* here:

KBo 14.142 (*CTH* 698)[49] I 17-19:

17 […] *ù A-NA ŠA* ᴰU
18 *ḫu-u-ma-an-ti ka-lu-ti* KAŠ-*ya ḫu-u-ma-an-ti ka-lu-ti*
19 *pé-ra-an ši-pa-an-da-an-zi.*

und für die gesamte Reihe des Wettergottes, auch Bier libieren sie vor gesamten Reihe. (Wegner 2002, 273-75)

We hence seem to be back to the concept of divine hierarchy. According to Trémouille (1997, 201) «par *kaluti* on entend la liste de toutes les divinités et entités liées à une divinité plus importante» and it corresponds to Hittite *arḫa-*. However, the term *arḫa-* "Grenze, Gebiet" (HEG I, 55-6), "Line, Boundary" (IEED 5, 245), and its cognates *irḫatt(i)-* "row, series, circuit, in a row, by turns"; *arḫai-/irḫai-* "to go down the line, to make rounds, to list, to treat in succession" (HED I, 130-1; IEED 5, 245); and Luwian *irḫatta-* "circle" (CLL 99) are never used to state or portray a divine group (as for *kaluti*, above KUB 32.92(+)). In addition, *kaluti* and *arḫa-* are not equivalent according to HED (K, 34) and Kammenuber (1996, 47). However, *kaluti* appears once in relation with the infinitive *irḫawanzi*:

IBoT 1.2 (*CTH* 684)[50] III 10-13:

10 LUGAL-*uš* 3-*e*
11 *ir-ḫa-a-u-wa-an-zi*
12 ᶦᵈ*Ma-ra-aš-ša-an-da*
13 ᴰLAMMA ÍD *ka-lu*ˀ-*ti* Ras. ⟨*ti-ya-zi*⟩

The king ⟨proceeds⟩ to treat three with offerings in sequence: the Maraššanda River, the Tutelary Deity of the River, and (their) circle. (McMahon 1991, 193)

Already Goetze (1953, 274) translated the present *kaluti* as "circle", followed here by McMahon, but this is even more interesting given the presence of the rarely-attested infinitive *irḫawanzi*, which usually occurs in this textual evidence as LUGAL-*uš irḫawanzi tiyazi* "the king proceeds in order to treat with offerings" and for which the verb *tiyazi* «was certainly intended» (McMahon 1991, 196).

So, are we dealing with a line, a row, a procession, or rather a proper "circle"? In my opinion, this text can be a strong piece of evidence for equating *kaluti* and *arḫa-* as "circle" when we deal with textual evidence related to Hurrian gods. Therefore, at the present stage of research, the so-called *kaluti* divine groups are the only ones that may be safely defined as "circles". However, Haas (1994, 389) with his definition of «Das Gefolge oder der Kreis der Ḫebat» attempted to render both possible concepts, which is yet another indication of the importance of terminological caution when dealing with a complex object of study such as the Hittite religion. Clarity on the criteria used for classification and on their limits, on the other hand, is and remains of paramount importance.

---

[49] Wegner 2002, 272-77.
[50] Goetze 1953, 274; McMahon 1991, 192-96.

5. Conclusions

In the Anatolian pantheon of the Thousand Gods of Ḫatti, theonyms with Hittite, Luwian, Hattian, and Hurrian etymology can be found. Different etymologies are often present in names that belong to alleged groups, and, for this reason, the classification of a deity as Hittite, Luwian, Hattian or Hurrian is quite complex, even ambiguous, and possibly misleading[51]. Similarly, we cannot speak of Hattian, Hurrian, or Luwian pantheon in terms of different panthea because they were not panthea in the classical way, but rather collections of divine names and figures gathered in a whole religious system whose name was the Thousand Gods of Ḫatti, or in specific subsets of said system.

Hierarchies existed, but a generalized hierarchical model would be simplistic and simplifying: even if a divine hierarchy could be recognized in the tradition of particular local centres (Taracha 2009, 38), we should avoid a single pyramidal reconstruction for the *religio hethitica* of the 2nd millennium BC.

As for other types of groupings, although circles have been described in literature, for both homogeneous and heterogeneous groups of deities, the definition should be used only for specific homogenous ones. Indeed, the gods belonging to the so-called circles of Kaneš or Ḫupišna cannot be regarded as such: they are also mentioned in other texts, taking part in different events, showing different features at different ages and in different locations.

Bibliography

Archi, Alfonso. 1993. "How a Pantheon forms. The Case of Hattian-Hittite Anatolia and Ebla of the 3rd Millennium B.C." In *Religionsgeschichtliche Beziehungen zwischen Kleinasien, Nordsyrien und dem Alten Testament*, eds. Bernd Janowski, Klaus Koch, and Gernot Wilhelm, 1-18. Freiburg-Göttingen: Universitätsverlag.

Archi, Alfonso. 2004. "The Singer of Kaneš and his Gods." In *Offizielle Religion, lokale Kulte und individuelle Religiosität. Akten des religionsgeschichtlichen Symposiums Kleinasiens und angrenzende Gebiete vom Beginn des 2. bis zur Mitte des 1. Jahrhunderts v. Chr (Bonn, 20.-22. Februar 2003)*, eds. Manfred Hutter, and Sylvia Hutter Braunsar, 11-26. Münster: Ugarit-Verlag (AOAT 318).

Archi, Alfonso. 2010. "The Heptad in Anatolia." *Hethitica* 16: 21-34.

Beckman, Gary M. 2004. "Pantheon. A. II. Bei den Hethitern". *Reallexikon der Assyriologie und vorderasiatischen Archäologie* 10: 308-16.

Cammarosano, Michele. 2018. *Hittite Local Cults*. Atlanta: Society of Biblical Literature Press (WAW 40).

Cammarosano, Michele. 2021. *At the Interface of Religion and Administration: The Hittite Cult Inventories. With a contribution by Adam Kryszeń*. Wiesbaden: Harrassowitz (StBoT 68).

Devecchi, Elena. 2015. *Trattati internazionali ittiti*. Brescia: Paideia (TVOa 4/4).

Gilan, Amir. 2019. "Religious Convergence in Hittite Anatolia: The Case of Kizzuwatna." In *Religious Convergence in the Ancient Mediterranean*, eds. Sandra Blakely, and Billie Jean Collins, 173-90. Atlanta: Lockwood Press.

Goetze, Albrecht. 1953. "The Theophorous Elements of the Anatolian Proper Names from Cappadocia." *Language* 29/3: 263-77.

Groddek, Detlev. 2002. "Beiträge zur Rekonstruktion der Textüberlieferung des Ḫuwaššanna-Kultes." *Altorientalische Forschungen* 29: 81-98.

---

[51] A point already well stated by Cammarosano (2021, 63-4) «A god who was originally rooted e.g. in the Hattian culture underwent transformations along his life through the Hittite centuries [...] both 'from below' and 'from above'».

Groddek, Detlev. 2004. "Ein Text aus dem Huwaššanna-Kult mit luwischen Sprachgut." In *Antiquus Oriens. Mélanges offerts au Professeur René Lebrun (Volume I)*, eds. Olivier Casabonne, and Michel Mazoyer, 325-36. Louvain-la-Neuve: L'Harmattan (Collection Kubaba - Fs Lebrun 1).

Groddek, Detlev. 2009. *Hethitische Texte in Transkription: KUB 2*. Wiesbaden: Harrassowitz (DBH 30).

Gurney, Oliver. 1977. *Some Aspects of Hittite Religion*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.

Haas, Volkert. 1994. *Geschichte der Hethitischen Religion*. Leiden-New York-Köln: Brill (HdO I/15).

Hutter, Manfred. 2003. "Aspects of Luwian Religion." In *The Luwians*, ed. Craig H. Melchert, 211-80. Leiden-Boston: Brill (HdO I/68).

Hutter, Manfred. 2013. "The Luwian Cult of the Goddess Huwassanna vs. Her Position in the 'Hittite State Cult'." In *Luwian Identities*, eds. Alice Mouton, Ian Rutherford, and Ilya Yakubovich, 177-90. Leiden-Boston: Brill (CHANE 64).

Hutter, Manfred. 2021. *Religionsgeschichte Anatoliens. Vom Ende des dritten bis zum Beginn des ersten Jahrtausends*. Stuttgart: Kohlhammer.

Kammenhuber, Annelies. 1976. *Orakelpraxis, Träume und Vorzeichenschau bei den Hethitern*. Heidelberg: Universitätsverlag (THeth 7).

Klinger, Jörg. 1996. *Untersuchungen zur Rekonstruktion der hattischen Kultschicht*. Wiesbaden: Harrassowitz Verlag (StBoT 37).

Laroche, Emmanuel. 1946/47. "Recherches sur les noms des dieux hittites." *Revue Hittite et Asianique* 7/46: 7-139.

Laroche, Emmanuel. 1948. "Teššub, Ḫebat et leur cour." *Journal of Cuneiform Studies* 2: 113-36.

Lebrun, René. 1980. *Hymnes et prières hittites*, Louvain-la-Neuve: Centre d'histoire des religions.

Lebrun, René. 1982. "Maliya, une divinité anatolienne mal connue." In *Studia P. Naster oblata II*, ed. Jan Quaegebeur, 123-30. Leauven: Peeters (Orientalia Lovaniensia Analecta 13).

Lombardi, Alessandra. 1999. "Una festa per Ḫuwaššanna celebrata da una regina ittita." *SMEA* 41: 219-44.

McMahon, Gregory. 1991. *The Hittite State Cult of the Tutelary Deities*. Chicago: The Oriental Institute of the University of Chicago (AS 25).

Mouton, Alice. 2014. "Terre divinisée et autres «génies» de l'Anatolie hittite." *Semitica et Classica* 7: 19-29.

Otten, Heinrich. 1971. *Ein hethitisches Festritual (KBo XIX 128)*. Wiesbaden: Harrassowitz (StBoT 13).

Polvani, Anna Maria 2010. "Identification of the goddess Ḫuwaššanna with the goddess GAZ. BA.YA." *Orientalia* 79: 246-54.

Popko, Maciej. 1995. *Religions of Asia Minor*. Warsaw: Academic Publications Dialog.

Rutherford, Ian. 2020. *Hittite Texts and Greek Religion. Contact, Interaction, and Comparison*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.

Schwemer, Daniel. 2008. "Fremde Götter in Ḫatti. Die hethitische Religion im Spannungsfeld von Synkretismus und Abgrenzung." In *Ḫattuša - Boğazköy - Das Hethiterreich im Spannungsfeld des Alten Orients. 6. Internationales Colloquium der Deutschen Orient-Gesellschaft 22.-24. März 2006, Würzburg*, ed. Gernot Wilhelm, 137-58. Wiesbaden: Harrassowitz (CDOG 6).

Taracha, Piotr. 2009. *Religions of Second Millennium Anatolia*. Wiesbaden: Harrassowitz (DBH 27).

Taracha, Piotr. 2010. "Studying Hittite Religion: Selected Issues." In *Acts of the VIIth International Congress of Hittitology, Çorum, August 25-31, 2008*, ed. Aygül Süel, 857-68. Ankara: Çorum Valilği (ICH 7).

Taracha, Piotr. 2013. "Hom many grain-deities Ḫalki/Nisaba?" In *De Ḫattuša à Memphis. Jacques Freu in honorem*, eds. Michel Mazoyer, Sydney Hervé Aufrère, 119-130. Paris: l'Harmattan.

Trémouille, Marie-Claude. 1997. *Ḫebat, une divinité syro-anatolienne*. Firenze: LoGisma (Eothen 7).

Trémouille, Marie-Claude. 2002. "Una cérémonie pour Huwaššanna à Kuliwišna." In *Silva Anatolica. Anatolian Studies Presented to Maciej Popko on the Occasion of His 65th Birthday*, ed. Piotr Taracha, 351-69. Warsaw: Agade.

van Gessel, Ben H.L. 1998. *Onomasticon of the Hittite Pantheon 1-2*. Leiden-New York-Köln: Brill.

van den Hout, Theo P.J. 1995. *Der Ulmitešub-Vertrag. Eine prosopographische Untersuchung*. Wiesbaden: Harrassowitz (StBoT 38).

Warbinek, Livio. 2022. "The *-šepa* theonyms in the Hittite pantheon." *Vicino Oriente* 26: 1-19.

Warbinek, Livio, and Giusfredi, Federico. In press. "Maliya, Malja, Malis, Athena. From Kizzuwatna to the Aegean: Borrowings, Translations, or Syncretisms?" *Asia Anteriore Antica*.

Wegner, Ilse. 1995. "Die 'genannten' und 'nicht-genannten' Götter in den hethitisch-hurritischen Opferlisten." *Studi Micenei ed Egeo-Anatolici* 36: 97-102.

Wegner, Ilse. 2002. *Hurritische Opferlisten aus hethitischen Festbeschreibungen*. Teil II: *Texte für Teššub, Ḫebat und weitere Gottheiten*. Roma: CNR - Istituto di Studi sulle Civiltà dell'Egeo e del Vicino Oriente (ChS I/3-2).

Wegner, Ilse. 2004. *Hurritische Opferlisten aus hethitischen Festbeschreibungen, Teil III: Das Glossar*. Roma: Multigrafica editrice (ChS I/3-3).

White, Grace K.-S. 1993. *The religious iconography of Cappadocian glyptic in the Assyrian Colony period and its significance in the Hittite New Kingdom*. Chicago: University of Chicago (Diss.).

Yoshida, Daisuke. 1996. *Untersuchungen zu den Sonnengottheiten bei den Hethitern. Schwurgötterliste, helfende Gottheit, Feste*. Heidelberg: Universitätsverlag Winter (THeth 22).